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ABSTRACT

This paper presents the development of a new learning platform in Virtual Reality to create a more immersive and intuitive learning experience for introduction of programming courses at an intermediate level. This platform is designed to create a central hub for interactive courseware and facilitate distance learning in our post COVID world. Utilizing Virtual Reality, the application teaches specific topics in Computer Science using scripted animations, tutorials, and interactive games. A pilot study was conducted to evaluate the user experience and learning outcomes. Participants of this study reported they were more engaged and motivated in learning programming concepts. We found the virtual learning modules helped to explain abstract concepts and provided better hands-on experiences.
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Virtual Reality (VR) has been a popular topic for study regarding recent technology. Its proposed benefits have been researched extensively. Similarly, Gamification has also been quite influential. Taking a concept and making an interactive game has been quite appealing to the educational industry. Many previous studies and reviews have been conducted regarding both of these ideas, including prototypes, implementation, and proposed benefits. In this paper, our application, Computer Science Virtual Interactive Laboratory (CSVIL), attempts to make use of this past research in VR and Gamification to create a new learning experience.

By combining a VR environment with Gamification techniques, this application seeks to increase the effectiveness of learning different Computer Science topics. The educational industry has recently displayed an expanding interest in VR technology. Being able to create simulations of real-world concepts is becoming more appealing to both the public and private sectors. After reviewing literature and previous works, it seems that Computer Science does not have many options for utilizing VR courseware. We created this application to supplement this absence. Developing such an application also allows us to further study student interest in VR courseware and as such evaluate their response to the application’s content. In addition, this application provides a
better understanding of the design challenges and feasibility for developing VR courseware for different disciplines. Our hope is to create a VR courseware platform for the post COVID community, where online and hybrid education will likely be a strategic priority.

This paper will present existing research in both VR and Gamification techniques, the application’s development process, the applications Use and execution, and a student evaluation of the application. To study the student’s perception of VR courseware, our evaluation focused on the user's experience and the proposed learning outcomes of the application.
CHAPTER TWO
LITERATURE REVIEW

We will briefly discuss the promising benefits of both Gamification and VR by summarizing the results from previous literature. The following review is the culmination of recent work regarding studies of similar topics.

Gamification, as Hernandez explains in his article, “is described as the process of game thinking and using its mechanisms to attract users to solve problems. This definition can be applied to any situation; however, in the educational field, Gamification refers to using elements of the game to engage students, motivate them to action, and promote learning and problem-solving. Gamification implies learning by doing and learning by interacting” (Hernandez et al., 2021). Grimley confirms this in his investigation of whether learning through a game can improve student learning compared to a lecture approach. “Increased feelings of being active, involvement and a perception of challenge were reported when interacting with the game leading to higher engagement for students” (Grimley et al., 2021). In a similar study conducted by North and his co-authors, they report that creativity and creative thinking were also improved using a Gamification approach saying, “Disciplined imagination as being related to imaginative creations, risk-taking and participating in challenging tasks seemed to be amplified through game play. There seems to be consensus on how game-based learning supports and potentially improves awareness and knowledge on
certain subject areas contributing to learning effectiveness” (North et al., 2021). Finally, in his study, Role of Gamification in Engineering Education, Anil states that, “electronic based Gamification tools stimulate interest, increase the participation among young generation engineers to the lectures, and enable professors to track the students’ learning progress in every stage of curricula. Additionally, the findings highlight that Gamification increases students’ critical thinking ability and efficiency in problem solving since they retain information and apply the new learned concepts in an integrated learning approach” (Anil et al., 2021). Gamification and game-based learning is thus a tool developers can use to take different topics and create immersive interactive experiences to increase the learning outcome. Based on the numerous studies on the topic, it is evident that Gamification is quite an effective tool. Increasing participation and interest in topics that are generally tedious or tiresome will undoubtedly increase the learning outcome and experiences of the student participants. For this application Gamification is applied similarly to how the authors describe above. Taking different topics in Computer Science, we can create different interactive tutorials. Rather than simply listening to a verbal explanation of how a specific algorithm is performed, we can apply Gamification to create captivating and interactive animations to visualize and present flat two-dimensional (2D) topics in a three-dimensional (3D) space.

