Carnival, Dance Planned

This year the Halloween Carnival and Dance plans to be the biggest event of the fall quarter. Seven campus organizations plan to sponsor a costume dance. All by the hard working trio of Bill Slout, assistant professor of drama, Paul Brinegar, star of this TV season’s "Lancer" series, and Ronald Barnes, associate professor of drama.

Carnival and Dance plans to be held on November 24, December 4, and December 24. Rehearsals for ROYAL GAMBIT, this quarter’s dramatic presentation, are now in full swing as evidenced by the sounds of the “Glass Cage” being played. Those who arrive in costume will enjoy a festive afternoon on the curb of the Mall.

TheSounds of the "Glass Cage"

The right to speak and the right to have one’s opinion heard are basic rights of any educational community as well as CSCSB. The committee has deemed it advisable that the academic community be asked to behave in a manner that will be as free and open as humanly possible. Such restriction is inconsistent with any educational philosophy that states, as a primary assumption, that the intellectual life of the campus should be as free and open as possible.

On Tuesday October 8, the faculty senate renewed deliberations on the Speech and Advocacy Policy. This document, designed to set guidelines for the exercise of student rights in this area, has been in preparation since the Spring of 1968.

The faculty senate delegated to the Student Affairs Committee the responsibility of researching the problem and making any initial proposals as to what such a document should contain.

The committee began its work by having a sub-committee chosen from its members write a rough draft of a free speech policy, modeled on documents already in effect at other universities with modifications to fit the particular environment of CSCSB.

The right to speak and the right to have one’s opinion heard are basic rights of any educational community as well as CSCSB. The committee has deemed it advisable that the academic community be asked to behave in a manner that will be as free and open as humanly possible.

The meetings of the new ad-hoc committee will be open to the public and it is hoped that those who are concerned will attend.

The Pawprint

By Mail
New Registration System

Beginning with the winter quarter, the College is initiating registration by mail. All students currently eligible to return for the winter quarter and all students admitted for the winter quarter will be mailed their registration packet along with instructions for completing their registration.

The registration packets will be mailed according to the schedule below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graduates &amp; Seniors</th>
<th>Juniors</th>
<th>Sophomores</th>
<th>Freshmen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 15</td>
<td>Nov. 19</td>
<td>Nov. 27</td>
<td>Dec. 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The priority date for registration by mail will be January 2, 1969. As soon as you receive your registration packet you should make an appointment to see your advisor. With his assistance, select the courses for which you wish to register. If you plan to enroll in a course which requires the consent of the instructor, you must include the signed Consent of Instructor card with your registration packet. Courses requiring instructor consent are marked in the Class Schedule. On the back of the Student Master Card and the Course Section Request Card, indicate those courses. It is important that you also select acceptable alternate courses, should one or two of your original selections be closed.

After you have selected your courses and alternates, have your advisor sign or initial both cards.

Due to the favor over the appearance of controversial speakers on various State College and University campuses and to the presentation of theatrical performances which have received great publicity because of their use of profanity and simulated eroticism, the educational system in this state has deemed it advisable that each campus devise a policy clearly setting the limits of its activities.

In the spring of 1968 the faculty senate delegated to the Student Affairs Committee the responsibility of researching the problem and making any initial proposals as to what such a document should contain.

The committee began its work by having a sub-committee chosen from its members write a rough draft of a free speech policy, modeled on documents already in effect at other universities with modifications to fit the particular environment of CSCSB.

When the sub-committee had finished its work a decision was made to submit this document to review by a joint effort of the Student Affairs Committee and the Lectures and Public Affairs Committee. The purpose of the expanded committee was to provide a wider range of opinion to be heard concerning the document and to give the students a greater voice in its formulation.

The joint committee worked diligently for several weeks and at last came up with a document which seemed to embody a fair compromise. The documents were then submitted to the Faculty Senate late in the Spring Quarter.

It became evident that the Senate was not of the same mind as the committee. Several members even expressed their dissatisfaction with the document and immediately made suggestions to amend it. Because of the many objections and the many conflicting opinions on what form of the policy should be it became obvious that the committee process of discussion, evaluation, and compromise would have to begin again.

At the first Fall meeting of the senate, the Senate, the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate was asked to present a solution to the dilemma of who should review the document.

