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ABSTRACT

The mine sweeper game is a popular computer game, which can be viewed as a logic game or a probability game. There are certain cases where you can decide if there is or is not a mine. However, there are also cases that you cannot decide whether there is a mine or not and you have to guess according to the probability. This project develops heuristics to help users solving the problem quickly with high success rates.

This project applies three colors: red, yellow, and green to covered squares to show the risk probability. The red color represents the highest risk square which has definitely a bomb or mine underneath, and the green color represents a safe square which has no bomb, and the yellow color represents an undecided square which may or may not have a bomb. The yellow color in a square has a size proportional to the risk. In the beginning, user should randomly guess several steps to get the uncovered area as large as possible to achieve the minimal time performance. Then, the heuristic will find safe uncovered squares as well as squares with bombs and assign green and red to them respectively. Besides, this project will calculates probability for each square which is neither red nor green. Furthermore, the probabilities of overlap conditions and
specific patterns, which are derived or obtained from simulation, are applied.
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CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of the Project

The mine sweeper game can be viewed as a logic game or probability game. The object of Minesweeper is to find all the mines as quickly as possible without uncovering any of them. The player clicks any square on the playing field to uncover it. If it is a mine, the player loses the game. Otherwise, a number is displayed indicating how many mines are in the eight squares that surround the numbered one. Besides, clicking one of the safe areas will uncover the whole connected safe area. The player could right-click the square to mark it as a mine. Two right-clicks mark it with a question mark ('?'). Later, a player can either mark the square as a mine or uncover it by right-clicking again once or twice. When the player has marked all mines around a numbered square, the player can quickly uncover all empty squares around it by clicking that square with both mouse buttons. A good player looks for common patterns in numbers, which often indicate a corresponding pattern of mines.

The Minesweeper solver program (Automine) is based on the Linux xwindow C program with xwindow graphic library.
There will be a user interface that can let user to play the minesweeper game and keep the statistic of the good choice of next step for various patterns. There will be a heuristic demo mode that shows steps generated from different heuristics and the results of successful rate and time used will be added into the statistics. Besides, there will be just heuristic running mode to collect that information fast.

As shown in Figure 1, there are the numbers of mines not found and total time used. If the player clicked the save area, it will show all the safe area and the number of mines in the edge area. User can mark the mine with a red flag. However, if user marks the wrong one, it will show the "x" mark after the mine explores. The exploded mine will be red and the others will show up.
Figure 1. The Minesweeper Game

There are certain cases that you can decide if there is or is not a mine. However, there are also cases that you can not decide whether there is a mine or not and you have to guess. This project will develop heuristics to help users calculate the probabilities while achieving acceptable performance such as minimal time and successful rates.

This project applies three colors: red, yellow, and green to unknown squares for the risk probability. The red color represents the highest risk square which has definitely a bomb underneath, and the green color represents a safe square which has no bomb for sure, and the yellow color represents undecided squares which may or
may not have bombs. The size of the yellow maker is proportional to the risk. In the beginning, user has to randomly guess several steps to get the uncovered area as large as possible to achieve the minimal time performance. Then, the heuristic will find safe uncovered squares as well as squares with bombs and assign green and red to them respectively. Besides, this project calculates probability for each square which is neither red nor green. Furthermore, the probabilities of overlap conditions and specific patterns, which are derived or obtained from simulation, will be applied.

1.2 Scope of the Project

The software product defined in this document is to be known as heuristics for the minesweeper game. The delivery of this product will provide the following:

1. Description and implementation of the minesweeper game.
2. Write a plan on how and when to finish the project.
3. Information regarding the patterns that can decide if there is or is not a mine.
4. Special attention will be paid in guessing the next step if there is no determinable step to take.
5. Study and implement 3 heuristics to solve the minesweeper game.

5.1 Full Search - show the safe square and bomb.

5.2 Overlap Adjustment - Search for overlapped squares, increase its probability and reduce those of the others.

5.3 Special Pattern - Search for isolated special patterns and apply simulated probability.

6. The performance will be analyzed and compared in the successful rate, time required and resource required.

7. User can compete with the same game as the heuristics and the program can learn the most successful way to solve specific patterns.

8. All description and source codes will available in pure text, Post-Script or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.

1.3 Significance of the Project

This project will develop heuristics for the minesweeper game to solve the problem while achieving satisfaction performance. The program will help human to spot squares with bombs red and squares with no bomb green. Some patterns are applied to get more exactly probabilities to help user to determine the risk of a bomb. The brain works with the computer to achieve better performance.
1.4 Limitation of the Project

Although many squares can be decided to be safe or unsafe, there are still squares which have to be guessed. Here the program takes to tries to calculate the risk. However, a square with low risk may have a bomb underneath it. This makes the game more interesting!

1.5 Definitions and Abbreviations

The definition of special terminology and the acronyms as well as abbreviations are listed in Table 1.
Table 1. Definitions and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Automine</td>
<td>Provide colors to show risk in Xbomb game.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C, C++</td>
<td>High level programming language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heuristic</td>
<td>A simplified algorithm that finds near optimal solutions with reasonable time and resource instead of searching the whole solution space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE</td>
<td>Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linux</td>
<td>A free operation system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minesweeper</td>
<td>A game distributed with Microsoft Windows. There are numbers on the rectangular grid board showing the number of mines in its 8 neighbors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolog</td>
<td>Artificial Intelligence programming language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risky Square</td>
<td>Can't decide if there is a bomb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>Software Requirements Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Square</td>
<td>No bomb for sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xbomb</td>
<td>Free minesweeper game in Linux.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xwindow</td>
<td>Graphics programs read keystrokes and mouse clicks, and display shapes in Linux.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.6 Organization of the Documentation

The remaining sections of this document will be organized as follows: Chapter 2 provides information regarding the related work. Chapter 3 describes the software requirement specification (SRS). Chapter 4 illustrates the probability analysis. Chapter 5 introduces the detailed software design. Chapter 6 is maintenance manual. Chapter 7 contains conclusions and points out future work.
CHAPTER TWO

RELATED WORK REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

Clay Mathematics Institute has provided Million Dollar for solving Minesweeper because it is an NP-complete problem [1].

In the paper of "Some Minesweeper Configuration", Dr. Richard Kaye proved that minesweeper is NP-complete and collected some interesting configurations. He mentioned that playing Minesweeper is about probabilities, not certainties. There are also variations of minesweeper games such as a 3-Dimensional version and infinite version [7].

Dr. Hudelson's paper "The Math of Minesweeper" provided five theorems of the game and programmed these theorems into a solver. The results showed that the hexagonal shape was the easiest because each cell had only six adjacent cells. That would make the regions smaller and the theorems more powerful [3].
2.2 Related Designs

Professor Botting in California State University, San Bernardino, developed a minesweeper end game with Prolog [6]. If it is the last few steps, the one upper row and one left column should be known numbers otherwise the information could be used. If there is only one mine left, it must in W (1,0,0,0) to be shared with two '1's. If there are two mines left, they could be X and Y (0,1,1,0) or W and Z (1,0,0,1).

Table 2. Prolog Code of Minesweeper End Game

```
% A minesweeper end game
% In the last few steps of the minesweeper game, you have a single mine to flag
% It is somewhere in the 4 squares in the bottom right hand corner of the board
% The corner looks like this ( f is a flag, W, X, Y, Z are unknown squares)
% f 2 1
% 2 W X
% 1 Y Z
% where is the last mine?
```
% Encode W, X, Y, Z is 1 for a mine and 0 for no mine on that square unique u(...) is true if precisely one argument is 1 and the rest are 0
u(1,0,0,0), u(0,1,0,0), u(0,0,1,0), u(0,0,0,1).

% original problem

where(Field): -Field=[W, X, Y, Z], u(W,X;Y,Z)

    1 is W+X,
    2 is 1+W+X,
    2 is 1+W+Y,
    1 is W+Y.

% suppose we don't know how many mines are in the corner

where2(Field): -Field=[W, X, Y, Z],
(X=1;X=0), (W=1;W=0), (Y=1;Y=0), (Z=1;Z=0)

    1 is W+X,
    2 is 1+W+X,
    2 is 1+W+Y,
    1 is W+Y.
% optimized with the unknown number of mines

\text{where3(Field): -Field=[W, X, Y, Z],}

\( (X=1;X=0), \ (W=1;W=0), \)

1 is \( W+X, \)
2 is \( 1+W+X, \)
\( (Y=1;Y=0), \)
2 is \( 1+W+Y, \)
1 is \( W+Y, \)
\( (Z=1;Z=0). \)

\text{go: - where3(Field), count(Field), fail.}

\text{results:-counters(W,X,Y,Z), total(T),}

\( W_1 \) is \( 100.0\*W/T, \) write\( (W_1) \), write\( ('\backslash t') \),
\( X_1 \) is \( 100.0\*X/T, \) write\( (X_1) \), \text{nl},
\( Y_1 \) is \( 100.0\*Y/T, \) write\( (Y_1) \), write\( ('\backslash t') \),
\( Z_1 \) is \( 100.0\*Z/T, \) write\( (Z_1) \), \text{nl}.
\text{reset:-retract(counter(\_,\_,\_,\_)),}
\text{assert(counters(0,0,0,0)), retract(total(\_)),}
\text{assert(total(0)).}
:-dynamic(counters/4).
counters(0,0,0,0).
:-dynamic(total/1).
total(0).

    Count(Field):-retract(total(T0)), T1 is T0+1,
assert(total(T1)), retract(counters(W0,X0,Y0,Z0)),
Field=[W,X,Y,Z], W1 is W0+W, X1 is X0+X, Y1 is Y0+Y, Z1
is Z0+Z, assert(counters(W1,X1,Y1,Z1)).

For another design in the paper of Minesweeper:
Advanced Tactics introduced some tactics in playing the
game and described how to resolve local probability
conflicts [4]. Author Sean Barrett wrote about the advanced
tactics of minesweeper games. If user only checks the local
probabilities, you can see that each of the squares in the
marked mutually exclusive groups have a 50-50 chance of
being a mine. The definition of local probabilities is that
if the square has a '1' next to two unknown squares, each
has a 50% chance of being a mine. His abstract method of
computing probabilities is to run through all possible
arrangements of mines, discard the ones that don't match
the data we've collected, and count up the statistics for
each possible location. The time is exponential in the number of unknown squares.