In contrast to Gamification, VR is a relatively new technology. This technology allows users to immerse themselves into applications and games
creating an entirely new way to learn. Traditional game-based learning is done in a 3D space using a mouse and keyboard for input. With VR we are placed in the world using headsets and sensors. Instead of just clicking on buttons, we can now move within the application to grab virtual objects and interact with them. VR offers a much higher level of immersion than was previously possible. Stender, Paehr and Jambor explain additional benefits in more detail. “VR applications generate a higher degree of immersion compared to other media thus potentially improving the learning experience. VR technologies make it possible to provide learners with computer-generated virtual, 3D content, in addition to reality to support learning processes. VR also allows learners to interact with these virtual elements. One of the well described didactic benefits of VR is that it enables learners to gain a better spatial ability of phenomena, machines or technical installations. In particular, learners with a lower spatial ability benefit from a reduction of mental load. Also, the applications allow for a more intuitive interaction than other learning media placing additional information and the learning subject in a direct spatial context so that fewer transfer steps have to be taken during learning. By visualizing additional virtual content and information in AR-applications, learners' attention can be focused on the current work step and the learners can be guided through the workflow. Work processes can be learned and understood by doing them directly. And if learning content is linked to movement, the retention rate for process learning improves” (Stender et al., 2021). From Stender's analysis, it is evident that this increase in immersion and
physical interaction helps students to truly digest and divulge themselves in the VR courseware. Removing outside sources of distraction and providing a much more immersive and interactive experience allows students to achieve a much greater grasp on the concepts in which they are presented. In a similar paper to our own, Hurtado and his co-authors created a VR simulation to create a Universal Robot 5 e-Series in virtual reality. Their application allowed them to learn and use the robot in VR without interacting with their real-world counterparts (Hurtado et al., 2021). Similarly, our application allows students to utilize and interact with technology without using compilers or developing programs. Instead, users can interact with or view algorithms, and concepts, as they are executed. Our application allows users to learn and experience Computer Science topics without the need of a supporting lecture or hands on coding. Students can learn and experience the topics in real time with the ability to watch data and code being manipulated as if they were viewing the internal system of the compiler. Our application and Hurtado’s are similar in the sense that we allow students to utilize and learn how to use a Computer Science based technology, except we provide additional tutorials and resources for better cementing and learning the concepts we provide.

Based on this review of literature, it is evident that the increase in interest and interaction through Gamification, in addition to the increase of immersion and access to a controllable virtual environment through VR, will not only promote but also increase retention, understanding, and motivation of each topic presented in
the virtual environment. Our hope is to effectively utilize this past research to deploy a successful learning platform that will hopefully influence future learning applications.
The CSVIL application was designed over the course of a year on the Unity game engine. Utilizing Steam VR, Unity’s primary scripting language, C#, and the HTC Vive Virtual Reality Headset, we developed a suitable learning platform for college students to learn Computer Science topics. The CSVIL application was designed as a learning platform for Computer Science students to study and learn about topics utilizing Virtual Reality. During development we adopted a modified Agile software development model with sufficient time to define requirements, develop, and test, followed by scheduled meetings to evaluate progress.

The development of the application was challenging and took some time to solidify a design and style. Since this application is the first of its kind, it was very difficult to find similar works, ideas, or concepts to utilize. Researching topics was simple, but creating interactive tutorials and animations was challenging with the sparsity of similar VR courseware. Our User Interface (UI) design was also changed multiple times during development. Unfortunately, there were multiple instances where the entire layout of sections or topics had to be completely changed. With the multitude of different algorithms and concepts, it became a challenge when a fix or change was required. When such an instance occurred, then all similar tutorials for each topic had to be modified as
well, which sometimes caused a further list of issues to reveal themselves. It became quite evident that topics and animations should have been developed in sequence, one after another, instead of parallel to each other. During our meetings we had more to show, but as a result some concepts may have taken longer as opposed to if we had approached development more sequentially. These challenges provided an amazing learning experience, and with the help of online resources, including Unity documentation, Steam VR documentation, Brackeys, and VR with Andrew, we were able to develop a suitable application.

As a result of our efforts, the project provides college level students with a multitude of tutorials, animations, and explanations for students to observe and study. Each module provides these students with access to different functions or topics for them to utilize.

The Main Hub

Upon starting the application, students will find themselves in the Main Hub. This Main Hub acts as the central passageway to the three learning modules that the application provides, i.e., Sorting Algorithms, Programming Paradigms and Lecture Hall. This hub also includes tutorials that give controller layout information, offers an introduction for each module, and provides access to teleportation to each module.
Module 1 Sorting Algorithms

The first of these modules is a virtual room for learning sorting algorithms. To supplement this section, we created multiple tutorials and animations for five different sorting algorithms. These algorithms include Merge Sort, Quicksort, Selection Sort, Insertion Sort and Bubble sort. Each sorting algorithm provides students with a multitude of panels for key concepts of each algorithm. These panels provide scripted tutorials, text explanations, and interactive games for students to utilize (see Figure 1).

