February 4th, 1971

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/pawprint

Recommended Citation
https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/pawprint/128

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Archives – California State University San Bernardino at CSUSB ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Paw Print (1966-1983) by an authorized administrator of CSUSB ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@csusb.edu.
Parking fees -
the dam finally bursts

February 4, 1971
Bail increase: Exorbitant & punitive

As of January 1, an increase in bail for parking violations became effective. Adopted by the Municipal Court Judges of San Bernardino County, it represented an increase of 150 percent for overtime parking (from $2 to $6) and 100 percent for "other" parking offenses (from $5 to $10).

The majority of those judges who voted to increase the parking fines, however, have not imposed the excessive, new figures on their own respective communities. Indeed, it was not mandatory that they put the newly established rates into effect, but was, in fact, left to their own discretion.

It is the opinion of Pawprint that these increases are "exorbitant, punitive, and out of line with bails enforced in other communities in the County of San Bernardino and the State of California."

To park at the college, Cal State employees and students pay parking fees established by the trustees. The state, in turn, pays for the policing of parking areas. Campus police issue about 100 citations per month. Monthly totals, about $1,000, are divided between the city and county. Students and the state are thus paying for the communal greed of San Bernardino officials.

Pawprint believes that students, under the present system, are being charged $9 per quarter for the privilege of paying $10 per parking citation. This is absurd.

Because there is no defined "overtime" parking on campus, cited students are compelled to post $10 bail. To many, this sum is substantial and not easily obtained.

Specifically, Pawprint feels that the 150 and 100 percent raise in parking fines hurts CSCSB students as well as employees. And for the aforementioned reasons, we request that the City Council petition the county municipal judges to return the bail for parking violations to its former amounts: $2 for overtime, and $5 for other violations.

Don Lannon
EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Next week:
Spring 1971 Schedule
Editor:

Music's irrelevant

Editor:

Irrelevance; irrelevance of college courses. I'm sure we've all heard of this subject - if not on TV, in discussion with our friends.

There are many courses we are forced to take on this campus; eighteen G.S. courses, to be exact. On most other Cal State campuses, there are only nine G.S. requirements.

One of the most irrelevant courses required here is Studies in Music. I am presently enrolled in this class and am entirely confused. I am not the only one; I have friends in the class and they feel the same way I do.

The only way this course can be interesting is if you are either a music major or are very interested in music. To someone who is not, however, the course material is totally uninteresting and totally confusing. I am not saying this is Dr. Price's fault. I can't judge if the course material is his selection or the department's, but this is unimportant. The important fact is that this course is totally irrelevant to anyone who is not a music major.

The lectures are confusing; and by Dr. Price's own admission, he has only two weeks of good (?) lectures. If some of those were used in the first weeks of class, I don't want to here the rest. You would also expect the readings to explain and compliment (sic) the lectures, or vice versa, but this is untrue. The reading material is also confusing and boring.

All in all, I find this course a rather good example of the total irrelevancy of certain courses on this campus. I, among others, would like to see these courses deleted from the requirements so that we may take more interesting, relevant, and constructive courses.

Keith Glaser
(Ed. Note: I agree; many G.S. courses are irrelevant. However, the MOST irrelevant is not Studies in Music but G.S. physics.)

Letters to the editor must be 300 words or less. All letters must be signed in ink. The editor reserves the right to refuse or edit all material.
A PARKING FEE INCREASE will allow all State Colleges to improve existing facilities.

Campus parking:
Would you pay $14.50 per quarter?

By CHERYLL GREEN
Assistant Editor

A proposal is being considered by the chancellor's office that would increase parking fees on all state campuses by 60 percent. It will be submitted to the Board of Trustees at their March 23-24 meeting.

Since receiving the proposal, correspondence with the chancellor's office, the security departments of each State College, and members of the California State College Student Presidents Association (CSCSPA) has been initiated in the hope of offering an acceptable but suitable alternative plan.

Why is it necessary to increase parking fees? ... The schedule of fees established in 1958-59 has remained in effect with little change. The semester fee of $13.00 and the quarter fee of $9.00 has remained constant during the entire period. While maintaining these low rates, it has been possible to proceed with limited expansion and maintenance of facilities as well as meeting higher operating expenses. During the past few years, however, it became obvious that the fees would have to be increased in order to meet the necessary expansion of facilities in line with that requested by the colleges and approved by the Board of Trustees.