At the first Fall meeting of the Senate, the Executive Committee recommended that an ad-hoc committee be formed for the purpose of finalizing the Speech and Advocacy policy. After some discussion it was decided that the committee should consist of three students, to be chosen by the ASB, two faculty members, to be chosen by the Faculty Senate, and one administrative representative, to be chosen by President Pfla.

The meetings of the new ad-hoc committee will be open to the public and it is hoped that those who are concerned will attend.

The question of whether the academic community should be asked to restrict it’s traditional rights of inquiry and examination of important issues in order to accommodate a beauracracy that has become fearful of student unrest and public controversy is an old one.
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S.D.S. Oriented?

It is becoming more and more common to hear people criticize certain media, that news media plays in our society, that television has been accused of creating revolts instead of simply covering them. The newspapers are continually deemed guilty of serving special interest groups and purposefully selecting only those facets of the news that serve their purpose.

In our own community, for example, the Sun-Telegram is called by some of its staff members (who understandably prefer to remain anonymous), "another San Bernardino Chamber of Commerce." They, along with others, allege that the Sun-Telegram refuses to get involved in any controversy that might damage the reputation of either San Bernardino or its firmly entrenched power structure.

Closed-mindedness were charged when our recently directed at this newspaper. The Pawprint has been called both "to S.D.S. oriented" and "anti-establishment."

The first allegation is ridiculous. True, the Pawprint has given S.D.S. a large amount of attention recently, but it is equally true that S.D.S. is one of the only groups on campus currently not receiving worthy of front-page coverage. What other group has brought a speaker of Paul Jacob's calibre to this campus so far this fall?

As for those who think the Pawprint is "anti-establishment", they should be warned against trying to superficially categorize things they disagree with.

The Pawprint is neither "nor "pro" establishment.

It is committed only to serving two tumults. The first is to publicize campus events of importance and/or merit. The second is to involve its readers (hopefully by getting them to react) in the problems of social and individual existence.

Certainly this newspaper will always be open to attack from some quarters of its membership. We hope, however, that this criticism will serve to illuminate another side of the question -- not merely resort to meaningless labeling.

The Gaddify

By Frank Moore

According to an N.R.C. Magazine poll, 51 percent of the U.S. population believes the LBJ-Goldwater no-election as just a quick hallucination of the system. This year we can't and shouldn't do this (because it has become clear that Dr. C is still in charge).

In 1964, the politicians just went around trying to arouse by keeping us guessing about change. For this election, they are to approach voters more directly, by getting them to react to their proposals.

The conventions make it clear that the politicians with their manipulation of the people don't adequately fulfill what they say they do: what they say they don't do: the people want them to do. The convention floor Goldwater's grand show told us that we could watch if we wanted to. The gross ignoring of public wishes as shown by the candidates is the result of the manipulation of the people.

To be specific: Construction of a Student Body Center is (as of this date) neither warranted nor practicable.

The primary purpose of any Student Body Center is to provide students living on campus with recreation and cultural activities. Such campus-oriented students -- most of whom do not have means of transportation -- depend almost entirely upon these immediate facilities for entertainment. In contrast, students who commute by bus and car from school -- do as those at Cal State -- never remain on campus for more than a day. Moreover, students who are not on campus during the week do not have a means of being involved.
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Letters

‘Students Help Cause Irrational Society’

Last week’s “Pawprint” Editorial deplored the “irrational” actions taken by the Chicago police during the Democratic National Convention. Those actions of the Chicago police which were irrational should not have occurred and should be condemned. They were deplorable. However, the Chicago police are exonerated in the end. We are told that “the real problem lies with the posture that Americans are now assuming in the face of widespread discontent.” This is undoubtedly true. We are living in an era of irrationality. One aspect of this irrationality, which was not mentioned, is the role played by students. It shouldn’t be necessary to point out that many of those who were confronting the Chicago police (some attempting to provoke violent police conduct) were students.

I would suggest that students bear as much responsibility for our current plague of irrationality as any other group of Americans. The extent of the irrationality displayed by Americans in response to what is considered a crisis is distressing. One can only hope that the young people of this country will take a different approach to problems affecting the nation. I believe the American people need to be made aware of the irrationality which exists in the country. The students who were confronting the police are not the only irrational people in the nation. There are many others who are just as irrational as the students.

The problem of irrationality is not new. It has existed throughout history. The students who were confronting the police are not the only irrational people in the nation. There are many others who are just as irrational as the students. The problem of irrationality is not new. It has existed throughout history.