Figure 1. Image of the Sorting Algorithm Room.

Each sorting algorithm consists of 5 to 6 different tutorials. The first panel is a text tutorial that verbally describes how the algorithm is performed. The first version of this panel was a simple slide show. But now the panel utilizes a scrolling text page, and students may also switch pages to view the explanation.
of the time complexity tutorial for the algorithm. This text tutorial was designed for students who prefer a verbal or text-based explanation of how the algorithms work.

The next panel provides an animation that allows students to run an array of their choosing through the sorting algorithm. They can use a number pad to push and pop numbers on and off the array, or they can push 5 random numbers, up to a maximum of thirty array elements. Next, they can then run the sorting algorithm over the array they created. At any time, students can pause the algorithm, change the algorithm’s execution speed, step back to the previous step, or step forward to the next step of the algorithm's execution. The animation also provides students with a visual representation of the array as it is being sorted, a view of the code, including the current line of code being run, and a 3D graph that displays the array and how it changes over time. This graph is meant to give students a visualization of how the array looks when it changes. Providing a graphical interface is a unique way for students to examine the array over time (see Figure 2).
Our next panel provides a similar animation. This panel runs the algorithm over a random array. It will perform animated swaps, and it will change colors of each element as it is being iterated over by the algorithm. This panel is designed to allow students to visualize the sorting pattern that each algorithm has. Using different colors, this panel allows students to see how each different algorithm iterates through its array. When the algorithm is evaluating the block, it will light up red. When an algorithm is performing a swap, the swapping blocks will light up green. Finally, when the algorithm has locked a position, or is finished running, the respective blocks will light up blue. All five algorithms have a specific pattern or rhythm that they are designed to follow. This tutorial puts emphasis on this pattern and attempts to expose this to the user without using any code.

The following panel allows students to perform the algorithm on their own. Students must perform each step of the algorithm to complete the tutorial.
Students must grab different array elements and perform the algorithm themselves without a compiler. Students have access to a guidance mode and a test mode. Much like the previous tutorial, guidance mode will highlight the index that students must swap. However, students must interact with the blocks and perform the swap themselves. In contrast, test mode requires students to interact and perform swaps without assistance of any highlighting. Test mode will also record correct answers, incorrect answers, and number of retries (see Figure 3). In the future we hope to be able to stream this information to a host so that professor can evaluate results.

![Image of the Guidance Mode](image)

Figure 3. Image of the Guidance Mode

The final panel for this topic is only present for sorting algorithms that utilize recursion. Specifically, Merge sort and Quicksort. This panel provides students with a look at which recursive method is being called at any given time,
in addition to a visual representation of how recursion affects the array during the algorithm’s execution. The left panel shows which recursive call is being executed, and the right panel shows how the array is being affected by the recursion. The array in this panel is designed to resemble a descending tree and gives students a new representation and visualization of how recursion is performed for these algorithms (see Figure 4).

![Image of the Recursion Tutorial](image.png)

**Figure 4. Image of the Recursion Tutorial**

**Module 2 Programming Paradigm Room**

The second module is a virtual room for learning programming paradigms. This room is separated into different sections depending on the paradigm. This module offers information on Object Oriented paradigms, Functional paradigms,
Logical paradigms, and Procedural paradigms in addition to small sample programs for each concept (see Figure 5).

Figure 5. Image of the Programming Paradigms Room

For each different paradigm we include multiple different panels covering the differences for each paradigm. The first of these panels covers what languages are included or used for each paradigm. Additional panels include different features and characteristics that the programming paradigm offers. The Object-Oriented section offers tutorials on Scope, Inheritance, Function Overloading, Operator overloading, Abstraction, Encapsulation, and Polymorphism. The Procedural section offers tutorials on Scope, Procedures, and Modulation. Since Procedural is similar to Object Oriented, some of its features are shared. The Logical section offers tutorials on Queries, Relationships and Facts, Rules, and Recursive Rules. Finally, The Functional
section offers features on Stateless Programming, High Order Functions, Lazy Evaluation, Abstraction, and Inheritance. Each of these tutorials includes a text description of the feature and a small example program written in a language for that paradigm. These example programs are designed to showcase the specific feature and can be paused and resumed at any time during their execution. They will attempt to show how the specific feature for that paradigm can be utilized in an actual real-world problem (see Figure 6).