How was the proposal judged to be the best action? This recommended change is based on studies made during the past year by a special "task force." Every attempt was made to select a fee schedule that would not only provide the necessary total revenue, but would also be the most equitable throughout the system.

In response to the chancellor's proposal, members of the CSCSPA felt it necessary to let the administration know that they were not in favor of increased parking fees. The CSCSPA is sympathetic to the needs of the campuses for parking availability, but they feel that instead of raising fees it would be better to re-channel money gathered from parking violations into a parking program. Currently, money received from violation fees is split with county and city governments and goes towards financing a variety of local programs and agencies.

In a letter to Dale Hanner, vice chancellor of business affairs, CSCSPA noted, "The cost of education in California is increasing at an abnormal rate. Members of the college community, especially students, are today faced with tuition, the high price of books, and other college-related fiscal responsibilities. The proposed rate of increase for the privilege of parking one's vehicle while attending classes or working for a State College will pose a needless and senseless additional burden on not only the working students of the college, but the employees of the State."

Is the alternate proposal feasible? To make the alternate a strong one, research was begun to prove that as much money is available in violation fees as there would be if the original increase plan were put into effect.

On December 31, 1971, CSCSPA sent a letter to each director of campus security asking for a breakdown of parking citations over the past decade, the amount gathered in funds from these violations, and the nature of their disbursement and utilization. From the responses, the following conclusions were made:

1. Three colleges (Fresno, San Bernardino, Sacramento) have, over the last ten years, issued a total of 97,449 parking citations.
2. Between $2 and $5 was collected per violation. This depends upon the campus where the violation occurred, whether the fee was collected, etc.
3. From these citations, anywhere from $200,000 to $1,000,000 might have been collected and divided between city and county governments.
4. With the availability of additional statistics, it might be proven that this method may be a viable alternative to the fee increase proposal.

Hanner wrote that this re-channeling of money "is consistent with our view... it is now being included in the trustee's 1971 legislative proposals. We would appreciate the support of CSCSPA in gaining legislative acceptance of this concept." The appeal for help includes all members of individual campuses.
The case of Jim Freeman

Tenure isn’t a guarantee...

By DON LANNON

Dr. James T. Freeman, Cal State professor of psychology, may be the second tenured faculty member in the history of the State Colleges to be dismissed.

Charged with “unprofessional conduct, dishonesty, and failure and refusal to perform the normal and reasonable duties of his position,” Freeman now awaits the decision of the campus Disciplinary Action Committee, President Pfau, and ultimately the trustees. Original recommendations of the committee and Pfau were that he (Freeman) be dismissed. However, the trustees returned the case to the college because of a procedural error by the hearing officer.

THE FINDINGS

In reconsidering their decision, the committee will consider nine of the hearing officer’s ten findings:

(1) Freeman is a tenured, full professor of psychology.

(2) It is the policy of the college that, until tenure is conferred, “faculty will be visited in the classroom by two senior colleagues” who will make at least two visits each, reporting on the visits so as to “evaluate teaching for the purpose of providing information used in making decisions regarding retention, promotion, and tenure. The written reports are to be presented to the visitee who shall read and present the original and be given a copy.”

(3) Pursuant to the visitation policy, Freeman was assigned the classrooms of A. Mac Eaton, non-tenured assistant professor of psychology; Harvey Wichman, non-tenured assistant professor of psychology; Brij Khare, non-tenured associate professor of political science; and John McDonnell, non-tenured professor of business administration.

“Professor Freeman asked Mr. Eaton if it would be all right to write a report evaluating his classroom teaching without visiting his class,” the findings state. “Mr. Eaton requested that Professor Freeman actually visit him. Freeman again asked Mr. Eaton if he would sign a visitation report without having been visited. Thereafter, Mr. Wichman asked for himself and Mr. Eaton that Professor Freeman visit both of their classes. Freeman then visited Mr. Eaton’s Psychology 320 class the last ten minutes on October 22 and did not visit his classes at any other time during the fall quarter. The report filed by Freeman evaluating Mr. Eaton’s teaching was actually written prior to the visit of October 22, and in it Professor Freeman falsely states that the report was based on visits on October 16 and 19.”

