

California State University, San Bernardino

CSUSB ScholarWorks

CSUSB Sound and video recordings

Arthur E. Nelson University Archives

3-28-2024

Provost Presents Faculty Research Series: "The Structure of Working Memory: A Review and New View of Psychometric Models"

Kevin Rosales

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/csusb-video-recordings>

Recommended Citation

Rosales, Kevin, "Provost Presents Faculty Research Series: "The Structure of Working Memory: A Review and New View of Psychometric Models"" (2024). *CSUSB Sound and video recordings*. 22.
<https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/csusb-video-recordings/22>

This Video is brought to you for free and open access by the Arthur E. Nelson University Archives at CSUSB ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in CSUSB Sound and video recordings by an authorized administrator of CSUSB ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@csusb.edu.

Provost Presents Faculty Research Series

"The Structure of Working Memory: A Review and New View of Psychometric Models" by Kevin Rosales (March 28, 2024)

Working memory, defined as the ability to maintain and process information in the face of complex cognition, has been linked to important cognitive abilities like intelligence and academic outcomes. In this presentation, Dr. Kevin Rosales, Assistant Professor in the Department of Child Development at CSUSB, will discuss the findings and implications of his research on the structure of working memory.

START – 00:00:00

Speaker: Alright. Good afternoon, everyone. Hi! I'm filling in for our Dean, who is on a well deserved vacation right now. So I just wanted to say, welcome and thank you for attending. Our Provost presents highlighting faculty research. Thank you, guys all for coming on your lunch hour. I know sometimes it's a little tricky, but thank you for bringing lunch. Now I'm hungry. Should have probably. Alright, I think we're ready to get started, and without further ado our Provost Rafik Mohammed.

3

Speaker: Then

4

Speaker: usually I met with booze, so I or sometimes booze. But that's just when you

5

Speaker: but

6

Speaker: hi! Everybody! I I I'm happy to be here. I'm happy Kevin's here. Otherwise we it'd just be me talking about like psychometry or something, and things I don't know about but I'm I'm happy to be here and and happy. You all joined us today. This is one of my favorite things on on a campus like ours is to learn

7

Speaker: from or showcase the research that that our faculty do cause. I say all the time that we have faculty at Cs, USB, who could work anywhere

8

Speaker: they are excellent teachers, exceptional researchers. But they come here to Csb, and they stay here. You're not going anywhere. Right? This is your first year. So you'll be back next year.

9

Speaker: Okay, good. They they.

10

Speaker: They. Yeah. Christine is like. We'll give you whatever you need. You can have Eugene Wong's office he says, offering up his car, whatever but but they they choose to be at Csb, because they they believe in our mission, believe in our students and want to serve in that capacity. So I'm always pleased to be here for events like this to hear from and learn from

11

Speaker: our faculty here on campus. I have formal notes that that we're gonna talk about what got you here and what you do you ready for this? Okay, okay, it's a good afternoon.

12

Speaker: Okay. First step. As I already said, I'm delighted to introduce today's presenter, Dr. Kevin Rosales, an assistant professor in the Department of Child Development. When I got here there was no self-standing department of child development. Now there is, and it's booming

13

Speaker: all right. So in the department of child Development.

14

Speaker: Dr. Gross joined the tenure ranks at Cs. USB. As an assistant professor this academic year, as you all heard witnesses. He promised us to be back next year. So that's good. He has been, though, a part of the Coyote family for quite some time for more than 10 years, first, as a student who earned his bachelor's degree in psychology and child and child development in 2,016, which

15

Speaker: my meeting right before this, which was why I'm late. I'm gonna blame, Kelly Campbell I was with Dr. Campbell, and she's like. Oh, she's running late, she said. Oh, that she's like Kevin's wonderful. He was a student. He was an honor student all this other kind of stuff, and he was just amazing. So so she she vouched for you. So I was like, Alright, he must be okay. Yeah. And and Eugene, not to slight you, Dr. Wong.

16

Speaker: but apparently you had a hand in this, as dr. Gzalice was mentored by our own esteemed it says it here. So it must be true. Esteemed colleague Eugene Wong, and was also an honor student in Sbs psychology honors program. All an undergraduate most recently until, joining the the tenure line ranks, he served as a lecturer in both departments psychology and child development from January 20 ninth to May 2023.

17

Speaker: He has taught several courses at Csu, USB, including cognitive development introduction to data analysis, applied research methods, language development and others.