![Inheritance](image)

Figure 6. Image of an Object-Oriented Sample Program

Module 3 Lecture Hall

The third and final module is a virtual Lecture Hall where students or professors will be able to hold interactive lectures or presentations. In the future, when multiplayer is added, the host of the room will be able to upload
presentations or videos and display them to an audience of their choosing. Students will have access to a Lecture Hall to supplement this module (see Figure 7).

Figure 7. Image of the Lecture Hall
CHAPTER FOUR
APPLICATION EXECUTION

To use and execute the application, users must have specific hardware, software, and equipment. To start, users will need a modern Personal Computer (PC) with available PCIe slot. In addition, this PC must have an Intel Core i5-4590/AMD FX 8350 equivalent or better, NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1060, AMD Radeon R9 480 equivalent or better, 4 GB RAM or more, and 64-bit Windows 10 or Windows 7 SP1 (VIVE, 2021). Users will also need to install Steam, and the newest version of Steam VR. Finally, users will need to have access to a headset, and controllers that are supported by the steam VR software. Once all requirements are covered, users can navigate to the GitHub repository to install and operate the CSVIL application.
CHAPTER FIVE
EVALUATION

To evaluate the effectiveness and useability of the application, we conducted a testing and evaluation session to gather and record the student experiences and perceptions. Students were brought into a lab and asked to test the application. Students were given fifteen minutes to explore the game and try all tutorials that interested them. After this testing period, participants were given a short survey to record their experiences. The research questions of this evaluation include:

- To what extent are users comfortable with using VR equipment to interact with the application?
- How long does it take for users to begin feeling fatigued or distracted?
- What are the users’ overall experiences in learning computer programing in VR?
- To what extent does VR affect or improve the users' learning motivations and outcomes?

For this evaluation we recruited volunteers from different Computer Science classes. We managed to recruit fourteen participants to assist with evaluation and testing of the application. This number suffered due to how close testing was to finals. The majors by participants include Computer Science
(71.4%), Computer Engineering (7.1%) and Computer Systems (21.4%). The survey students were administered included a fourteen question google form with multiple choice and short answer questions. This test came in two major sections entitled User Experience and Learning outcomes.

User Experience

The User experience section was used to record the user's opinion of interactable systems during the use of the application. User experience plays particular attention to the user's perception of controls, UI, and environment. These are questions posed to evaluate the application's design rather than its effectiveness. The first question we asked participants was to rate their familiarity with VR simulations. Most students reported some familiarity with VR (see Figure 8).
In addition to their familiarity with VR, we also asked participants how well they perceived the control layout for the application (see Figure 9). Controls for VR applications can sometimes be obscure and confusing at first. The VR technology is not as common as a mouse and keyboard, so it is possible that some may find the new control scheme difficult. The evaluation yielded results that seem to correlate with our first question’s responses. Given the small number of participants in the pilot study, we did not conduct correlation analysis between users’ prior experience on VR and their perception on learning.
Figure 9. Question: Were Virtual Reality Controls Simple to Use and Easy to Understand?

Following these questions, we asked students to rate their opinion of the environment and decor in simulations focused on learning. Students reported that they believe environment and decor to be a necessity in such platforms. This suggests that the final version of the application may need more interior design or environmental clutter to make students more satisfied or comfortable with the simulation. Possibly an empty simulation room is perceived as unnerving and dull, which could affect user experience.

To finish off the section, we asked students to express any critiques with interface and panel design, in addition to if they felt fatigued or dizzy at all during the simulation. Most students did not feel fatigued but six did report slight dizziness after 15 minutes of testing. Most students' suggestions and critiques involved changing font size of different tutorials. VR has a much smaller
resolution depending on the hardware utilized, so it is possible the headset affected how clear the font appeared for participants during testing.

Learning Outcome

The learning outcome section of the survey focused on the users’ perception of the modules. This section was designed to evaluate whether students thought the tutorials were useful as educational learning materials. The first question of this section asked students to rate how well the application taught sorting algorithms. Since the Sorting Room was a major section of the project, with most of our interactive modules and animations, this question would heavily determine if further development of such tutorials would be worthwhile. During testing many of the participants expressed their intrigue at watching a 2D object be manipulated in a 3D space. Most participants were very interested in the many ways that our learning modules represented sorting algorithms. Where before students could only view a sorting algorithm through code, our tutorials attempted to provide a new way to look at the algorithms during their execution (see Figure 10).
Figure 10. Question: How Well Did the Simulation Teach Sorting Algorithm Concepts?