The findings continue, “Professor Freeman asked Mr. Wichman if it would be all right to write a report evaluating his classroom teaching without visiting his class. After Mr. Wichman requested that Freeman actually visit him, Professor Freeman visited his Psychology 100 class the last ten or fifteen minutes on October 23. Mr. Wichman told Freeman when he arrived that the class was taking a quiz, and Professor Freeman said that he would go in anyway ‘to be seen.’ Freeman did not visit Mr. Wichman’s classes at any other time during the fall quarter. The report Professor Freeman filed... falsely states that it was based on visits of October 14 and 15.”

Regarding Khare, the findings state that “Professor Freeman visited Mr. Khare’s Political Science 200 class on October 16 and at no other time. At the time of Freeman’s visit... he told Mr. Khare that he did not believe in the visitations and asked Mr. Khare if it would be all right if he wrote a report with a set sort of content. The report filed by Professor Freeman falsely states that it was based in part on a visit of October 19.”

“Professor Freeman told Mr. McDonnell, ‘the findings continue, ‘that he was sorry he would not be able to make a visit and that the deadline was so close at hand that he would go ahead and write up something.’ The report filed by Professor Freeman falsely states that it was based on visits on October 15 and 19.”

The aforementioned irregularities caused Eaton, Wichman, Khare, and McDonnell “considerable mental anguish because of their fear that if they opposed Professor Freeman’s suggestion, he would be prejudiced in evaluating them and if they agreed, (they) would be participating in a violation of procedures that if discovered could adversely affect decisions concerning their retention, promotion, or tenure,” the findings state.

(7) It is the policy, practice, and procedure of the college that class visitsations are not to be made on days in which the students may be taking a quiz, etc. — the intent being that the visitee is to be observed under normal (classroom) conditions.

(8) Freeman’s violation of these procedures exerted a harmful effect upon the academic function of the college because of the mental anguish it caused and because of the detrimental effect it had on the integrity of the college’s policies, etc.

(9) Freeman did not make the visitations because he is not in agreement with the policies and procedures regarding such. His views are based on the belief that no one pays attention to the evaluation reports.

FREEMAN’S DEFENSE

According to a document entitled “Objections to Validity of Provisions and Procedures” prepared by Freeman’s counsel, “The disciplinary proceedings invoked against (Mr. Freeman) should be dismissed since they are premature and untimely.”

Freeman argues that since the individuals being evaluated did not read and sign the evaluation reports, as specified in the Faculty Information Handbook, no reports were, therefore, made.

The document continues, “The disciplinary action proceedings should be dismissed since (Mr. Freeman) has been denied the benefits of due process of law.”

Freeman contends that the college was required “to negotiate and resolve the situation prior to” formal disciplinary action, which it did not. Also, that President Pfau’s decision, i.e., dismissal, preceded the formal hearing.

“Various provisions of (the) Education Code... are repugnant to the 14th amendment since they are devoid of ascertainable standards,” the document declares.

The terms “unprofessional conduct,” and “dishonesty” are impermissibly vague.
Storm clouds are gathering above the entire California State College system. A growing conflict between the Board of Trustees and Cal State student representatives threatens to break into a serious confrontation. The issue of concern is the Student Disciplinary Code. Ironically, few students are even aware that such a code exists.

Pawprint was informed that few, if any, students at CSCSB know that a copy of the Disciplinary Code is available at the Dean of Students office.

According to Marty McClelland, ASB vice-president, Cal State student leaders are "more concerned with this issue than any other in the last two years." McClelland outlined the basic problem.

When a Cal State student is prosecuted by the school, he is subject to a closed hearing, presided over by a coordinator who is given power to recommend punishment to the school president.

McClelland noted that at CSCSB the ASB will help obtain a lawyer for students who lack sufficient knowledge or funds to procure such themselves.

AS President Rush feels that the entire Disciplinary Code procedure is a facade.

Rush outlined a number of objections to the Disciplinary Code system: "The Board of Trustees appoints the hearing officers. Thus, there is a huge gap between the board and its contact with the students if there is any contact at all."

Rush noted that all disciplinary hearings are closed, that is, not open to the students. "However, the defendant has the option of attending," he added.

In September, the CSCSPA approached Vice Chancellor Norman Epstein with alternatives to the Disciplinary Code: "We wanted faculty members and students to be tried by their peers. We wanted a complete dissolution of the Disciplinary Code. We told Epstein that a very inflammatory situation existed. All of our alternatives were rejected," they stated.

