

California State University, San Bernardino

CSUSB ScholarWorks

Q2S Enhancing Pedagogy

Spring 5-21-2020

Rubric

Helen Ross
helen.ross@csusb.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/q2sep>



Part of the [Sociology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Rubric General Education Writing Intensive

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by CSUSB ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Q2S Enhancing Pedagogy by an authorized administrator of CSUSB ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@csusb.edu.

Name: Weekly Journal

Description: Reflection on weekly topic(s) and lecture, responding to instructor prompt for each module

Rubric Detail:

Levels of Achievement

Criteria	Below Expectations	Passing	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Formatting Weight 15%	No clear introduction, discussion and conclusion. Fails to cite sources. Does not adhere to APA style 50%	There is an introduction, discussion and conclusion; they are not clearly delineated. Some errors in APA style; few cites to journals or literature. 70%	Follows APA style, with minor errors; Does not provide the required cites to literature. 85%	Perfect APA formatting in text and cites. Meets all requirements. 100%
Organization Weight 10%	Little effort to provide a cohesive presentation. There are few or no transitional sentences; poorly organized. 50%	Hard to follow line of thinking from paragraph to paragraph. Little or no use of information from the readings or lecture. 70%	Ideas are somewhat scattered. Better use of transitional sentences is needed. 85%	Ideas are arranged logically. Organization of ideas based on the readings is evident. Easy flow from one idea to next. 100%
Grammar Weight 15%	Excessive spelling and grammatical errors.	Some grammatical and spelling errors.	Few (<3) grammatical and spelling errors.	Almost (0-1) no grammatical or spelling errors.
Length Weight 10%	Does not meet the required length. 50%	Close to or meets the required length; however, journal is merely finished without solid conclusion. 70%	Meets required length 85%	Exceeds required length 100%
Discussion Weight 30%	Little or no compare and contrast; or, inadequate use of pros and cons with regard to the subject matter. Topic not fully explained nor discussed in any great detail. Does not meet minimum requirements. 50%	Discussion of compare and contrast or pros and cons limited. Barely meets minimum requirements. 70%	Topic is clearly stated. Compare and contrast or pros and cons; most topic(s) fully fleshed out. Meets minimum requirements but could have provided more detail. 85%	Introductory paragraph describes the topic clearly. There is a clear explanation of the topic making sure that any neutral audience would understand the focus. All key ideas are articulated. Good use of compare and contrast and/or pros and cons. 100%
On Topic Weight 20%	Topic not addressed. 50%	Limited articulation of the topic. 70%	Topic fully addressed. 85%	Topic fully addressed, with attention to detail and expansion where needed. 100%