![Minesweeper Game](image)

Figure 2. Example of Local Probabilities

Besides, there are many websites showing the description of advance tactics and strategies for solving the minesweeper [4,5].
CHAPTER THREE
SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFICATION

3.1 Introduction

This software requirement specification is for the automine project that helps to solve the popular minesweeper game by showing the hidden squares with green, red as well as yellow blocks whose size is proportional to the risk probability.

3.2 Overall Description

3.2.1 Product Perspective

The object of Automine is to add a helper to Minesweeper and find all the mines as quickly as possible without uncovering any of them. The player clicks any square on a rectangular playing field to uncover it. If it is a mine, the player loses the game. Otherwise, a number is displayed indicating how many mines are in the eight squares that surround the numbered one. Besides, clicking one of the safe area will uncover the whole connected safe area. The player could right-click the square to mark it as a mine. Two right-clicks mark it with a question mark ('?'). Later, a player can either mark the square as a mine or uncover it by right-clicking again once or twice. When
the player has marked all mines around a numbered square, the player can quickly uncover all empty squares around it by clicking that square with both mouse buttons. If not all mines touching the square are marked, the uncovered touching squares flash. A good player looks for common patterns in numbers, which often indicate a corresponding pattern of mines.

The automine solver program is base on the Unix Xwindow C program with xwindow graphic library. There will be a user interface that can let user to play the minesweeper game and keep the statistic of the good choice of next step for various patterns. There will be a heuristic demo mode that shows steps generated from different heuristics and the results of successful rate and time used will be added into the statistics. Besides, there will be a heuristic running mode to show information fast.

As shown in Figure 3, there are the number of mines not find and total time used. If the player clicked the safe area, it will show all the safe area and the number of mines in the edge area. User can mark the mine with a red flag. However, if user marks the wrong one, it will show the "x" mark after the mine explores. The exploded mine will be red and the others will show up.
There are several modes for the Microsoft minesweeper game: 1. Beginner: 8x8 squares with 10 mines; 2. Intermediate: 16x16 squares with 40 mines; 3. Expert: 16x32 squares with 99 mines; and 4. Custom: Users define height, width, and number of mines. On a palm pilot PDA there are 11x11 squares with 20 mines.

3.2.1.1. The minesweeper solver will have a server to generate the new game and update the status from user/clients response as well as divide the problem and distribute to clients. The user can click a location to
uncover the number of mines in its neighbor or mark it as a mine. The clients will generate the next step according to the heuristics as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Deployment Diagram
3.2.1.2. The minesweeper solver (automine) will not implement hardware interface directly. However, it will trust the underlying operating system (Linux in this case) to handle the hardware interfaces.

3.2.1.3. TheGraphic User Interface will be implemented through xwindow libraries. Users can use hot keys as well as mouse to play the game.

3.2.1.4. The software product detailed in this SRS would perhaps require more than 128 MB of Physical Memory depending on how large the game field is. The RAM needed by the product is essentially a function of the size of game, too. Memory constraints in the project are shown as figure 5.
3.2.1.5. User chooses the New game from the Game Menu. There are four modes can be chosen: 1. Beginner; 2. Intermediate; 3. Expert. Follow the logic solution to uncover the safe squares and mark the mines until all mines are identified. The heuristic will generate the next steps and the successful rate and time used will be analyzed.

3.2.2 Product Functions

As detailed before (and repeated here for the reader's benefit), the product functions are as follows:

3.2.2.1. Description and implementation of the minesweeper game.
3.2.2.2. Write a plan on how and when to finish the project. It is designed for common users, expert users and software development programmers.

3.2.2.3. Information regarding the patterns that can decide if there is or is not a mine. For example,

Figure 6. Cases with Safe Cells

3.2.2.4. Special attention will be paid in guessing the next step if there is no determinable step to take. For example, to guess the first square and second square. Or when there is no more information for deterministic step. One way is to try the safest square. The other way is to have some chances to take risks.

Figure 7. Cases with Risky Cells
3.2.2.5. Study and implement 3 heuristics to solve the minesweeper game. The initial idea is to implement (1) full search algorithm: try the first safe step; (2) overlap adjustment: find the overlap squares, increase the risk; (3) look up for special patterns: Find special patterns and apply simulation results of risk probability.

3.2.2.6. The performance will be analyzed and compared in the successful rate, time required and resource required. Sometimes, the competition only cares the minimal time to solve the problem. The heuristic may set the number of larger initial guessing steps to try its luck. If it unfortunately touches the mine, the heuristic can start over really quick. If it is lucky to get a large safe area, then it can divide the problem and solve it much faster. However, if the safety is the most important concern, the number of guessing steps will be minimized.

3.2.2.7. All description and source codes will available in pure text, Post-Script or Adobe Acrobat PDF format.
3.2.3 User Characteristics

The set of users can be grouped as follows:

a. Common user: Use minesweeper solver to help playing the game. There are two types of users: "Risk Averse" users will spend time avoiding risky guesses and "speed demons" who will take risks to finish quickly. By the way the "Risk Averse" types tend to play a version that doesn’t give a score—the Palm Pilot Mine Hunt for example. This software supports both!

b. Software development programmer: Analyze the performance and learn from statistics.

3.2.4 Constraints

The original software keeps only the highest score. Here the top ten of each mode will be recorded.

3.2.5 Assumptions and Dependencies

Sometimes, there is an assumption that the first top-left square is safe for the game or at least first 2 squares in the top-left corner is uncovered to guarantee the game can be finished more safely.
3.3 Specific Requirements

3.3.1 External Interface Requirements

Some of these interfaces have been prototypes in C and xwindow library.
3.3.2 Functional Requirements

3.3.2.1. User can mark the mine and uncover the safe squares. If user wants to get help from the solver, click the Automine menu and the safe squares will become green, the square with bomb would become red and size of yellow markers shows the risk.

Figure 9. The Automine Shows Green for Safe
3.3.2.2. The display will include the number of mines left and the time used. The program will be initiated by the user and will remain active as long as the user desires to remain playing new games. The highest ten scores will be recorded.

Top 10 Mine Sweepers

Beginners:

1. Richard  55 seconds  02/14/02  09:30:55
2. Angela    60 seconds  03/18/02  15:20:05

.........

10. Tiffany  500 seconds  06/11/02  23:55:16

Intermediate:

1. Richard  155 seconds  02/15/02  09:30:55
2. Angela    160 seconds  03/19/02  15:20:05

.........

10. Tiffany  800 seconds  06/12/02  23:55:16

Expert:

1. Richard  355 seconds  02/16/02  09:30:55
2. Angela    460 seconds  03/16/02  15:20:05

.........

10. Tiffany  1800 seconds  06/13/02  23:55:16
3.3.3 Performance Requirements

The product should be running normally at all times. The highest score list can be reset. The statistic of various heuristic and criteria will be analyzed. For example:

3.3.4 Software System Attributes

The software development programmer should be able to use the system for the following reasons:

a. Maintaining the system: remove the potential bugs in the system, improve the functions of the system based on user’s feedback, answering questions, updating the necessary information.

b. Security: encryption of the highest score record so that no one can edit it.

c. Backup: frequently back up the system and the information. If there is any damage or change in the system or data, the backup can be restored to replace or compare the data or system.

d. Check the good patterns used in the game and implement it in the database.
CHAPTER FOUR
PROBABILITY ANALYSIS

4.1 Risk Probability in Special Patterns

4.1.1 One Number Known

If there is only one number known, the probability of there is one mine in its neighborhood is \( n/8 \), where \( n \) is the known number. For the special case of the known number is at the corner or edge, the probability would become 1/3 and 1/5 respectively as shown in Figure 10.

4.1.2 Two Numbers Known

If there are two numbers known, the probability of there is one mine in its neighborhood is a very complicated conditional probability problem. The probability will be proportional to the combination of different situation and the size of board and the number of mines. For example, in the beginner level where \( X=8 \), \( Y=8 \), \( N=10 \), there are 64

Figure 10. One Number Known
squares in the board and there are 4x3=12 squares in the neighborhood of two known numbers and 64-12=52 squares outside the neighborhood.

For known numbers (1,1), there are two cases: (A) two numbers share 1 mine and (B) two numbers share 0 mines. 'a' is the probability if one of the top 3 squares has bomb. 'b' is the probability if one of the 4 middle squares has bomb. 'c' is the probability if one of the 3 bottom squares has bomb. P(A) is the conditional probability when special pattern (1, 1) happens and case (A) happens. P(B) is the conditional probability when special pattern (1, 1) happens and case (B) happens.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 11. (1, 1) Two Cases**

For case (A), there is one mine in the neighborhood and nine mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is $C(4,1) \times C(52,9)$, where $C(m,n)=m!/(m-n)!/n!=m\times(m-1)\times\ldots\times(m-n+1)/n!$. For case (B), there are two
mines in the neighborhood and eight mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is
\[ \binom{3}{1} \binom{3}{1} \binom{52}{8}. \] The sum of probability of case A and B equals 1. Therefore, the probabilities can be calculated from the ratio of the two cases.

\[ R = \frac{P(A)}{P(B)} = \frac{\binom{4}{1} \binom{52}{9}}{\binom{3}{1} \binom{3}{1} \binom{52}{8}} \]
\[ = \frac{4}{9} \frac{52 \times \ldots \times 45}{9!} \frac{44}{9!} \]
\[ = \frac{4}{9} \frac{44}{9} \]
\[ = 2.1728 \]

\[ P(A) + P(B) = 1 \]
\[ R \times P(B) + P(B) = 1 \]
\[ P(B) = \frac{1}{1 + R} = 0.3152 \]
\[ P(A) = 1 - P(B) = 0.6848 \]

For case (A), four squares share the same probability of one mine. Therefore,

\[ b = \frac{P(A)}{\binom{4}{1}} = 0.1712. \]

For case (B), three squares share the same probability of one mine. Therefore,

\[ a = c = \frac{P(B)}{\binom{3}{1}} = 0.105. \]

For known numbers (1,2), there are two cases: (A) two numbers share 1 mine and (B) two numbers share 0 mine.
For case (A), there is two mine in the neighborhood and eight mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is \(C(4,1) \cdot C(3,1) \cdot C(52,8)\). For case (B), there are three mines in the neighborhood and seven mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is \(C(3,1) \cdot C(3,2) \cdot C(52,7)\).