18

Speaker: He and I have a lot in common, including the exact same research areas. His areas of specialization include individual difference in working memory, executive functions and cognitive control, psychometric modeling of cognitive abilities, network analysis and latent, variable modeling interventions designed to improve cognitive abilities among adolescents.

19

Speaker: cognitive and cognitive abilities and academic performance. I understand why you work closely with Dr. Wong.

20

Speaker: I was gonna wear my bfast jacket on the way over here, but it's a little, but it was a little warm.

21

Speaker: He has authored approximately half dozen publications in the last few years, and has multiple manuscripts currently under review or in progress. He is also a member of the Psychonomic Society, the American Psychological Association, and the Western Psychological Association, and the Society for Applied Research in memory and Cognition.

22

Speaker: In his in his presentation today titled The Structure of Working Memory, a review and new view of psychometric Models. Dr. Gonzales will discuss the findings and implications of his research on the structure of working memory. Please join me in welcoming Dr. Kevin.

23

Speaker: Appreciate that introduction

24

Speaker: to work.

25

Speaker: We're good. Okay, awesome. Well, thank you. Guys for being here. I really appreciate it.

26

Speaker: think it's a wonderful opportunity to, just.

27

Speaker: you know, tell you a little bit about the work that I've been doing, but also the work that I hope to continue doing

28

Speaker: here for many years to come. So there's, you know, should be no worry about that at all.

29

Speaker: And so

30

Speaker: representing the Department of Child Development today, and will, as

31

Speaker: Provost mentioned.

32

Speaker: talking about the structure of working memory.

33

Speaker: a review new view of psychometric models. So just starting off.

34

Speaker: no, it's not clicking through.

35

Speaker: there's just a roadmap that we'll follow one talking about. Well, what is working memory right? How do we think about it?

36

Speaker: Then, transitioning into well, how has our field

37

Speaker: tested working memory both within the area of cognition and then psychometrics more specific.

38

Speaker: and then transitioning into well network modeling. What role can it play in addressing some of these thoughts and contributing to the field in some

39

Speaker: different but meaningful way? And then, of course, the current work that I

40

Speaker: will be doing and am doing, and then have done as well, and then future directions in terms of well, where do we go now? Right? How do we continue to progress? And

41

Speaker: in these areas.

42

Speaker: so working memory,

43

Speaker: can be defined in many different ways as my lab.

44

Speaker: But it's the ability to simultaneously process and store information. So in this current moment, hopefully, you guys are processing what you're seeing up on the screen, listening to what I'm saying. All of that is kind of being jumbled up in some way, trying to make meaning right of of what is going on.

45

Speaker: as you're, you know, processing, connecting those ideas to other thoughts, etc. Right? So without a working memory, we wouldn't be able to do

46

Speaker: complex cognition, right? And so sometimes complexity can be defined in different ways. But it could come down to writing an email or attending a meeting, or, you know just doing well in school, right conducting research, etc.

47

Speaker: There are numerous concepts in the field of working memory.

48

Speaker: but really the

49

Speaker: definitions that I kind of subscribe to given the data are, first of all, the multi-component working memory. So this definition describes working memory as a

50

Speaker: ensemble of different components. That kind of work together to be able to help us navigate our way through different tasks.

51

Speaker: Also

52

Speaker: the attention control

53

Speaker: definition of working memory which is really

54

Speaker: looking at working memory as primarily being driven to through attention.

55

Speaker: Right? So attention is this very core piece of working memory. And it's, you know, one that we usually always account for in our models, and of course.

56

Speaker: also the inclusive working memory here that I'm not highlighting, which also highlights, you know, working memory as being a

57

Speaker: repertoire of numerous abilities that you know, work together in that way as well.

58

Speaker: So what do cognitive models say

59

Speaker: about working memory? What do we know so far from background research. Well, one, the purpose of these cognitive models is to understand mechanisms. So one thing is conceptually understanding what working memory is. But another thing is to know well what is under the hood of this thing that we call working memory. Right? What are the different pieces that move

60

Speaker: that make working memory work.

61

Speaker: Erm.

62

Speaker: And so there are 2 models that really motivate my work. So, as I mentioned before, Badly's multi-component model, so the name badly tends to be pretty popular in the field right? And then the other model here is over hours cognitive process model, which is a bit newer and is really contributing a lot of what we know modern in modern times today.