Now that students had reported their evaluation of our tutorials, we wanted to compare these interactive tutorials with others that could be accessed online. To supplement this question, we asked students to express whether they would prefer an animated tutorial in VR or a traditional tutorial much like those found on coding websites. Three students reported that they still prefer traditional tutorials, but the remaining students reported that they preferred the VR method instead (see Figure 11).
We also asked whether VR affected the student experience with learning Computer Science. We received very similar results from our first question of this section with most students agreeing that VR increases interest in Computer Science topics. Participants found the ability to view data in a virtual space incredibly interesting and expressed this verbally during their evaluation. Many students enjoyed watching the arrays being manipulated, as this allowed them to watch the algorithms in action. Providing the visual aids and representations seemed to captivate and motivate students to pay more attention to the animations and tutorials.

The next question we posed to the participants allowed them to report their overall experience with the simulation (see Figure 12). Most students reported a satisfactory overall experience. However, this time we did have one student that was not particularly satisfied with the application. It is possible the
student’s overall experience was affected due to the lack of environment detail and text polish. Unfortunately, we were not able to inquire more due to the anonymity of the survey results. This application is still considered to be in an alpha state. As a result, many changes will be made before an official release, and we will continuously work on improving user experience.

Figure 12. Question: How Would You Rate Your Overall Experience with the Simulation?

The final question in this section asked participants to report how likely they would be to recommend the application to other students. Most students reported that they would recommend the application. With the new way to observe and learn different topics in computer science, students seemed hopeful for the future of the application. Their suggestions mentioned adding a guide that helped touring the different sections of the applications, much like a butler that
would escort participants and show them how to use algorithms. Their enthusiasm provided a confident and optimistic perception for the future of the platform.

With this survey, we can see many different areas in which we can improve the application. A final question was posed to allow students to give suggestions for the application. Many gave good advice that will be very beneficial for further development. Student participation was incredibly helpful, and all participants provided great feedback for us to improve the platform.
CHAPTER SIX

CONCLUSION

This project presents one of the first platforms for learning college level Computer Science topics in VR. Through the interactive tutorials and game style quizzes, students were given the opportunity to learn about five different sorting algorithms and four different programming paradigms. Each topic provides multiple tutorials and panels of information, in addition to multiple interactive modules for students to utilize. Through our evaluation, it can be concluded that the application was successful in both improving the learning outcome and providing a new interesting and immersive experience. Most participants expressed an optimistic opinion for the application, and by the evaluation it was evident that the program was both usable and increased the learning experience for each topic. By providing captivating animations and interactive tutorials, we can immerse students in a virtual learning environment that was not previously possible. The participants that had the opportunity to test the application expressed interest in future developments, and most were very satisfied with the new style of learning. Traditional lectures simply cannot provide hands-on interactive experience to such a degree. With the ability to present flat 2D topics in a 3D space, this platform gives students a new and unique way to view Computer Science topics. Seeing these topics for the first time had participants interested and focused. Observing a sorting algorithm on paper is flat and
uninteresting, but watching the array transform over time provides a fascinating alternative that has not been utilized previously.

This VR platform does have its limitations however, and the most obvious is the fact that VR equipment is cumbersome to use. VR headsets can be quite expensive which makes it difficult for institutions to provide a multitude for their students. A non-VR version was made to supplement this fact, but it is evident that the level of immersion will be nowhere close to the original style we had intended. For future studies, we would also prefer a much larger sample size for evaluation.
APPENDIX A

DEMOGRAPHIC SURVEY ITEMS
Demographic Survey Items

Questions:

• What is your major?
  o Computer Science
  o Computer Engineering
  o Computer Systems
  o Bioinformatics

• Please select your class standing:
  o Freshman
  o Sophomore
  o Junior
  o Senior
  o Graduate

• Please indicate your gender:
  o Male
  o Female
  o Other

• Please tell us your age:
  o (Short Answer)

Source: Self-Constructed

Note (Short Answer): indicates the ability for users to type their own answer to this question.
APPENDIX B

USER EXPERIENCE SURVEY ITEMS
Questions:

- Please rate how familiar you are with Virtual Reality Simulations
  - (Number scale)

- Were the tutorials on VR controllers simple to understand and easy to use? Did you find them helpful?
  - (Number scale)

- Are there any VR interfaces, panels, or controls that you believe were difficult to use or understand?
  - (Long Answer)

- Do you believe lighting or decorations play a role in the learning outcome and affect user experience?
  - (Number scale)

- How long did you play the game before feeling fatigued or distracted?
  - Less than 15 minutes.
  - Over 15 minutes.
  - I did not feel fatigued or distracted during testing.