The sum of probability of case A and B equals 1. Therefore, the probabilities can be calculated from the ratio of the two cases.

\[
R = \frac{P(A)}{P(B)} = \frac{C(4,1) \cdot C(3,1) \cdot C(52,8)}{C(3,1) \cdot C(3,2) \cdot C(52,7)}
\]

\[
= \frac{12/9 \cdot \{52 \cdot \ldots \cdot 46 \cdot 45/8!\}}{52 \cdot \ldots \cdot 46/7!}
\]

\[
= \frac{12/9 \cdot 45/8}{12/9 \cdot 45/8}
\]

\[
= 7.5
\]

\[P(A) + P(B) = 1\]

\[R \cdot P(B) + P(B) = 1\]
\[ P(B) = \frac{1}{1+R} = 0.1176 \]

\[ P(A) = 1 - P(B) = 0.8824 \]

\[ = P(B) \times R \]

For case (A), four squares share the same probability of one mine. Therefore,

\[ b = \frac{P(A)}{C(4,1)} = 0.2204. \]

For case (B), three squares share the same probability of one mine.

Therefore,

\[ a = \frac{P(B)}{C(3,1)} = 0.0392. \]

\[ c = \frac{P(A)}{C(3,1)} + \frac{P(B)}{C(3,2)} \times 2 = 0.3725 \]

For known numbers (1,3), there are two cases: (A) two numbers share 1 mine and (B) two numbers share 0 mines (shown in Figure 13).

![Figure 13. (1,3) Two Cases](image)

For case (A), there is three mine in the neighborhood and seven mines outside the neighborhood. The total
Combination is \( C(4,1) \times C(3,2) \times C(52,7) \). For case (B), there are four mines in the neighborhood and six mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is \( C(3,1) \times C(3,3) \times C(52,6) \).

The sum of probability of case A and B equals 1. Therefore, the probabilities of A and B can be calculated from the ratio of the two cases.

\[
R = \frac{P(A)}{P(B)} = \frac{C(4,1) \times C(3,2) \times C(52,7)}{C(3,1) \times C(3,3) \times C(52,6)}
\]

\[
= \frac{12}{3} \times \frac{52 \times ... \times 47 \times 46}{7!} \times \frac{52 \times ... \times 47}{6!}
\]

\[
= \frac{12}{9} \times \frac{46}{7}
\]

\[
P(A) + P(B) = 1
\]

\[R \times P(B) + P(B) = 1\]

\[P(B) = \frac{1}{1 + R} = 0.1024\]

\[P(A) = 1 - P(B) = 0.8976\]

\[= P(B) \times R\]

For b in case (A), four squares share the same probability of one mine. Therefore,

\[b = \frac{P(A)}{C(4,1)} = 0.2244.\]

For a in case (B), three squares share the same probability of one mine. Therefore,

\[a = \frac{P(B)}{C(3,1) \times 1} = 0.0341.\]
For $c$ in both case (A) and (B), three squares share the same probability of one mine. Therefore,

$$c = \frac{P(A)}{C(3,2)} \times 2 + \frac{P(B)}{C(3,3)} = 0.5984 + 0.1024 = 0.7008$$

For known numbers (1,4), there is only one case: (A) two numbers must share 1 mine.

![Figure 14. (1,4) One Case](image)

For case (A), $P(A)=1$, $a=0$, $b = \frac{P(A)}{C(4,1)} = 0.25$, $c=1$.

For known numbers (2,2), there are three cases: (A) two numbers share 2 mines and (B) share 1 mine and (C) share 0 mines.
For case (A), there are two mines in the neighborhood and eight mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is \( C(4,2) \times C(52,8) \). For case (B), there are three mines in the neighborhood and seven mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is \( C(3,1) \times C(4,1) \times C(3,1) \times C(52,7) \). For case (C), there are four mines in the neighborhood and six mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is \( C(3,2) \times C(3,2) \times C(52,6) \).

The sum of probability of case A, B and C equals 1. Therefore, the probability can be calculated from the ratio of the three cases.

\[
R_1 = \frac{P(A)}{P(C)} = \frac{C(4,2) \times C(52,8)}{C(3,2) \times C(3,2) \times C(52,6)}
\]

\[
= \frac{6/9 \times \{52 \times \ldots \times 46 \times 45/8!\}}{\{52 \times \ldots \times 47/6!\}}
\]

\[
= \frac{6/9 \times 46 \times 45}{8/7}
\]

\[
= 24.64
\]
R2 = \frac{P(B)}{P(C)} = \frac{C(3,1) \times C(4,1) \times C(3,1) \times C(52,7)}{C(3,2) \times C(3,2) \times C(52,6)}

= \frac{36}{9} \times \frac{52 \times \cdots \times 47 \times 46}{7!} \times \frac{1}{52 \times \cdots \times 47}

= \frac{4 \times 46}{7}

= 26.28

P(A) + P(B) + P(C) = 1

R1 \times P(C) + R2 \times P(C) + P(C) = 1

P(C) = \frac{1}{1 + R1 + R2} = 0.01926

P(A) = R1 \times P(C) = 0.4746

P(B) = R2 \times P(C) = 0.5062

For a, c in case (B) and (C),

a = c = \frac{P(B)}{C(3,1)} + \frac{P(C)}{C(3,2)} \times 2 = 0.1816.

For b in case (A) and (B),

b = \frac{P(A)}{C(4,2)} \times 3 + \frac{P(B)}{C(4,1)} = 0.2373 + 0.1266 = 0.3639

(For example, (0011, 0101, 0110, 1001, 1010, 1100),

C(4,2) = 6 cases, in which 3 cases have the first = 1.)

For known numbers (2, 3), there are three cases: (A) two numbers share 2 mines and (B) two numbers share 1 mine and (C) share 0 mines.
Figure 16. (2,3) Three Cases

For case (A), there are three mines in the neighborhood and seven mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is \( C(4,2) \times C(3,1) \times C(52,7) \). For case (B), there are four mines in the neighborhood and six mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is \( C(3,1) \times C(4,1) \times C(3,2) \times C(52,6) \). For case (C), there are five mines in the neighborhood and five mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is \( C(3,2) \times C(3,3) \times C(52,5) \).

The sum of probability of case A and B equals 1. Therefore, it can be calculated from the ratio of the two cases.

\[
R_1 = \frac{P(A)}{P(C)} = \frac{C(4,2) \times C(3,1) \times C(52,7)}{C(3,2) \times C(3,3) \times C(52,5)}
\]

\[
= \frac{18/3 \times 52 \times \ldots \times 47 \times 46 / 7!}{52 \times \ldots \times 48 / 5!}
\]

\[
= \frac{18/3 \times 47 \times 46 / 7 \times 6}{52 \times \ldots \times 48 / 5!}
\]

\[
= 308.86
\]
\[ R2 = \frac{P(B)}{P(C)} = \frac{C(3,1) \times C(4,1) \times C(3,2) \times C(52,6)}{C(3,2) \times C(3,3) \times C(52,5)} \]
\[ = \frac{36}{3} \times \frac{52 \times \ldots \times 48 \times 47}{6!} / \frac{52 \times \ldots \times 48}{5!} \]
\[ = \frac{36}{3} \times 47 / 6 \]
\[ = 94 \]

\[ P(A) + P(B) + P(C) = 1 \]
\[ R1 \times P(C) + R2 \times P(C) + P(C) = 1 \]
\[ P(C) = \frac{1}{1+R1+R2} = 0.002476 \]
\[ P(A) = R1 \times P(C) = 0.7647 \]
\[ P(B) = R2 \times P(C) = 0.2327 \]

For a in case (B) and (C),
\[ a = \frac{P(B)}{C(3,1)} + \frac{P(C)}{C(3,2)} \times 2 = 0.0792. \]

For b in case (A) and (B),
\[ b = \frac{P(A)}{C(4,2)} \times 3 + \frac{P(B)}{C(4,1)} = 0.3824 + 0.0582 = 0.4406. \]

(For example, (0011, 0101, 0110, 1001, 1010, 1100),
\[ C(4,2) = 6 \text{ cases, 3 cases have the first}=1 \])

For c in both case (A), (B) and (C), therefore,
\[ c = \frac{P(A)}{C(3,1)} + \frac{P(B)}{C(3,2)} \times 2 + P(C) = 0.2549 + 0.1551 + 0.002476 = 0.4125 \]

For known numbers (2, 4), there are three cases: (A)
two numbers share 2 mines and (B) two numbers share 1 mine.

For case (A), there are 4 mines in the neighborhood
and 6 mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination
is \( C(4,2) \times C(3,2) \times C(52,6) \). For case (B), there are 5 mines in the neighborhood and 5 mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is \( C(3,1) \times C(4,1) \times C(3,3) \times C(52,6) \).

The sum of probability of case A and B equals 1. Therefore, it can be calculated from the ratio of the two cases.

\[
R = \frac{P(A)}{P(B)} = \frac{C(4,2) \times C(3,2) \times C(52,6)}{C(3,1) \times C(4,1) \times C(3,3) \times C(52,5)}
= \frac{18/12 \times (52 \times \ldots \times 47/6)!}{(52 \times \ldots \times 48/5)!}
= \frac{18}{12} \times \frac{47}{6}
= 11.75
\]

\[
P(A) + P(B) = 1
\]
\[
R \times P(B) + P(B) = 1
\]
\[
P(B) = 1/(1 + R) = 0.07843
\]
\[
P(A) = R \times P(B) = 0.9216
\]

For a in case (B),
\[
a = \frac{P(B)}{C(3,1)} = 0.02614.
\]

For b in case (A) and (B),
\[
b = \frac{P(A)}{C(4,2)} \times 3 + \frac{P(B)}{C(4,1)} = 0.4608 + 0.01961 = 0.4704.
\]

For c in both case (A), (B), therefore,
\[
c = \frac{P(A)}{C(3,2)} \times 2 + \frac{P(B)}{C(4,1)} = 0.6144 + 0.0784 = 0.6928
\]
For known numbers (3,3), there are 4 cases: (A) two numbers share 3 mines and (B) share 2 mine and (C) share 1 mine (D) share 0 mines.

For case (A), there are three mines in the neighborhood and seven mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is C(4,3)*C(52,7). For case (B), there are four mines in the neighborhood and six mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is C(3,1)*C(4,2)*C(3,1)*C(52,6). For case (C), there are five mines in the neighborhood and five mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is C(3,2)*C(3,2)*C(4,1)*C(52,5). For case (D), C(52,4).

