Communications of the IIMA

Volume 9 | Issue 3

2009

United We Stand? Exploring the Willingness to Cooperate Amongst Open Source Service Providers

A.J, Gilbert Silvius
Utrecht University of Applied Sciences

Pascal Ravesteyn
Utrecht University of Applied Sciences

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/ciima

Recommended Citation
Available at: https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/ciima/vol9/iss3/10

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by CSUSB ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Communications of the IIMA by an authorized editor of CSUSB ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@csusb.edu.
United We Stand? Exploring the Willingness to Cooperate Amongst Open Source Service Providers

A. J. Gilbert Silvius
Pascal Ravesteyn
Utrecht University of Applied Sciences
NETHERLANDS
gilbert.silvius@hu.nl

ABSTRACT

Open Source Software (OSS) is an increasingly hot topic in the business domain. The adoption of OSS in organizations has experienced an increasing uptake in the last decade. One of the key benefits mentioned is the unlimited access to the source code, which enables large communities to continuously improve a software application and prevents vendor lock-in. How attractive these benefits may be, the market for OSS however remains limited. This paper reports a study, undertaken in the Netherlands, amongst 206 Open Source Software Service providers (with a 34% response rate) to determine whether service providers wanted to cooperate in an Association that will set quality levels and guarantees to its members and their customers.

INTRODUCTION

The adoption of Open Source Software (OSS) in organizations has experienced an increasing uptake in the last decade (Ven & Mannaert, 2008). As reasons for organizations to use OSS are mentioned: cost effectiveness, improved flexibility, expiration of maintenance, availability of support through both software vendors and service providers, independence from software vendors, increased technical requirements, increased interoperability, security aspects and improved reliability (Ghosh & Glott, 2005). Probably the most important of these reasons is the independence from software vendors which means there is no or limited vendor lock-in (Pavlicek, 2000; Raymond, 2001; Wichmann, 2002b; Goldman & Gabriel, 2005). Vendor lock-in implies that it is very hard to switch to other software and/or service providers due to high switching costs or the usage of legacy and non-standard software that is available only through the network of one vendor. Because open source software is normally based on open standards and open interfaces (Varian & Shapiro, 2003) it becomes easier to migrate to different software products. Normally communities evolve around open source software that then adapt and further develop the applications and services. Although users of open source software are not dependent on a single vendor or service provider to deliver updates with new functionality, in practice an emotional binding with one supplier still seems to exist.

This growing market presence of OSS results in a growing number of companies that provide services, support and certification around open source applications. Contrary to the reasons that are found for end-user adaptation, the motivation of these software providers to open sourcing their offerings is mostly based on internal considerations (Wijnen-Meijer & Batenburg, 2007) and based on market position, the capability for product innovation (or lack thereof) and the degree of customer independence. This seems to be supported by Cusumano (2004), who describes several product characteristics that may be relevant for the decision of open sourcing...
such as (1) the fundamental difference between intended audiences: enterprises and home users, (2) the difference between niche and mass audiences, and software with a horizontal or a vertical functional scope and (3) the market position of a software product. This can be leading, complementary or following. Also some software providers start with using open source software internally because of its perceived cost effectiveness (Grand, Krogh, Leonard, & Swap, 2004) before considering open sourcing their own offerings. Finally governments are an important trigger to vendors to provide open source software due to the value they give open source software for its conformance to open standards that help to ensure accessibility of governmental information (Varian & Shapiro, 2003).

To bridge the gap between the motivation given by end-users versus software vendors and service providers on why to adopt the open source paradigm, Rijke (2005) suggests that open source software vendors and service providers should cooperate in a more structured way to provide improved flexibility, maintenance, availability of support, increased technical requirements, increased interoperability, improved reliability and higher quality to end-users. This suggestion is based on the fact that a large majority of the open source vendors and service providers are small office and home office companies. Contrary to the numerous studies on the reasons for OSS (Ghosh & Glott, 2005; Wichmann, 2002a), very little research is available on the cooperation between organizations and what the triggers for such cooperation are in the OSS domain. The study reported in this paper therefore tries to find an answer to the question: Are organizations within the open source domain willing to cooperate with each other to improve (amongst others) the maintenance, support, reliability and quality of open source services and software?

The study was undertaken in the Netherlands. Therefore, the following section elaborates further on the market for open source products and services in the Netherlands. Then the research methodology that was used is described and the results from both the interviews and the survey are given. In the final section the limitations of this research will be mentioned and some suggestions for future research are given.

**THE OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE MARKET IN THE NETHERLANDS**

At the start of this research only part of the Dutch market of open source software and service providers was known. This meant that the first activity was to extensively map the entire market. This was done by looking at different available resources such as the governmental Program for Open Standards and Open Source Software, lists of delegates to different Open Source conferences that were available and the Internet. Besides creating an overview of the organizations that are active in the Dutch market we also determined the involvement of these organizations within the open source communities that already existed. The rate of involvement is measured on a 3 point scale (low, average and high score) and was determined based on the number of times delegates of these organizations attended conferences (e.g. Holland Open), participated as presenters on those conferences or were involved in professional publications.

The final result of this part of the research was an extensive overview of 222 organizations that are active in the open source domain in the Netherlands during 2006. Of each organization information was collected. Figure 1 shows a small part of the matrix that was the end result.
Figure 1: Example of data collected on open source organizations in the Netherlands.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Based on the open source market overview it was determined to first do several interviews followed by a survey because by gathering data from different angles a clearer picture of the real world can be modeled and validated (Baarda, Goede, & Teunissen, 2001). The interviews were semi-structured and resulted in a validated list of research questions to be used in the survey.