63

Speaker: So just a quick recap of Battley's model so badly, you know, proposed this first model and

64

Speaker: 74, then revamped it in 2,010. But really we have the central executive component here that I like to call it in class like the big boss, right? So this component really drives performance on every other component below it.

65

Speaker: And we can just think of this as the attentional piece right? If we have good attention, engaging and good attention than the rest of the

66

Speaker: Erm model will will work.

67

Speaker: and so we can break it down to things like the phonological loop, which is what we're hearing processing. That auditory information.

68

Speaker: visual spatial sketch pad is what we're seeing, right? So there's domains that are being integrated into working memory. And of course, things like long-term memory. So using what we have learned before bringing it to the now. And how can we, you know, put these pieces together to then move forward in some meaning.

69

Speaker: Now, so just things that we know

70

Speaker: from this model is that there is evidence, right that if any one of these components

71

Speaker: kind of fails, the working memory system no longer works as it should.

72

Speaker: especially that domain, general attentional piece, right? The

73

Speaker: the big boss components.

74

Speaker: We know that individuals, you know, process verbal information, spatial information.

75

Speaker: But one of the shortcomings of this model is that we don't really know what this

76

Speaker: piece really is in terms of

77

Speaker: more nuanced

78

Speaker: information. Right? All we know that it's it's attention. But really, what happens there. Why is it so crucial?

79

Speaker: And so that's one of the shortcomings of this model.

80

Speaker: So later, Klaus Oberhour and

81

Speaker: friends and colleagues said, Well, we need to work on that we need to be more specific about what working memory is.

82

Speaker: So

83

Speaker: this image here just kind of depicts how working memory works at a conceptual level. So there are thoughts that are kind of floating around in our working memory.

84

Speaker: Shaded regions here that are lettered are maybe pieces that we're thinking about now. Right? So I'm hungry. I wanna you know, get over the sock and go to lunch right as you may be thinking, or you know the information that you may be processing at this given moment. So all of these things get connected and brought into the focus of attention here, right? And that's that one thing that we're thinking about now.

85

Speaker: Right? So information moves in and out through the model here. And you know it changes, depending on what the demands are, etc.

86

Speaker: Now.

87

Speaker: psychometric models are now different from cognitive models. Cognitive models explain mechanisms.

88

Speaker: psychometric models explain well, how do we measure

89

Speaker: these abilities. And what is their underlying structure? How are they correlated.

90

Speaker: Erm?

91

Speaker: And so there are 3 psychometric models that also motivate some of my work. So executive attention theory, the cane et all model. And then on Zrf model, which we'll talk about

92

Speaker: in just a second.

93

Speaker: And so executive attention.

94

Speaker: This theory simply states working memory is driven completely by attention. So this was really pioneered by Randy Engel.

95

Speaker: and has stuck around for a long time.

96

Speaker: Some evidence for this model simply showing that so and who's heard of the street task before?

97

Speaker: A few of you? Okay? So the stroop task is a task where you're presented with words on a screen.

98

Speaker: and your job is to pay attention to the font color of the words. And so some words will be things like red and red ink. Right? So you name the color.

99

Speaker: And so if it's red and red ink, that's easy, right? It's it's it matches right. However, other trials the word red will come up, but in blue ink

100

Speaker: right now it's a little bit more difficult because you have to override the need or the temptation to read the word, but focus on the color itself. So then the correct answer, there would be blue

101

Speaker: studies show that folks who have higher levels of working memory are able to resolve or resist that interference much better, right? So they are more accurate in the soup tasks. In those conditions where the color, and the word itself don't really match

102

Speaker: Adam.

103

Speaker: all right. And so.

104

Speaker: keeping forward here.

105

Speaker: a lot of this work has been done using latent variable models. So for those of us who are familiar with this, we know generally what these are, but for those of us that are not.

106

Speaker: Basically these boxes here represent actual tasks that we administer in the lab setting.

107

Speaker: But from these tasks we kind of suck up the

108

Speaker: common variants, and we produce what's called a latent factor. And so now this bubble here represents fluid intelligence

109

Speaker: as measured by these 3 individual tasks. And so that's true for every other latent factor here. And so in line with the angle or executive attention theory.

110

Speaker: It's really this, ef right, that attention, ability that drives performance on working memory and things like intelligence or fluid

111

Speaker: intelligence here. And so it's just kind of a snapshot of where does that evidence come from? What does it speak to?

112

Speaker: All right, then.