The sum of probability of case A, B, C and D equals 1. Therefore, the probabilities can be calculated from the ratio of the four cases.

\[
R_1 = \frac{P(A)}{P(D)} = \frac{C(4,3)\times C(52,7)}{C(52,4)}
\]
\[
= \frac{4\times\{52\times\ldots\times47\times46/7!\}}{\{52\times\ldots\times49/4!\}}
\]
\[
= \frac{4\times48\times47\times46/7\times6/5}{1977}
\]

\[
R_2 = \frac{P(B)}{P(D)} = \frac{C(4,2)\times C(3,1)\times C(3,1)\times C(52,6)}{C(52,4)}
\]
\[
= \frac{54\times\{52\times\ldots\times47/6!\}}{\{52\times\ldots\times49/4!\}}
\]
\[
= \frac{54\times48\times47/6/5}{4060.8}
\]
\[ R3 = \frac{P(C)}{P(D)} = \frac{C(3,2) \times C(4,1) \times C(3,2) \times C(52,5)}{C(52,4)} \]

\[ = \frac{36 \times \{52 \times \ldots \times 48/5!\}}{52 \times \ldots \times 49/4!} \]

\[ = 36 \times 48/5 \]

\[ = 345.6 \]

\[ P(A) + P(B) + P(C) + P(D) = 1 \]

\[ R1 \times P(D) + R2 \times P(D) + R3 \times P(D) + P(D) = 1 \]

\[ P(D) = \frac{1}{1 + R1 + R2 + R3} = 0.00016 \]

\[ P(A) = R1 \times P(D) = 0.3096 \]

\[ P(B) = R2 \times P(D) = 0.6361 \]

\[ P(C) = R3 \times P(D) = 0.0541 \]

For a, c in case (B), (C) and (D),

\[ a = c = \frac{P(B)}{C(3,1)} + \frac{P(C)}{C(3,2)} \times 2 + P(D) = 0.2483. \]

For b in case (A), (B) and (C),

\[ b = \frac{P(A)}{3} + \frac{P(B)}{C(4,2)} \times 3 + \frac{P(C)}{C(4,1)} = 0.5637. \]

For known numbers (3, 4), there are three cases: (A) two numbers share 3 mines and (B) share 2 mines and (C) share 1 mine.

For case (A), there are 4 mines in the neighborhood and 6 mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is \( C(4,3) \times C(3,1) \times C(52,6) \). For case (B), there are 5 mines in the neighborhood and 5 mines outside the neighborhood.
The total combination is $C(3,1)\times C(4,2)\times C(3,2)\times C(52,5)$. For case (C), there are 6 mines in the neighborhood and 4 mines outside the neighborhood. The total combination is $C(4,1)\times C(3,2)\times C(52,4)$.

The sum of probability of case A, B and C equals 1. Therefore, the probabilities can be calculated from the ratio of the three cases.

$$R_1 = \frac{P(A)}{P(C)} = \frac{\frac{C(4,3)\times C(3,1)\times C(52,6)}{C(4,1)\times C(3,2)\times C(52,4)}}$$

$$= \frac{12}{12}\times\frac{52\times\cdots\times48\times47/6!}{52\times\cdots\times50\times49/4!}$$

$$= 12/12\times48\times47/6/5$$

$$= 75.2$$

$$R_2 = \frac{P(B)}{P(C)} = \frac{\frac{C(4,2)\times C(3,1)\times C(3,2)\times C(52,5)}{C(4,1)\times C(3,2)\times C(52,4)}}$$

$$= \frac{18}{12}\times\frac{52\times\cdots\times48/5!}{52\times\cdots\times49/4!}$$

$$= 54/12\times48/5$$

$$= 43.2$$

$$P(A)+P(B)+P(C)=1$$

$$R_1\times P(C) + R_2\times P(C) + P(C) = 1$$

$$P(C) = \frac{1}{1+R_1+R_2} = 0.00838$$

$$P(A) = R_1\times P(C) = 0.6298$$

$$P(B) = R_2\times P(C) = 0.3618$$

For a in case (B) and (C),

$$a = P(B)/C(3,1) + P(C)/C(3,2)\times2 = 0.1262.$$
For b in case (A) and (B) and (C),
\[ b = \frac{P(A)}{C(4,3)} \times 3 + \frac{P(B)}{C(4,2)} \times 3 + \frac{P(C)}{4} \]
\[ = 0.6554. \]

(For example, (0011, 0101, 0110, 1001, 1010, 1100),
\[ C(4,2) = 6 \text{ cases, 3 cases have the first=1} \])

For c in both case (A), (B) and (C), therefore,
\[ c = \frac{P(A)}{C(3,1)} + \frac{P(B)}{C(3,2)} \times 2 + \frac{P(C)}{4} \]
\[ = 0.4600 \]
4.2 Simulation Results

To verify the probability of these special patterns, a simulation utility program is developed. First, generate the board and randomly assign the mines. Second, count the total matched pattern and the total mines in each neighborhood location.

Pseudo code of sim_mine.c

// Minesweeper Utility

Define variable for beginner, medium, expert

main()
{
    // random seed
    srand48((int)time());
    // initialization
    new_board();
    // define the special pattern
    for(i=0;i<Row;i++)
        for(j=0;j<Col;j++)
        {
            //check in 4 directions to see if there is a hit
            hit();
            // calculate the total mines in each location
            sum();
        }
// report: show probability of each location

The simulation result is shown in Table 1. The assumption of this simulation is other squares are hidden except the known pattern. This would be a good approximation if not much area is uncovered. So the result is still good for guessing the safe square.

Table 3. Risk Simulated Results

<p>| | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1069</td>
<td>0.1050</td>
<td>0.1039</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0270</td>
<td>0.0242</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1711</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1716</td>
<td>0.2526</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
<td>0.4879</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1707</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1707</td>
<td>0.2422</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2552</td>
<td>0.4580</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1043</td>
<td>0.1052</td>
<td>0.1064</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.7104</td>
<td>0.6896</td>
<td>0.6811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0382</td>
<td>0.0387</td>
<td>0.0388</td>
<td>0.1820</td>
<td>0.1806</td>
<td>0.1789</td>
<td>0.2427</td>
<td>0.2504</td>
<td>0.2488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2217</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2220</td>
<td>0.3664</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3627</td>
<td>0.5685</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2203</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2203</td>
<td>0.3622</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3672</td>
<td>0.5612</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3709</td>
<td>0.3768</td>
<td>0.3680</td>
<td>0.1775</td>
<td>0.1806</td>
<td>0.1835</td>
<td>0.2497</td>
<td>0.2462</td>
<td>0.2460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0119</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
<td>0.0828</td>
<td>0.0779</td>
<td>0.0792</td>
<td>0.1430</td>
<td>0.1237</td>
<td>0.1201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2350</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2396</td>
<td>0.4388</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4357</td>
<td>0.6795</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2424</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2458</td>
<td>0.4435</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4422</td>
<td>0.6385</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.6295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6685</td>
<td>0.6937</td>
<td>0.6750</td>
<td>0.4143</td>
<td>0.4194</td>
<td>0.4062</td>
<td>0.4762</td>
<td>0.4418</td>
<td>0.4689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Discussion

Compare to the calculation, the simulation result is very good. The maximal variance of simulation result is about 0.04. However, the difference between the calculated probability and the average of simulation result is within +/- 0.0011. Therefore, the simulation and calculation is well matched.
Table 4. Calculated Probability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.1050</th>
<th>0.1050</th>
<th>0.1050</th>
<th>0.0000</th>
<th>0.0000</th>
<th>0.0000</th>
<th>0.0270</th>
<th>0.0270</th>
<th>0.0270</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1712</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1712</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
<td>0.4797</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1712</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1712</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2500</td>
<td>0.4797</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1050</td>
<td>0.1050</td>
<td>0.1050</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.6937</td>
<td>0.6937</td>
<td>0.6937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0392</td>
<td>0.0392</td>
<td>0.0392</td>
<td>0.1816</td>
<td>0.1816</td>
<td>0.1816</td>
<td>0.2473</td>
<td>0.2473</td>
<td>0.2473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2204</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2204</td>
<td>0.3639</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3639</td>
<td>0.5645</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2204</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2204</td>
<td>0.3639</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3639</td>
<td>0.5645</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3725</td>
<td>0.3725</td>
<td>0.3725</td>
<td>0.1816</td>
<td>0.1816</td>
<td>0.1816</td>
<td>0.2473</td>
<td>0.2473</td>
<td>0.2473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>0.0792</td>
<td>0.0792</td>
<td>0.0792</td>
<td>0.1289</td>
<td>0.1289</td>
<td>0.1289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2409</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2409</td>
<td>0.4406</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4406</td>
<td>0.6533</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2409</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2409</td>
<td>0.4406</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4406</td>
<td>0.6533</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.6533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6789</td>
<td>0.6789</td>
<td>0.6789</td>
<td>0.4125</td>
<td>0.4125</td>
<td>0.4125</td>
<td>0.4623</td>
<td>0.4623</td>
<td>0.4623</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 17. Results of Theory and Simulation
5.1 Architecture Design

In the automine project, the FindRisk() function is added to the basic xbomb program. The DrawRisk() function is added into the xwindow.c. Automine menu is added to toggle between enable/disable the helper. Figure 18 shows the architecture of the xbomb program and xwindow program as well as the modification of automine algorithms. In the left bottom corner, there are two blocks showing the time used and the bombs left.

![Figure 18. Initial Board of Beginner Level](image-url)
The architecture of the original xbomb is shown in Fig 19. When the square is chosen, if it is a bomb, the game is over; if it is in the safe area (0 bomb in its neighborhood), it will uncover all the connected safe area.
Figure 19. Architecture of Xbomb
In the automine project, the goal is to help users to achieve minimal time performance easily. The user should first randomly click several times to make the uncovered area as large as possible, more than 50%. It could hit bomb but speed is critical to achieve the minimal time.

![Automine Game Interface](image)

**Figure 20. First Click**
Figure 21. Enable Automine

After there is a large uncovered area, automine would show colors in hidden squares: green means absolutely safe, red means a bomb, yellow means unsure condition with the area proportional to the probability of risk. In many case, just follow the sequence to uncover or mark the closest green or red squares, the more information would lead to more certain decisions. However, there are still some cases that users need to guess when no green or red squares available. Users are suggested to choose the smallest risk. Keep in mind that sometimes the smallest risk one would still have a bomb hidden there.
Figure 22. Architecture of Automine
The project covers the three typical levels: beginner (8x8, 10 bombs), intermediate (16x16, 40 bombs) and difficult (16x30, 100 bombs).