Rush told Pawprint that the CSCSPA intends to run a test case in court concerning the validity of the Disciplinary Code. "We have hired an ACLU lawyer. We are using SPA funds. If necessary, we'll also use money from individual ASB treasuries," he commented.

Rush recently attended a meeting at Cal State Long Beach concerning the code problem. He was the only Cal State student body president to attend. The meeting was held to support several students who had fallen victim to the code's procedure.

As Marty McClelland noted, there has been no such problem at CSCSB. The campus has been quiet this year. Cal State's ASB officers hope to forestall any future trouble by hitting the problem now.
Tenure... Continued from page 5

as grounds for dismissal, demotion, or suspension, Freeman contends.

The document concludes, "(Mr. Freeman) did not fail to perform normal and reasonable duty of his position since participation in the visitation system was not obligatory."

EXPECTS SUPPORT

"I would just like to get the record straight," Dr. Freeman stated late last week. He summarized the situation, emphasizing that he had not violated any "codes of conduct."

Freeman, stressing his adherence to the professional standards set by the American Psychological Association, noted that "certain faculty members will (support me)." He added, however, that if not dismissed, his working relationship with many "probably won't be a real happy state of affairs."

When asked if he would call for student support, Professor Freeman replied, "It's helpful if you have support," but added that he would not actively seek it.

With regard to an administrative plot to get him off campus, he implied that such might be the case, exclaiming, "I have my own opinion. . . . There must be something."

Ed. Note: Early this week, Freeman clarified several of his original statements.

Regarding faculty support, he explained that he expected such "from certain psychology" professors.

As to the existence of an administrative plot, Freeman noted, "In view of certain other cases, it seems like the disciplinary action recommended is disproportionate to the alleged conduct.... I'm at a loss; I can't understand why."

He concluded, "I just hope that they (the campus Disciplinary Action Committee) take all the facts... into consideration."

Freeman, 45, came to Cal State in 1967 from Downey, where he was a research psychologist for North American Aviation. Previously, he had taught at Iowa State University.

As of December 24, Freeman was removed "from all committee assignments and other duties" which involved his "participation in faculty personnel matters," according to President Pfau.

Valley State trio to perform Sunday

An evening of chamber music for violin, cello and piano, featuring works of Beethoven, Kodaly, Brahms and Ravel, will be presented Sunday, February 7 at Cal State.

The trio, coming from San Fernando Valley State College, includes Endre Granat, violinist; Charles Pierro, pianist; and Nathaniel Rosen, cellist.

Admission to the concert, which begins at 8:15 p.m. in the Lecture Hall of the Physical Sciences Building, is without charge.

The program will include Beethoven's "Sonata in D Major for Violin and Piano, Opus 12," "Duo for Violin and Cello" by Kodaly; Brahms' "Sonata in E Minor for Cello and Piano, Opus 38" and "Trio" by Ravel.

Granat, a pupil of Heifetz and Gingold, has participated in the Marlboro Festival under Rudolph Serkin and the Casals Festival in Puerto Rico. He received first prize in the 1962 Heidelberg International Competition.

Fierro has given frequent performances for the International Society for Contemporary Music and the National Association of American Composers and Conductors.

Rosen, a pupil of Piatigorsky, was one of three American winners in the 1966 Tchaikovsky Competition in Moscow.

The trio is appearing at CSCSB under the statewide concert series sponsored by the California State Colleges. Other concerts in February will be on the CSC campuses in Los Angeles and Bakersfield.
** Walk around State **

** Thursday: LECTURES AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS Committee, 4 p.m., L-114. **

** Friday: ELMIRA MEDIGAN, 8 p.m., PS-10. Described as "an exquisitely beautiful love story. Can ideal love survive in the real world?" Admission: Free. **

** Sunday: PIANO TRIO from San Fernando Valley State, 8:15 p.m., PS-10. **

** Tuesday: BSU, 11 a.m., L-147; CHRISTIAN LIFE, 12 noon, C-113. **

** Wednesday: CHEMISTRY Club, 12 noon; PS-133, MATH Club, 2 p.m., B-229. **

**

** Newsbriebs **

The normal number and variety of Spring Quarter classes will be available at CSCSB because of a slight thaw in the freeze on system-wide hiring imposed two months ago by Governor Reagan. Part-time faculty are being hired to meet the Spring Term needs, as in the past. Approximately ten instructors have been engaged to teach added sections or courses, primarily in disciplines with sizeable enrollments.