113

Speaker: Second psychometric model

114

Speaker: that motivates my work is this cane at all model where

115

Speaker: you know comes along and says, Well, I don't think that it's just attention. We should account for other things as well. So how about verbal storage and spatial storage?

116

Speaker: And so we find that working memory is not just attention driven.

117

Speaker: But there are also domain specific

118

Speaker: storages that we rely on. So storage is for verbal information. Storage is for spatial information.

119

Speaker: And so graphically, right. There's, you know, try not to get, too, you know, into the weeds of these things graphically here. What we're looking at is well, we have storage, spatial

120

Speaker: storage, verbal attention in the middle. All of these have a say in predicting things like fluid intelligence. So this

121

Speaker: outcome here tends to be very common

122

Speaker: as an index of well, what does working memory predict? It's kind of like a sanity check. We have to make sure that it's able to predict other things. And so often it does. Okay. But adding these

123

Speaker: separate components as well.

124

Speaker: all right.

125

Speaker: And finally, the Unsworth model. So Unsworth comes along and says, Okay, great attention works, you know, verbal spatial storage also works. But

126

Speaker: we're not taking into account

127

Speaker: secondary memory, which is equivalent to long-term memory.

128

Speaker: And so this is where that additional piece comes into play where we are. Here, for example.

129

Speaker: looking at how working memory can explain the relationship with fluid intelligence.

130

Speaker: but as mediated by capacity which is

131

Speaker: similar to short-term memory, attention, control, or attention, and then, of course, long-term memory. And so we get a full mediation here where

132

Speaker: fully explained. So these factors tend to be

133

Speaker: important in explaining relationships with

134

Speaker: fluid intelligence. So

135

Speaker: as a sum.

136

Speaker: where are we currently right? So so far, we know from numerous models

137

Speaker: that attention is important. So each of the models kind of gets at that

138

Speaker: verbal storage is accounted for by the Canadel model.

139

Speaker: Spatial storage, also by the cane at all model

140

Speaker: that most recent model that we saw

141

Speaker: kind of covers, verbal and spatial. But it doesn't really break it down by contents. That's why the X is kind of in the middle here. So that was that one capacity

142

Speaker: factor, and then episodic memory by Unsworth as well.

143

Speaker: however, what you notice is that there is no one model that covers or checks all of the boxes.

144

Speaker: and that's where there's a gap in the literature, where, you know, we don't have a comprehensive model of working memory. Right? So

145

Speaker: that's where my work

146

Speaker: really comes into play. Okay? So no psychometric model accounts for all of these components.

147

Speaker: Alright. So now a lot of the work has been done using latent, variable modeling.

148

Speaker: But here we propose an alternative which is network modeling. So how did how do they compare and contrast?

149

Speaker: So one of the issues associated with latent, variable modeling is that

150

Speaker: it supposes an assumption called the principle of local, and

151

Speaker: and so we'll see what that means. And and in just a second.

152

Speaker: So the principle of local independence. So remember, when I was talking about these tasks right? Representing a construct

153

Speaker: right. All of their commonalities are taken up, and that represents the construct.

154

Speaker: But once that has happened. So once the variance has been explained.

155

Speaker: these tasks are no longer allowed to be related to tasks and

156

Speaker: other

157

Speaker: constructs.

158

Speaker: And so that's problematic. Theoretically.

159

Speaker: because if you think about cognition? That's not how cognition works.

160

Speaker: All of our abilities collaborate all the time. And so if we're looking at cognition in such a sense that everything is kind of

161

Speaker: separated and segregated. Then our models are not doing the field any justice in terms of accurately thinking about how we think how humans think.

162

Speaker: And so to overcome this statistical disadvantage.

163

Speaker: We have network modeling. So on the right.

164

Speaker: we have a network model of this traditional higher order, latent, variable model.

165

Speaker: And so what the network model

166

Speaker: or how you read this.

167

Speaker: So each color represents a construct so green here would represent visual spatial ability.

168

Speaker: pink processing speed, yellow working memory, etc.

169

Speaker: The lines that connect each bubble, so the bubbles represent the

170

Speaker: we call them nodes

171

Speaker: those lines that represent the correlations between the tasks.

172

Speaker: so the thicker the correlation right or the stronger the correlation, the thicker

173

Speaker: the edge here or the line right? And so we'll see that there's differences in how all of these may be related to one another.

174

Speaker: But, importantly, we allow all of these abilities to correlate with one another. If the correlations exist.