Figure 23. Intermediate Level
Figure 24. Expert Level

Three algorithms are applied:

1. Full search: to find out the green and red ones according to the known information.

2. Adjustment of overlap probability: When the hidden square has two or more neighbors uncovered, the risk probability calculated with the individual information would be adjusted. For example, the overlap one would take the maximum risk and the others should be reduced.

3. Special Patterns: There are certain patterns whose probability could be calculated or simulated. With
a table look up scheme, the probability of the neighbor squares could be identified precisely.

There are some special cases that the automine does not use repeated checking. For example, the special pattern matching happens in the latest stage of the three algorithms. The (4,1) pattern has the red and green squares but it seldom happens. Note: the overlap adjustment has the limitation that does not change the red and green status but just change the probabilities.

![Figure 25. Risk of Special Pattern (1,4)](image)

Figure 25 shows the repeated updating of the FindRisk status. The first one that can be determined is square (2,2) that has one bomb in its neighborhood and has only one
covered square. So the square must have a bomb and shown red color. After knowing that one is a bomb, the square (3,2) and (2,3) could determine the other squares are safe and shown green. So the process will be repeated until there is no more change.

Figure 26. Risk of Patterns (1,1) and (1,2)

Figures 26, 27, 28 show the probability for special patterns.
Figure 27. Risk of Patterns (1,2) and (3,3)

Figure 28. Risk of Rotated Pattern (2,3)
5.2 Detailed Procedures

Here is an example of the expert level to illustrate the detailed procedures to use the automine game. The expert level here has 16x30 square board and 100 mines. The density of mine is higher that that of the beginner and medium level which has 8x8 board with 10 mines and 16x16 board with 40 mines. Figure 29 shows the result of the first 2 clicks. The safe area is not large enough. To achieve the best time performance, user needs to take the risk with more random clicks. Clicking green squares also gives more information for the risk averse player.

Figure 29. Initial Two Clicks
User can click on separated locations. Because the automine provides the precise probability with special pattern matching, it is suggested to click the adjacent squares. Figure 30 shows more clicks and there are special patterns (1,2) and (2,3). The risk probability below the (2,1) pattern is very small, so user can choose those quickly.

Figure 30. Choose the Smallest Risk
Figure 31 shows the results after choosing the small risk square below the special pattern (1,2). So those are really safe. User can see the risk area of the adjacent squares changed. However, those risks look similar because there is no enough information to tell the difference. If the probability is not making a big difference, it is high risk just to choose a small risk one. So it is time to uncover the know square to get more information.

Figure 31. Show Ordered Items' Status
Here is a quick illustration that mark on the red square which indicate there is a bomb is not helping to get more information. Because, the automine use the iteration algorithm to check all useful information. Only when green square is uncovered and the information in the square would tell how many mines in its neighborhood, then the automine get more information.

Figure 32. Marking Red Squares Would Not Help
There is a special pattern (2,3) that shows the small risk squares. User can select those and would get good results as shown in Figure 33.

Figure 33. Select the Small Risk Squares

So the safe area is quite large now and user can begin to select all the green squares so that that information can be used to get more green and red squares as shown in Figure 34.
Figure 34. Select Green

It is very possible that all the green squares are selected but user needs to guess by the risk probability as shown in Figure 35. User can either guess the high risk one is a bomb or guess the small risk one is safe. Figure 36 shows more green squares appear as the result of a lucky guess.
Figure 35. Need to Guess

Follow these procedures and there would be only red squares left as shown in Figure 37. Then mark all the red squares to win the game.
Figure 36. Get More Greens

Figure 37. Only Red Squares Left
It is really difficult to finish the expert level without help. Here shows the game finished in 325 seconds with documentation process going on. The good results with automine would generate like 200 seconds performance according to the experiment with some careful users.

Figure 38. Game Won, Stop

Applying this procedure, sample users improve the time performance a lot. For beginner level, average improvement is 67% to become less than 10 sec. For intermediate and expert levels, sample users can’t really finish one. But
the automine can help to achieve around 90 sec and 150 sec respectively.

Figure 39 shows the highest score of the beginner level. If you get the top ten score, it would show your latest score in dark. Otherwise, it would show your last score in the last row.

Figure 39. The Highest Score of Beginner Level
5.3 Pseudo Code

This section would illustrate the detailed implementation of automine algorithms. In the xbomb, there is a state variable showing the state of the square:

Table 5. State Variable of Xbomb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>state</th>
<th>status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-8</td>
<td>known, n=state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-72</td>
<td>covered, n=state-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Bomb; not used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>think bomb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```c
void FindRisk(void)
{
    First_Run{
        //update the average risk of the squares that have no neighborhood information.
        if(ro nopt equal 0 and ro not equal 1) risk[i][j] equal ro; // far risk
        // risk: check valid number of neighbors
        // then compare with the known number with safe
        // and think_bomb numbers. Suitable for all cases
```
// including edges and corners.
for(i=0;i<grid_width;i++)
    for(j=0;j<grid_height;j++)
        if (state[i][j]<Nbr) //uncovered
            { tb=0; kn=0; tn=0; un=0;
                for(k=-1;k<2;k++)
                    for(l=-1;l<2;l++)
                        {if(i+k>=O&&i+k<grid_width&&
                           j+l>=O&&j+l<grid_height) // avoid edge and corner
                            if(k!=0 or l!=0)
                                {tn++; //total neighbors
                                    if (state[i+k][j+l]<Nbr) kn++; //known uncovered
                                        if (state[i+k][j+l]==Think_bomb) tb++;
                                //thinkbomb
                                    un=tn-kn-tb; //unknown
                                        if (un>0) //check the risk
                                            {
                                                if (tb==state[i][j]) r=0;//others must be safe
                                                    else if (state[i][j]-tb==un) r=1;
                                                        //others must be bombs
                                                        else r=(double)(state[i][j]-tb)/un;
                                                //assign equal Risk
                                        }
                            }
            }
}
// update risk value
for(k=-1;k<2;k++)
    for(l=-1;l<2;l++)
        if(i+k>=0&&i+k<grid_width&&
            j+l>=0&&j+l<grid_height)
            if(k!=0 or l!=0)
                {if (state[i+k][j+l]>Nbr &&
                    state[i+k][j+l]!=Think_bomb) //covered
                    if (r==0 or risk[i+k][j+l]==0)
                        risk[i+k][j+l]=0;
                    else if (r==1 or risk[i+k][j+l]==1)
                        risk[i+k][j+l]=1;
                    //else if
                    (risk[i+k][j+l]!=0||risk[i+k][j+l]!=1) //get the latest
                    //else if
                    (risk[i+k][j+l]!=0&&risk[i+k][j+l]<r) //get the max Risk
                    // risk[i+k][j+l]=r;
                    else if
                    (risk[i+k][j+l]!=0|risk[i+k][j+l]!=1) //get f(a,b)=f(b,a)
                        risk[i+k][j+l]=(r+risk[i+k][j+l])/2.0;
                    //average
                    else ;
                }
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Print information;

} //uncovered left

} //find risk

} // First_run

// Second Run Repeated to check all information used
do{
    keep record;
    update average risk;
    check risk;
    update risk;
    check if any risk value changed;
} //do

while (change!=0);

// Overlap
int ov=0;

for(i=0;i<grid_width;i++)
    for(j=0;j<grid_height;j++)
        if (risk[i][j]>0.1 and risk[i][j]<0.9)
            {ov=0;
                for(k=-1;k<2;k++)
                    for(l=-1;l<2;l++)
                        {if(i+k>=0 and i+k<grid_width and j+l>=0&&j+l<grid_height)
if(k!=0 or l!=0)
    if (state[i+k][j+1]<Nbr) ov++;
}
if (ov==1) {risk[i][j]*=Add;}
else if (ov>1) {risk[i][j]*=Reduce;}

// isolated
int iso=0,k1,l1,n1,m;
//0:12, 1:13, 2:14, 3:23, 4:24, 5:25, 6:34, 7:35, 8:45
static double r1[9][3]=
{0.04,0.21,0.37, 0.01,0.24,0.68, 0.00,0.25,1.0,
  0.09,0.43,0.42, 0.03,0.47,0.70, 0.00,0.50,1.0,
  0.13,0.65,0.46, 0.09,0.69,0.75, 0.27,0.79,0.61};
for(i=1;i<grid_width-1;i++)
    for(j=1;j<grid_height-1;j++)
    {
        if(state[i][j]==1)
        {
            iso=0;
            for(k=-1;k<2;k++)
                for(l=-1;l<2;l++)
                    if(k!=0 or l!=0)
                    {
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if(state[i+k][j+1]==2 and iso==0)
{iso=1;k1=k;l1=l;n1=0;}

else if(state[i+k][j+1]==3 and iso==0)
{iso=1;k1=k;l1=l;n1=1;}

else if(state[i+k][j+1]==4 and iso==0)
{iso=1;k1=k;l1=l;n1=2;}

else
if(state[i+k][j+1]>63&&state[i+k][j+1]!=112){;}

else {iso=2;break;}

}

//1
repeat for case 2, 3 and 4;
if(iso==1) // Yes isolation
    update risk;
}

//for iso

// Draw Risk
for(i=0;i<grid_width;i++)
    for(j=0;j<grid_height;j++)
        if(state[i][j]>Nbr and state[i][j]!=Think_bomb)
            {
                DrawRisk(i,j,risk[i][j]);
            } //draw

} // FindRisk
void DrawRisk(int x, int y, double value)
{
    /* Find the position. */
    // 0: black 1: red 2: yellow 3: blue 4: grey 5: green
    6: white
    s=sqrt(value); //calculate side from risk
    if(value==0) draw green;
    else draw gray; then draw yellow/red;
}
6.1 Source Files

In the automine project, there are 13 source code files.

Table 6. Source Files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File Path</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>automine/COPYING</td>
<td>copyright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/FILES</td>
<td>Files Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/LSM</td>
<td>Program Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/README</td>
<td>Readme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/ChangeLog</td>
<td>Tracking changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/Makefile</td>
<td>Compile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/hiscore.c</td>
<td>High score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/automine.c</td>
<td>Main program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/automine.h</td>
<td>Including header</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/xwindow.c</td>
<td>Xwindow function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/automine.6</td>
<td>manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/automine.ad</td>
<td>Application default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>automine/icon.h</td>
<td>Icon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2 Installation Description

The installation of Automine is very simple. Just follow the two steps.

1. Copy the above files in 6.1 to an automine directory.

2. Run the makefile $ make (see APPENDIX C).
CHAPTER SEVEN

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTION

7.1 Conclusions

In the automine project, the goal is to help users to achieve minimal time performance easily. The user should first randomly click several times to make the uncovered area as large as possible, more than 50%. It could hit and bomb but that is critical to achieve the minimal time. After there is a large uncovered area, automine would show there colors in hidden squares: green means absolutely safe, red means a bomb, yellow means unsure condition with the area proportional to the probability of risk. In many case, just follow the sequence to uncover or mark the closest green or red squares, the more information would lead to more certain decisions. However, there are still some cases that users need to guess when no green or red squares available. Users are suggested to choose the smallest risk. Keep in mind that sometimes the smallest risk one would still have a bomb hidden there.

The project covers the three typical levels: beginner (8x8, 10 bombs), intermediate (16x16, 40 bombs) and difficult (16x32, 80 bombs).
Three algorithms are applied:

1. Full search: to find out the green and red ones according to the known information.

2. Adjustment of overlap probability: When the hidden square has two or more neighbors uncovered, the risk probability calculated with the individual information would be adjusted. For example, the overlap one would take the maximum risk and the others should be reduced.

3. Certain Patterns: There are certain patterns whose probability could be calculated or simulated. With a table look up scheme, the probability of the neighbor squares could be identified precisely.

7.2 Future Direction

The most interesting automine project should be extended with a PC camera that can capture the information on any system and any variation of program. Then reproduce the information in the server computer, apply the automine algorithm and show suggestions on server computer. Therefore, the user can use the help from the automine to beat any record in any machine.

The application should include the PC camera software development kit (SDK) and simple pattern recognition
algorithms to tell the numbers, safe area (0 bomb) and the marked bomb. These are the only information needed by the automine to provide the suggestions.

Besides, the xbomb has three types of minesweeper games: regular, triangle and hexagon. The algorithm could be applied to those special games with modification. Furthermore, the parallel processing algorithm using Spider System or PVM can be applied. The server will divide the problem into several segments and distribute to clients. One possible way is, for example, to segment the 8x8 field to four 5x5 fields. The other way is assign a new possible way to a new client to follow up.
APPENDIX A

SOURCE CODE OF AUTOMINE
void FindRisk(void)
{
    int i, j, k, l, tb=0, kn=0, tn=0, un=0, change;
    double r=0, ro=0, rol;
    // ro is the basic risk=covered bomb/covered square
    static int Think_Bomb=112, Wrong_Bomb=98, Max_Nbr=8, Hidden=64;
    static double Overlap_Add=1.05, Overlap_Reduce=0.9;
    tb=0; kn=0; tn=0; un=0;
    // First Run
    for(i=0; i<grid_width; i++)
        for(j=0; j<grid_height; j++)
            if(state[i][j]==Think_Bomb||state[i][j]==Wrong_Bomb) tb++;
    if(state[i][j]<=Max_Nbr) kn++;
    // First Run
    for(i=0; i<grid_width; i++)
        for(j=0; j<grid_height; j++)
            if(ro!=0&&ro!=1) risk[i][j]=ro; // far risk
    // find risk
    for(i=0; i<grid_width; i++)
        for(j=0; j<grid_height; j++)
            if (state[i][j]<=Max_Nbr) // uncovered
                { tb=0; kn=0; tn=0; un=0;
                    for(k=-1; k<2; k++)
                        for(l=-1; l<2; l++)
                            if(i+k>=0&&i+k<grid_width&&j+l>=0&&j+l<grid_height)
                                if(k!=0||l!=0) {tn++; // total neighbors
                                    if(state[i+k][j+l]<=Max_Nbr) kn++; // known uncovered
                                    if (state[i+k][j+l]==Think_Bomb||state[i+k][j+l]==Wrong_Bomb)
                                        tb++; // think bomb
                                }
                } un=tn-kn-tb; // unknown
    if (un>0) // check the risk
        { if (tb==state[i][j]) r=0; // others must be safe
            else if (state[i][j]-tb==un) r=1; // others must be bomb
            else r=(double)(state[i][j]-tb)/un; // assign equal risk
                for(k=-1; k<2; k++)
                    for(l=-1; l<2; l++)
                        if(i+k>=0&&i+k<grid_width&&j+l>=0&&j+l<grid_height)
                            if(k!=0||l!=0) { l=1;
                                if (state[i+k][j+l]>Max_Nbr&&state[i+k][j+l]==Think_Bomb&&state[i+k][j+l]==Wrong_Bomb) // covered
                                    if (r==0||risk[i+k][j+l]==0) risk[i+k][j+l]=0;
                                    else if (r==1) risk[i+k][j+l]=1;
                                    // else if (risk[i+k][j+l]=0) // get the latest
                                    else if (risk[i+k][j+l]=0&&risk[i+k][j+l]<r) // get the max
                                        Risk
                                        // risk[i+k][j+l]=r;
                                        else if (risk[i+k][j+l]=0||risk[i+k][j+l]=1) // get f(a,b)=f(b,a)
                                            risk[i+k][j+l]=(r+risk[i+k][j+l])/2.0; // average
                                    else ;
            }
    // + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
    // Calculate Risk
    // + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
    // initialization
    // + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
}
fprintf(stderr,"i=%d j=%d tn=%d kn=%d tb=%d un=%d\n",i,j,tn,kn,tb,un);
}

//uncovered left
}

//find risk

//Second Run
close=0;
do{
for(i=0;i<grid_width;i++)
for(j=0;j<grid_height;j++)
riskl[i][j]=risk[i][j]; //keep record
rol=ro;
tb=0;kn=0;tn=0;un=0;
for(i=0;i<grid_width;i++)
for(j=0;j<grid_height;j++)
(if(state[i][j]==Think_Bomb||state[i][j]==Wrong_Bomb) tb++;
else if (risk[i][j]==1) tb++;
else if (state[i][j]<=Max_Nbr) kn++;
else if (risk[i][j]==0) kn++;
else ;}
if(grid_height*grid_width-kn-tb>0)
ro=(double)(grid_bombs-tb)/(grid_height*grid_width-kn-tb);
for(i=0;i<grid_width;i++)
for(j=0;j<grid_height;j++)
if (risk[i][j]==rol&&ro!=0&&ro!=1) risk[i][j]=ro; //update far risk

//update risk
for(i=0;i<grid_width;i++)
for(j=0;j<grid_height;j++)
if (state[i][j]<Max_Nbr) //uncovered
{tb=0;kn=0;tn=0;un=0;
for(k=-1;k<2;k++)
for(l=-1;l<2;l++)
{if(i+k>=0&&i+k<grid_width&&j+l>=0&&j+l<grid_height)
  if(k!=0||l!=0)
    {tn++; //total neighbors
    //consider risk==0 or 1
    if (state[i+k][j+l]<=Max_Nbr) kn++;;
    else if (risk[i+k][j+l]==0) kn++; //known uncovered
    else if (state[i+k][j+l]==Think_Bomb||state[i+k][j+l]==Wrong_Bomb)
      tb++;
    else if (risk[i+k][j+l]==1) tb++; //think bomb
    else ;}
}
}
un=tn-kn-tb; //unknown
if (un>0) //check the risk
{if (tb==state[i][j]) r=0; //others must be safe
else if (state[i][j]-tb==un) r=1; //others must be bomb
else r=(double)(state[i][j]-tb)/un; //assign equal Risk
for(k=-1;k<2;k++)
for(l=-1;l<2;l++)
{if(i+k>=0&&i+k<grid_width&&j+l>=0&&j+l<grid_height)
  if(k!=0||l!=0)
    {if (state[i+k][j+l]>Max_Nbr&&!state[i+k][j+l]==Think_Bomb&&!state[i+k][j+l]==Wrong_Bomb) //covered
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if (risk[i+k][j+1]! = 0 & & risk[i+k][j+1]! = 1) // covered
if (r = 0 || risk[i+k][j+1] = = 0) risk[i+k][j+1] = 0;
else if (r = = 1 || risk[i+k][j+1] = = 1) risk[i+k][j+1] = 1;
// else if (risk[i+k][j+1] = = 0 || risk[i+k][j+1] = = 1) // get the latest
// else if (risk[i+k][j+1] = = 0 & & risk[i+k][j+1] < r) // get the max
Risk
// risk[i+k][j+1] = r;
else if (risk[i+k][j+1] <= r) // get the max
risk[i+k][j+1] = (r + risk[i+k][j+1]) / 2.0; // average
}
}
// for
}
// uncovered left
// find risk
change = 0;
for (i = 0; i < grid_width; i++)
for (j = 0; j < grid_height; j++)
if (risk[i][j] >= 0.1 & & risk[i][j] <= 0.9)
change = 0;
for (k = -1; k < 2; k++)
for (l = -1; l < 2; l++)
if ((i + k) >= 0 & & i + k < grid_width & & j + l >= 0 & & j + l < grid_height)
if (k = = 0 || l = = 0)
if (state[i + k][j + l] <= Max_Nbr) ov++;
if (ov = = 1)
if (risk[i][j] = = Overlap_Reduce)
else if (ov > 1) {risk[i][j] = = Overlap_Add;}
}
// isolated
int iso = 0, kl, ll, nl, m;
// 0: 0.12, 1: 1.13, 2: 1.14, 3: 3.23, 4: 4.24, 5: 5.25, 6: 6.34, 7: 7.35, 8: 8.45
static double rl[9][3] = {
0.04, 0.21, 0.37, 0.01, 0.24, 0.68, 0.00, 0.25, 1.0,
0.09, 0.43, 0.42, 0.03, 0.47, 0.70, 0.00, 0.50, 1.0,
0.13, 0.65, 0.46, 0.09, 0.69, 0.75, 0.27, 0.79, 0.61};
for (i = 1; i < grid_width - 1; i++)
for (j = 1; j < grid_height - 1; j++)
if (state[i][j] = = 1)
{iso = 0;
if (state[i][j] = = 1)
{iso = 0;
for (k = -1; k < 2; k++)
for (l = -1; l < 2; l++)
if (k = = 0 || l = = 0)
{iso = 0;
if (state[i + k][j + l] = = 2 & & iso = = 0) (iso = = 1, kl = = k, ll = = l, nl = = 0);
else if (state[i + k][j + l] = = 3 & & iso = = 0) (iso = = 1, kl = = k, ll = = l, nl = = 1);
else if (state[i + k][j + l] = = 4 & & iso = = 0) (iso = = 1, kl = = k, ll = = l, nl = = 2);
else
if (state[i + k][j + l] = = Hidden & state[i + k][j + l] = = Think_Bomb & state[i + k][j + l] = = Wrong_Bomb);}
else (iso=2; break;
}
}  //1
else if(state[i][j]==2)
{
  iso=0;
  for(k=-1;k<2;k++)
  for(l=-1;l<2; l++)
  if(k!=0||l!=0)
  {   
    if(state[i+k][j+l]==3&&iso==0) {iso=1; kl=k; ll=l; nl=3;}
    else if(state[i+k][j+l]==4&&iso==0) {iso=1; kl=k; ll=l; nl=4;}
    else if(state[i+k][j+l]==5&&iso==0) {iso=1; kl=k; ll=l; nl=5;}
    else
    {
      if(state[i+k][j+l]>=Hidden&&state[i+k][j+l]!=Think_Bomb&&state[i+k][j+l]!=Wrong_Bomb){;}
      else (iso=2; break;)
    }
  }
}
}  //2
else if(state[i][j]==3)
{
  iso=0;
  for(k=-1;k<2;k++)
  for(l=-1;l<2;l++)
  if(k!=0||l!=0)
  {   
    if(state[i+k][j+l]==4&&iso==0) {iso=1; kl=k; ll=l; nl=6;}
    else if(state[i+k][j+l]==5&&iso==0) {iso=1; kl=k; ll=l; nl=7;}
    else
    {
      if(state[i+k][j+l]>=Hidden&&state[i+k][j+l]!=Think_Bomb&&state[i+k][j+l]!=Wrong_Bomb){;}
      else (iso=2; break;)
    }
  }
}
}  //3
else if(state[i][j]==4)
{
  iso=0;
  for(k=-1;k<2;k++)
  for(l=-1;l<2; l++)
  if(k!=0||l!=0)
  {   
    if(state[i+k][j+l]==5&&iso==0) {iso=1; kl=k; ll=l; nl=8;}
    else
    {
      if(state[i+k][j+l]>=Hidden&&state[i+k][j+l]!=Think_Bomb&&state[i+k][j+l]!=Wrong_Bomb){;}
      else (iso=2; break;)
    }
  }
}
}  //4

if(iso==1)   // Yes isolation
  //update
  if((kl==1||kl==-1)&&ll==0)
  {
    for(m=-1;m<2;m++)
    {   
      n01(&risk[i+2*kl][j+m], r1[nl][2]);
      n01(&risk[i+kl][j+m], r1[nl][1]);
      n01(&risk[i][j+m], r1[nl][1]);
      n01(&risk[i-kl][j+m], r1[nl][0]);
    }
  }
  if((ll==1||ll==-1)&kl==0)
  {
    for(m=-1;m<2;m++)
    {   
      n01(&risk[i+m][j+2*ll], r1[nl][2]);
      n01(&risk[i+m][j+ll], r1[nl][1]);
      n01(&risk[i+m][j], r1[nl][1]);
    }
  }
nOL(&risk[i+m][j-l1],rl[nl][0]);}
} //update
} //for iso

// Draw Risk
for(i=0;i<grid_width;i++)
  for(j=0;j<grid_height;j++)
    if(state[i][j]>Max_Nbr && state[i][j]!=Think_Bomb&&
      state[i][j]!=Wrong_Bomb)
      { DrawRisk(i,j,risk[i][j]);
    } //draw
} // FindRisk

// check if the old risk is neither 0 nor 1 then update with new risk
void nOL(double *m, double v)
{   if (*m>0&&*m<1) *m=v;
}
B.1 SOURCE CODE

// Automine Minesweeper Utility
// Test the probability of special pattern
// Angela Tsujui Huang
// Beta Version 1.0
// 10/04/2002

#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <math.h>

//global variables
//mine number; max 50x50
#define Max 50
#define Nbr 8 //Neighbor
#define Bomb 9
#define Sim_Times 1E4
#if defined BEGINER
#define ROW 8
#define COL 8
#define Pmn 10
#else
#define ROW 16
#define COL 16
#define Pmn 40
#endif
#if defined INTERMEDIATE
#define ROW 16
#define COL 16
#define Pmn 40
#else
#define ROW 32
#define COL 32
#define Pmn 100
#endif

int board[Max][Max], hit[Max][Max];

int sum(int i, int j)
{
    int s;
    if(i==0&&j==0) s=(board[i][j+1]>Nbr)+(board[i+1][j]>Nbr)+(board[i+1][j+1]>Nbr);
    else if(i==Row-1&&j==0) s=(board[i][j+1]>Nbr)+(board[i-1][j]>Nbr)+(board[i-1][j+1]>Nbr);
    else if(i==0&j==Col-1) s=(board[i][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr);
    else if(i==Row-1&j==Col-1) s=(board[i-1][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i-1][j]>Nbr)+(board[i-1][j]>Nbr);

    else if(i==0) s=(board[i+1][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i+1][j]>Nbr)+(board[i+1][j]>Nbr)
    + (board[i][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr);
    else if(i==Row-1) s=(board[i-1][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i-1][j]>Nbr)+(board[i-1][j]>Nbr)
    + (board[i][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr);
    else if(j==0) s=(board[i-1][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i-1][j+1]>Nbr)+(board[i-1][j+1]>Nbr)
    + (board[i][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr);
    else if(j==Col-1) s=(board[i][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr)
    + (board[i][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr);

    else s=(board[i][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr)
    + (board[i][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr)
    + (board[i][j-1]>Nbr)+(board[i][j+1]>Nbr);
    //printf("i=%d %d %d %d\n",i,j, (int)(board[i][j-1]>Nbr),board[i][j+1]>Nbr,s);
}

return s;
}

void new_board()
```c
int n=Row*Col, tmp[Max*Max];
for(i=0;i<n;i++) tmp[i]=i;
for(i=0;i<pnm;i++)
{
    k=drand48()*n;
    r=tmp[k]/Col;
    c=tmp[k]%Col;
    board[r][c]=Bomb;
    tmp[k]=tmp[n-1];
    n--;
}
for(i=0;i<Row;i++)
for(j=0;j<Col;j++)
{
    if (board[i][j]==0)
        board[i][j]=sum(i,j);
}