The chancellor's office worked out arrangements with the State Department of Finance to delegate to each college president the authority to hire the faculty needed to carry out the education program for the Spring Term. The freeze on hiring for the 1971-72 academic year is still in effect, however.

Funds for hiring temporary spring faculty and essential support staff were released after the CSC system assured the finance department it would be able to produce an additional $1 million in salary savings for the current fiscal year. CSCSB must produce an additional $60,000 in salary savings in addition to the $145,000 already required in the 1970-71 budget.

Bids for landscaping a half-million square feet around the new Library at Cal State are now under study and hopefully a contract can be awarded within 30 days, Dr. Joseph K. Thomas, executive dean, announced. Apparent low bidder for the project, which includes planting of lawn and trees and installation of an irrigation system, is Roy C. Barnett of Riverside with a figure of $133,780.

Three other bids were received, ranging to a high of $185,982.

Sidewalks have been installed to connect the new Library-Classroom Building to existing buildings. Installation of lighting is being completed. The landscaping project should be finished by midsummer.

The six-story Library is gradually being occupied as facilities are finished by the contractor. First to move into new quarters was the language laboratory. Classrooms, faculty offices and quarters for the Education and Audio-Visual Departments will be occupied as they are finished. The Library itself anticipates making the move during the recess between winter and spring quarters.

The following professors have received appointments as chairmen of their respective departments, President John M. Pfau recently announced:

Social Sciences Division—Dr. Robert R. Roberts, history; Dr. Brij Khare, political science; Dr. Clifford Paynton, sociology.

Humanities Division—Dr. Robert Harrison, art; Dr. Ronald Barnes, drama; Dr. Edward White, English; Dr. Joe Bas, foreign languages; Dr. Richard Saylor, music; Dr. Leslie VanMarter, philosophy.

Natural Sciences Division—Dr. Alfred Egge, biology; Dr. James Crum, chemistry; Dr. Dennis Lichtman, mathematics; Dr. Fred Kellers, physics.

Physical Education Department—Dr. C. Michael O’Gara.

The ADVANCED COMPOSITION EXAMINATION will be given on Friday, February 5, from 2 to 5 p.m. in B-101. The fee is $5 and must be paid at the Bursar's Office prior to the examination time.

This examination meets the State advanced composition requirement for credential purposes.

** PLACEMENT OFFICE—UPCOMING RECRUITING DATES **

Feb. 4 - Conn Mutual Life Insurance Company
Feb. 8 - May Company
Feb. 9 - San Bernardino County
Feb. 10 - U.S. Navy
Feb. 11 - New York Life Insurance Company
Feb. 12 - National Cash Register

** EUROPE CHARTERS **

Several schedules available. Coordinator: Professor Margaret Paal 247 Roycroft Long Beach 90863 213-438-2179

** RUSTIC INN **

500 KENDALL DR.

* GIANT HAMBURGERS *

Chicken-in-basket $1.00
Fish Fry Wed. 6 p.m. $1.00
Dancing Fri. & Sat. Nite Cold Beer & Cocktails

** SKI RENTALS **

Skis, boots, poles $4.50 @ day
Boots $1.00 @ day
Only for Students
Bill Douglas Outfitters
4218 N. Sierra Way
88-24813

** SPARKLE CAR WASH **

276 E. Highland Ave.
San Bernardino

88-8 special 888

Car wash only $1.75 to students & faculty with college I.D. We also feature wax & polish jobs and interior cleaning. Make your car look new!

Discount on wash with gas purchase . . . .
$1.19 with 10 gal. purchase
$ .69 with 15 gal. purchase
FREE WASH with 20 gal. purchase. Most Major Credit Cards are accepted.

** E U R O P E also ISRAEL and JAPAN **

$225 to $285 R.T from West Coast; $165 R.T from East Coast. Spring, Summer & Fall flights available. Im’n ID Reduced rates within Europe E.S.E.P. 8217 Beverly Blvd, suite 2 Los Angeles, 90048 Tel: (213) 651-3311 E.S.E.P-C.S.S.B. members