The interviews had two goals, first they provided us with a validation on the market overview and secondly the outcomes were used to validate and broaden the list of research topics that made up the survey. Seven organizations were sent a first draft of the survey questions to fill out and return, after which an interview was held. The interview was based on the answers the respondents provided before hand and lasted approximately for two hours.

The final survey consisted out of 23 questions, some of which had several sub questions. Both open and closed questions were used. Where respondents had to answer on a scale, we used a 4 point scale ranging from completely agree to completely disagree. The survey was sent to 206 mail addresses out of the 222 organizations available in the market overview (some e-mail addresses were missing), and after a reminder (two weeks after the first participation request) a total of 70 surveys were returned. The final response rate was around 34%.

RESULTS

The question that this research tries to answer is: Are organizations within the open source domain willing to cooperate with each other to improve (amongst others) the maintenance, support, reliability and quality of open source services and software?

Based on the interviews this is not the case. According to our findings organizations are only willing to cooperate with others when this results in direct financial gains for their own company. Only two respondents also mentioned higher quality of their service or software offering important enough to consider cooperation. But the interviews were mainly used to validate the survey questions and the outcomes can not be considered as the general opinion for the entire sector. The survey however shows a more diverse outcome in the reasons to cooperate.
It is important to know what organizations within the open source domain find to be the strong and weak points of open source software. Therefore we asked what the respondents thought the characteristics of open source software versus closed source software are. The strongest points were: no vendor lock-in (89%), everybody is able to improve the software (82%) which results in a higher rate of innovation (84%), and no licensing costs (78.5%). Asked if there were any weak points in open source software there was a large difference in answers with 44% of the respondents stating that the quality of open source is lower than closed source software (with a small majority of 56% who think otherwise), while 40% of the respondents also thought open source software to be less safe than closed software (a small majority thinks it is better).

This means that the open source community in general finds their software to be superior to closed software solutions. Of course the outcomes in this research are clearly biased because of the population that was surveyed.

To determine whether close cooperation between organizations within the open source domain is needed, several questions and propositions were part of the survey. When asked after their willingness to cooperate, related to the field of services, the following result showed (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Willingness to cooperate.
The open source companies seemed most willing to cooperate in the areas Consultancy, Implementation and also Systems Development and Systems Design. This is in line with the findings of Allison and Merali (2006) on the improvement of software processes. OSS vendors were least willing to cooperate in the area of End-user Support, Education and Training. This results could bear indication that their motivation for cooperation is commercially driven and involves improving their product and their market proposition. When asked ‘Which of the following 6 reasons would get your organization to cooperate with other companies in the open source domain?’ more than 75% of the respondents of the survey said their primary reason to cooperate is to exchange information between peers to further improve their software (see Figure 3).

The second most important reason (with 47%) is the financial gains organizations hoped to receive in the form of new projects. The continuity of services like maintenance and support that could be guaranteed by cooperating only received support of 11.4% of the respondents and although acquiring new projects is the second most important reason to cooperate not many respondents actually expect to get many new projects (only 14.5% do). The two reasons that have to do with the perceived reliability and quality of an organization by customers get respectively 43% and 35.7% of the respondents approval.

Based on this it seems that the sharing of information (with a focus on software development) is the only trigger to start cooperating. This is to be expected because it is the primary foundation
of the open source community. However, there is not very much support for cooperation between open source vendors and services suppliers regarding improvement of aspects like maintenance, support and quality. In these topics it seems that organizations in the open source domain stick to their existing business models in which they try to do everything themselves. The perceived advantages of cooperation (see Figure 4) show a similar result.

Although financial gains by acquiring projects via cooperation is perceived as an advantage (69%), a majority of the respondents (74%) do not expect their customers to be willing to pay a premium for such a cooperation. Still when they were asked if cooperation within the open source domain could improve the continuity and reliability of support to their customers, 78.5% agreed. When a cooperation takes the form of an organization that is responsible for maintaining quality levels (of member organizations) a large majority of the respondents (91%) stated that the trust in open source and thereby the use would be much higher. In conclusion, we can state that while organizations do think that cooperating is perceived by their customers as an added value, they are not really willing to start such cooperation because they don’t perceive any benefits for themselves and can’t direct any costs towards their customers.

**DISCUSSION AND FURTHER RESEARCH**

This paper describes the outcomes of a multi method research approach to determine if organizations within the open source domain are willing to cooperate. While such cooperation is perceived beneficial there is no positive attitude towards starting such cooperation. However, the outcome of this research knows some limitations. First the respondents all are situated in the Netherlands, which makes that the findings may not be applicable to other countries or regions.
Second the research is conducted solely at software developers and services providers in the open source software domain; the customers of these organizations have not participated. This means that the perceived value for customers of cooperation as seen by the respondents might be non existent. Finally this research is focused on the willingness to cooperate to improve maintenance, support, reliability and quality of the services and software, other forms of cooperation are out of scope. Therefore the results can’t be interpreted as a complete unwillingness of organizations to cooperate with each other. The amount of research done on cooperation between organizations in the open source domain is limited. The findings from this research need further validation at open source users. Also the data set that was acquired, can be analyzed further to see if any correlations that have not been discussed so far, can be found. A next step is research on cultural differences and finally in-depth studies are needed to determine whether perceived advantages and disadvantages are different depending on the type of organization or its maturity.
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