//Print board
/*
for(i=0;i<Row;i++)
{
    for(j=0;j<Col;j++)
        printf("%d ",board[i][j]);
    printf("\n");
}*/
}

void stat()
{
    int i,j,k,r,c,x,y;
    for(i=2;i<Row-2;i++)
    for(j=2;j<Col-2;j++)
    {
        if(board[i][j]==N1)
        {
            if(board[i+1][j]==N2)
            {  
                for(r=0;r<PRow;r++)
                for(c=0;c<PCol;c++)
                    hit[r][c]+=board[i+r-1][j+c-1]>Nbr;
                valid++;
            }
            if(board[i-1][j]==N2)
            {
                for(r=0;r<PRow;r++)
                for(c=0;c<PCol;c++)
                    hit[r][c]+=board[i-r+1][j-c+1]>Nbr;
                valid++;
            }
            if(board[i][j+1]==N2)
            {
                for(r=0;r<PRow;r++)
                for(c=0;c<PCol;c++)
                    hit[r][c]+=board[i-r][j+c+1]>Nbr;
                valid++;
            }
            if(board[i][j-1]==N2)
            {
                for(r=0;r<PRow;r++)
                for(c=0;c<PCol;c++)
                    hit[r][c]+=board[i+r][j-c+1]>Nbr;
                valid++;
            }
        }
    }
}