Source: Self-Constructed

Note (Long Answer): indicates the ability for users to type their own answer to this question.
Note (Number Scale): indicates the ability for users to select a number between 1 and 5 to measure and record the degree in which they agree with the question. 1 means strongly disagree, 5 means strongly agree.
APPENDIX C

LEARNING OUTCOME SURVEY ITEMS
Learning Outcomes Survey Items

Questions:

• How would you rate your overall experience with the simulation?
  o (Number scale)

• Please rate how well you believe this simulation explained and taught sorting algorithm concepts.
  o (Number scale)

• Would you agree VR makes learning Computer Science topics more interesting?
  o (Number scale)

• Which type of learning tool would you prefer?
  o Animated VR simulation
  o Traditional tutorial with animation
  o Text tutorials
  o No preference

• Please rate how likely you would be to recommend the application to other students?
  o (Number scale)

• Any additional comments, or suggestions?
  o (Long Answer)

Source: Self-Constructed
Note (Long Answer): indicates the ability for users to type their own answer to this question.

Note (Number Scale): indicates the ability for users to select a number between 1 and 5 to measure and record the degree in which they agree with the question. 1 means strongly disagree, 5 means strongly agree.
APPENDIX D

APPLICATION CODE AND EXECUTABLE
Application Code and Executable

https://github.com/sev9008/Masters_Project1

Source: Self-Constructed

Note: The source code, and all available documentation a conference paper and executable applications are found using this link.
APPENDIX E

INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
Institutional Review Board


I. Source: CSUSB Institutional Review Board Administration Acceptance Email

II. Institutional Review Board

CSUSB INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
Administrative/Exempt Review Determination

Date: October 11, 2021

To: Prof. Yung-Hee Hsu, Profs. Yubao Liu, and Mr. Benjamin Alexander

Subject: Approval of CSUSB Masters Study

Dear Prof. Hsu, Profs. Liu, and Mr. Alexander:

Your application to use human subjects, titled “CSUSB Masters Study,” has been reviewed and determined exempt by the Chair of the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of CSUSB, San Bernardino. This determination means that your study met the federal requirements for exempt status under 45 CFR 46.104. The CSUSB IRB has weighed the risks and benefits of the study to ensure the protection of human participants.

This approval notice does not replace any departmental or additional campus approvals which may be required including access to CSUSB campus facilities and affiliate campuses. Investigators should consider the changing COVID-19 circumstances based on current CDC, California Department of Public Health, and campus guidance and submit appropriate protocol modifications to the IRB as needed. CSUSB campus and affiliate health screenings should be completed for all campus human research-related activities. Human research activities conducted off-campus sites should follow CDC, California Department of Public Health, and local guidance. See CSUSB's COVID-19 Prevention Plan for more information regarding campus requirements.

You are required to notify the IRB before the following as mandated by the Office of Human Research Protections (OHRP) federal regulations 45 CFR 46 and CSUSB IRB policy. The forms (modification, renewal, unanticipated adverse event, study closure) are located in the Cayuse IRB System with instructions provided in the IRB Applications, Forms, and Submission webpage. Failure to notify the IRB of the following requirements may result in discontinue action. The Cayuse IRB system will notify you when your protocol is due for renewal. Ensure you file your protocol renewal and continuing review form through the Cayuse IRB system to keep your protocol current and active unless you have completed your study.

- Ensure your CITI Human Subjects Training is kept up-to-date and current throughout the study.
- Submit a protocol modification (change) if any changes (no matter how minor) are proposed in your study for review and approval by the IRB before being implemented in your study.
- Notify the IRB within 60 days of any unanticipated adverse events are experienced by subjects during your research.
- Submit a study closure through the Cayuse IRB submission system once your study has ended.

If you have any questions regarding this IRB decision, please contact Michael Gillespie, the Research Compliance Officer. Mr. Gillespie can be reached by phone at (909) 537-7588, by fax at (909) 537-7038, or by email at mgillespi@csusb.edu. Please include your application approval number “IRB-FY2022-68” in all correspondence. Any complaints you receive from participants and/or others related to your research may be directed to Mr. Gillespie.

Best of luck with your research.

Sincerely,

Nicole Dadds

Nicole Dadds, Ph.D., IRB Chair
CSUSB Institutional Review Board
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