Marines Recruit

Representatives of the United States Marine Corps will be on campus Monday, October 21 to talk to students interested in learning about the Marine Corps officer training programs. According to Doyle J. Stansel, Director of Placement and Financial Aid, Marine Corps representatives will discuss programs such as the Officers’ Candidate Course, Platoon Leaders’ Class, Marine Flight Officers Programs and opportunities for women in the Marine Corps. Interested students may reserve interview time in the Placement Office, L-115 or come to the Center on Monday between 9:30 A.M. and 2:30 P.M.

DOYLE STANSEL

E.A.P.

The article on the Experimental Admissions Program (October 6) left two impressions which need correcting. First, the EAP students will not take all of their courses during the first year on a Pass-No Report basis. At the present time, only six of the first nine courses can be taken on a Pass-No Report basis. Second, there are two references to the committee’s desire to preserve the Pass-No-Report system. I don’t recall that the committee ever formally decided that the system was necessary to keep the names of the students from their instructors. In fact, the program will succeed only if the instructors and student tutors are given as much information as possible.

I would hope that this program is considered in the light of its fullest implications: that perhaps admission requirements need major revision, that perhaps the curriculum is too narrow, that perhaps student tutors could be used in the community, etc. Nothing would hurt the program more than its removal from the broad educational context so that we are doing “our bit.”

JESSE HIROKA

Study Abroad

Students may now obtain brochures and application forms for study abroad under the official International Programs of the California State Colleges. Students who are interested in studying in France, Germany, Israel, Italy, Japan, Spain, Sweden, or Taiwan during the 1970-70 academic year may receive full information from Linda Arth, Alumni assistant for the International programs, A. 140, Tues. & Thurs. 10:00-11:00.

DOYLE STANSEL

The deadline for fall applications is January 15, 1969. Selection of applicants to be recommended to the Statewide Executive Committee will be made by the campus faculty committee on International Programs. Final selection by the Statewide Executive Committee will be announced and successful applicants notified by February 1, 1969.

Applicants must meet the following qualifications by next fall:

1) Have upper division or graduate standing.

2) Present 30 semester units or 45 quarter units of course work with a grade point average of 3.00 (B) or better.

3) For applicants in the Programs in France, Germany, and Spain, proficiency in the language of the country must be demonstrated.

LINDA ARTH

Activities

Meeting

The regular meeting of the Activities Committee has been scheduled for every Tuesday at 8:00 p.m. in L-114. All members are urged to attend. Any ideas and help will be appreciated.

REGULAR MEETING Activities Committee Oct. 22 L-114 11:30 Lectures and Public Affairs Committee Oct. 22 L-147 9:30

Theda Pi Omega Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30

Ski Association Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30

Sigma Delta Pi Oct. 22 PS 106 10:30

S.D.S. Oct. 23 L-114 10:30

Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

SPECIAL EVENTS SDS Lecture by Prof. William of USC PS 112 2:30-4:30 Oct. 24

Side lecture on Representational Art by JAMES STROMBOTTIE 7 p.m. 23 Oct. 23 FILM: CASABLANCA Friday night, Oct. 25 8:00 p.m. PS 10

DANCE: Halloween Dance and Carnival 8:00 p.m.

SPECIAL NOTE: The psychology Club will hold its organizational meeting in PS 104 on Oct. 22 at 11:30.
Japanese Exclusion Documents - Echo from a Frightening Era

By RICHARD McINNIS

Why is America today, with all the wealth this country has, should people be going hungry, ill-clothed, and be living in shack or overcrowded ghettos? Although many American families are spent annually by Federal, State, and local agencies, the validity of our Social Security and the method still remains in question.

Since the introduction of welfare, the need has come and gone. There are as many different welfare programs as there are different poverty programs — good and bad — of a government. The index, ranging from $1,025 for a single person to $2,050 for a family of four, is a general guide to the amount of aid one may be eligible for. However, it must be used responsibly in a manner not aimed at personal gain.

The major turning point in welfare came in 1942 during President Johnson's first State of the Union message. Declaring a war on poverty LBJ stated, "Unfortunately many Americans are the victims of poverty — some because of their own lack of responsibility, others because of the system. The task is to help replace their despair with opportunity.

That same year the President Council of Economic Advisors (CEA) was established to report, evaluate, and make recommendations regarding the economy.