main()
{
    int i,j,k,r,c;
    float avg;
    // random seed
```
printf("%d\n", i=(int)time());
srand48(i);
scanf("%d %d %d %d", &Row, &Col, &pmn, &N1, &N2);

// initialization
for(i=0;i<PRow;i++)
    for(j=0;j<PCol;j++)
        hit[i][j]=0;

// Begin
for(k=0;k<Sim_Times;k++)
{
    for(i=0;i<Row;i++)
        for(j=0;j<Col;j++)
            board[i][j]=0;
    new_board();
    stat();
}
printf("\nReport.... Row= %d Col= %d pmn= %d\n\n",Row, Col, pmn);

// Report
printf("Valid sample: %d\n",valid);
hit[1][1]=N1*valid;
hit[2][1]=N2*valid;
for(i=0;i<PRow;i++)
{
    for(j=0;j<PCol;j++)
        printf("%f ", (float)hit[i][j]/valid);
    printf("\n");
}
printf("\n");
avg=0;
for(i=0;i<PRow;i++)
    for(j=0;j<PCol;j++)
        avg+=hit[i][j];
printf("avg= %f\n", (float)avg/valid-N1-N2);

B.2 RUN SCRIPT

echo "8 8 10 1 1" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "8 8 10 1 2" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "8 8 10 1 3" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "8 8 10 1 4" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "8 8 10 2 2" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "8 8 10 2 3" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "8 8 10 2 4" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "8 8 10 3 3" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "8 8 10 3 4" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 16 40 1 1" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 16 40 1 2" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 16 40 1 3" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 16 40 1 4" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 16 40 2 2" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 16 40 2 3" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 16 40 2 4" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 16 40 3 3" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 16 40 3 4" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 1 1" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 1 2" /sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 1 3" |./sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 1 4" |./sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 1 5" |./sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 2 2" |./sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 2 3" |./sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 2 4" |./sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 2 5" |./sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 3 3" |./sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 3 4" |./sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 3 5" |./sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 4 4" |./sim_mine>>t.dat
echo "16 32 99 4 5" |./sim_mine>>t.dat

B.3 RESULT

1086073538
Report.... Row= 8 Col= 8 pmn= 10
Valid sample: 90510
0.106927 0.105038 0.103944
0.171141 1.000000 0.171583
0.170688 1.000000 0.170677
0.104331 0.105215 0.106364
avg= 1.315910

1086073538
Report.... Row= 8 Col= 8 pmn= 10
Valid sample: 43272
0.038200 0.038709 0.038801
0.221714 1.000000 0.222037
0.220258 2.000000 0.220281
0.370933 0.376826 0.367952
avg= 2.115710

1086073539
Report.... Row= 8 Col= 8 pmn= 10
Valid sample: 6899
0.011886 0.011016 0.014350
0.234962 1.000000 0.239600
0.242354 3.000000 0.245833
0.668503 0.693724 0.675025
avg= 3.037252

1086073539
Report.... Row= 8 Col= 8 pmn= 10
Valid sample: 384
0.000000 0.000000 0.000000
0.252604 1.000000 0.250000
0.242188 4.000000 0.255208
1.000000 1.000000 1.000000
avg= 4.000000

1086073539
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample</th>
<th>0.181985 0.180623 0.178914</th>
<th>0.366372 2.000000 0.362682</th>
<th>0.362211 2.000000 0.367214</th>
<th>0.362211 2.000000 0.367214</th>
<th>0.177453 0.180573 0.183496</th>
<th>avg = 2.541521</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40377</td>
<td>0.181985 0.180623 0.178914</td>
<td>0.366372 2.000000 0.362682</td>
<td>0.362211 2.000000 0.367214</td>
<td>0.362211 2.000000 0.367214</td>
<td>0.177453 0.180573 0.183496</td>
<td>avg = 2.541521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1086073539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample</th>
<th>0.082798 0.077923 0.079161</th>
<th>0.438753 2.000000 0.435735</th>
<th>0.443473 3.000000 0.442157</th>
<th>0.414300 0.419407 0.406175</th>
<th>avg = 3.239882</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12923</td>
<td>0.082798 0.077923 0.079161</td>
<td>0.438753 2.000000 0.435735</td>
<td>0.443473 3.000000 0.442157</td>
<td>0.414300 0.419407 0.406175</td>
<td>avg = 3.239882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1086073539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample</th>
<th>0.027042 0.024225 0.029859</th>
<th>0.487887 2.000000 0.490141</th>
<th>0.458028 4.000000 0.482817</th>
<th>0.710423 0.689577 0.681127</th>
<th>avg = 4.081127</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>0.027042 0.024225 0.029859</td>
<td>0.487887 2.000000 0.490141</td>
<td>0.458028 4.000000 0.482817</td>
<td>0.710423 0.689577 0.681127</td>
<td>avg = 4.081127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1086073539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample</th>
<th>0.242654 0.250387 0.248840</th>
<th>0.568536 3.000000 0.566428</th>
<th>0.561226 3.000000 0.561929</th>
<th>0.249684 0.246169 0.246028</th>
<th>avg = 3.741881</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7113</td>
<td>0.242654 0.250387 0.248840</td>
<td>0.568536 3.000000 0.566428</td>
<td>0.561226 3.000000 0.561929</td>
<td>0.249684 0.246169 0.246028</td>
<td>avg = 3.741881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1086073539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample</th>
<th>0.143030 0.123718 0.120097</th>
<th>0.679541 3.000000 0.665661</th>
<th>0.638503 4.000000 0.629451</th>
<th>0.476162 0.441762 0.468920</th>
<th>avg = 4.386844</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1657</td>
<td>0.143030 0.123718 0.120097</td>
<td>0.679541 3.000000 0.665661</td>
<td>0.638503 4.000000 0.629451</td>
<td>0.476162 0.441762 0.468920</td>
<td>avg = 4.386844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1086073539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample</th>
<th>0.099985 0.099509 0.099236</th>
<th>0.175145 1.000000 0.175327</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>795102</td>
<td>0.099985 0.099509 0.099236</td>
<td>0.175145 1.000000 0.175327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1086073539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

92
0.175335 1.000000 0.175463  
0.099263 0.099479 0.099988  

avg= 1.298730  
1086073540  

Report.... Row= 16 Col= 16 pmn= 40  
Valid sample: 359524  
0.040790 0.040220 0.040278  
0.218428 1.000000 0.218353  
0.221051 2.000000 0.220881  
0.371594 0.375978 0.373716  

avg= 2.121288  
1086073540  

Report.... Row= 16 Col= 16 pmn= 40  
Valid sample: 60339  
0.014667 0.014153 0.013706  
0.238585 1.000000 0.241784  
0.239033 3.000000 0.235072  
0.678649 0.679710 0.684168  

avg= 3.042526  
1086073541  

Report.... Row= 16 Col= 16 pmn= 40  
Valid sample: 3364  
0.000000 0.000000 0.000000  
0.244946 1.000000 0.252973  
0.240785 4.000000 0.261296  
1.000000 1.000000 1.000000  

avg= 4.000000  
1086073541  

Report.... Row= 16 Col= 16 pmn= 40  
Valid sample: 341355  
0.188575 0.186179 0.186158  
0.359585 2.000000 0.359596  
0.360088 2.000000 0.359819  
0.186211 0.186495 0.188206  

avg= 2.560912  
1086073542  

Report.... Row= 16 Col= 16 pmn= 40  
Valid sample: 118816  
0.089079 0.089700 0.089811  
0.433157 2.000000 0.433081  
0.433065 3.000000 0.433107  
0.422746 0.422830 0.422014  

avg= 3.267590  
1086073542  

93
Report....  Row= 16  Col= 16  pmn= 40  
Valid sample: 17341  
0.032812  0.033966  0.033677  
0.473502  2.000000  0.474655  
0.477135  4.000000  0.474252  
0.694193  0.708091  0.698172  
 avg= 4.100456  
1086073543  

Report....  Row= 16  Col= 16  pmn= 40  
Valid sample: 71520  
0.269114  0.268820  0.266303  
0.549692  3.000000  0.548923  
0.548280  3.000000  0.548867  
0.266848  0.269379  0.268009  
 avg= 3.804237  
1086073543  

Report....  Row= 16  Col= 16  pmn= 40  
Valid sample: 18813  
0.154893  0.153192  0.158188  
0.629777  3.000000  0.636262  
0.633870  4.000000  0.633817  
0.492053  0.489024  0.485196  
 avg= 4.466273  
1086073544  

Report....  Row= 16  Col= 32  pmn= 99  
Valid sample: 1505522  
0.118099  0.117747  0.118533  
0.161066  1.000000  0.161650  
0.161789  1.000000  0.161116  
0.118370  0.117798  0.118211  
 avg= 1.354379  
1086073545  

Report....  Row= 16  Col= 32  pmn= 99  
Valid sample: 837394  
0.050655  0.051064  0.050833  
0.212022  1.000000  0.211751  
0.211841  2.000000  0.211835  
0.384150  0.384285  0.384117  
 avg= 2.152552  
1086073546  

Report....  Row= 16  Col= 32  pmn= 99  
Valid sample: 180653  
0.018411  0.018538  0.018234
0.236359  1.000000  0.235346  
0.235922  3.000000  0.237190  
0.685087  0.684821  0.685275  

avg=  3.055183

1086073547

Report.... Row=  16 Col=  32 pmn= 99

Valid sample:  13315

0.000000  0.000000  0.000000
0.252572  1.000000  0.253323
0.249643  4.000000  0.244461
1.000000  1.000000  1.000000

avg=  4.000000

1086073548

Report.... Row=  16 Col=  32 pmn= 99

Valid sample:  0

nan  nan  nan
nan  nan  nan
nan  nan  nan
nan  nan  nan

avg=  nan

1086073549

Report.... Row=  16 Col=  32 pmn= 99

Valid sample:  901694

0.213000  0.213038  0.212986
0.340433  2.000000  0.340100
0.340339  2.000000  0.340104
0.212916  0.212928  0.213180

avg=  2.639024

1086073550

Report.... Row=  16 Col=  32 pmn= 99

Valid sample:  377893

0.110486  0.110314  0.110275
0.417605  2.000000  0.417658
0.416515  3.000000  0.417147
0.443686  0.444173  0.443215

avg=  3.331075

1086073551

Report.... Row=  16 Col=  32 pmn= 99

Valid sample:  70280

0.045120  0.046429  0.044863
0.468284  2.000000  0.465581
0.465894  4.000000  0.463830
0.713389  0.710031  0.712991

avg=  4.136411
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.498239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.498899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.503963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.498899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.498899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg</td>
<td>5.000000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>267376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.297985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.298142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.299215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.525556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.527157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.527224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.524722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.298965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.298191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.298187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg</td>
<td>3.895342</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>85212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.186453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.183484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.183530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.612296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.612907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.611991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.609339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.515855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.519094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.518519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg</td>
<td>4.553467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>12637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.087125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.086729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.084039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.687426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.686318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.684814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.683546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.752315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.751840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.753739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg</td>
<td>5.257893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>42071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.390293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.386656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.383756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.711868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.707899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.707708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.711820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.383542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.386394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.390768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avg</td>
<td>5.160705</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Col</th>
<th>pmn</th>
<th>Valid sample:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

96
0.269848 0.275330 0.278173
0.796650 4.000000 0.791878
0.793503 5.000000 0.794619
0.611574 0.608122 0.603655

avg = 5.823350
APPENDIX C

MAKEFILE
# This file Copyright 2004 Angela T. Huang
# It may be distributed under the GNU Public License, version 2, or
# any higher version. See section COPYING of the GNU Public license
# for conditions under which this file may be redistributed.
#
CC=gcc
CFLAGS=-O2

INCLUDES=

LIB=
XLIB=-L/usr/X11R6/lib -lXaw -lXmu -lXt -lX11

COMPILE=$(CC) -c $(CFLAGS)
LINK=$(CC)

OBJ=automine.o xwindow.o hiscore.o
INSTDIR=/usr/local

########
automine : $(OBJ)
    $(LINK) $(OBJ) -o $@ $(LIB) $(XLIB)

########
%.o : %.c
    $(COMPILE) $< -o $@ $(INCLUDES)

automine.o : automine.c automine.h
xwindow.o : xwindow.c automine.h icon.h
hiscore.o : hiscore.c automine.h

########
clean :
    -rm -f *o *- core

########
install :
    strip automine
    install -d $(INSTDIR)/bin
    install -d $(INSTDIR)/man/man6
    install -d $(INSTDIR)/lib/app-defaults
    install -m 755 automine $(INSTDIR)/bin
    install -m 644 automine.6 $(INSTDIR)/man/man6
    install -m 644 automine.ad $(INSTDIR)/lib/app-defaults/Automine
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