175

Speaker: Right? So I don't tell the system. You know. Plot lines between, you know this variable and processing speed. Right? It's a data driven approach to understanding. Well, what's the reality of these abilities? How are they really structured and related? And so the data tells us. Well, you know, we have a strong cluster here for crystallized

176

Speaker: and that's related to working memory and working memory predicts fluid reasoning, and and so on. So

177

Speaker: this is just a general way of how we

178

Speaker: can think about network models and why they surpass late invariable models and

179

Speaker: and a couple of ways.

180

Speaker: So up to this point one, there's no comprehensive model. That accounts for all of the abilities.

181

Speaker: And 2, this really hasn't been explored through network modeling.

182

Speaker: So we're trying to bridge the gap here between what we know and what we could know right? If we had adopt different

183

Speaker: methodologies.

184

Speaker: All right. So

185

Speaker: has been heavily underutilized

186

Speaker: network modeling actually came out of clinical psychology.

187

Speaker: Okay, so a lot of clinical work uses network models to understand things like depression, for example.

188

Speaker: and things of that sort. And it's not until the last 5, 6 years that it's been slowly

189

Speaker: making its way into cognition. And so, you know, for the clinical folks in here, you know, we borrowed some of some of that knowledge for

190

Speaker: for the cognitive work that we do.

191

Speaker: All right. So a couple of studies here, so study one. What we wanted to understand is if we have data that speaks to all of the components of working memory.

192

Speaker: how does that look like in a network model? And so the purpose was to

193

Speaker: determine whether the network model of working memory is comparable at least to the latent, variable model of working.

194

Speaker: And then there was a. There is a study, too. Where, then, we compare the predictive validity? So one thing is understanding

195

Speaker: what is working memory but 2? Is it useful? Can we use it to predict other things.

196

Speaker: So now

197

Speaker: study one. So this is a secondary data analysis. So that's kind of popular in my lab.

198

Speaker: But we use the Wilhelm at all. 2013 data. And this data set really had most of the variables that we were looking at or wanting to look at.

199

Speaker: And so then we

200

Speaker: implemented network modeling procedures. I'll spare you some of the details here. But

201

Speaker: what we really were wanting to get at is, can we analyze attention.

202

Speaker: verbal storage, spatial storage and episodic memory, retrieval, right? Those are the 4 components that

203

Speaker: are lacking together in one unified model. We were able to get indices of all 4 in this study.

204

Speaker: And so first, we had to make sure that at least the latent, variable, latent, variable model was good. The one way to evaluate, that is, through model fit indices. So that actually worked out really well, which is not really a surprise.

205

Speaker: But remember, theoretically.

206

Speaker: not allowing some of these tasks to be correlated with others in a model is problematic.

207

Speaker: So we looked at the network model version. And so

208

Speaker: here we find that model fit was as good

209

Speaker: as the latent, variable model. Okay, so if we compare the model fit indices here

210

Speaker: to those of this other model.

211

Speaker: We're okay. So they are comparable. So there's

212

Speaker: sometimes they hesitant in the field to move away from what we're used to

213

Speaker: doing right. But this was a good check in terms of that where we can say, Hey, you know what network models still do, what they, you know, are meant to do, and that's comparable to the invariable model. So.

214

Speaker: but that's good. Right. What we then wanted to know in

215

Speaker: Study 2, which we'll get there in just a moment.

216

Speaker: the network model. Really, what it shows is that it does corroborate work from

217

Speaker: the working memory field. So one

218

Speaker: we see here.

219

Speaker: the attentional piece, right is connected to other tasks in this model in a domain general way. So what does that mean

220

Speaker: that it connects to things like

221

Speaker: spatial storage and also verbal storage, right? That maps onto what we know so far and so theoretically and network modeling. At least this network model does corroborate what we know already right? So that's good as well that we're able to preserve some of that theoretical accuracy there with with

222

Speaker: this model.

223

Speaker: But we will.

224

Speaker: So now study 2.

225

Speaker: So what is the predictive power? Okay, so we've been able to model network

226

Speaker: of working memory. But does it predict things like we want it to.

227

Speaker: And so that was the main goal of study. 2.

228

Speaker: And so

229

Speaker: we first had to again, just like in study, one

230

Speaker: plot, a latent, variable model. And so here we see typical to what we find

231

Speaker: a relationship of about point 7 7,

232

Speaker: which means that about 59% of the variance in fluid reasoning is explained by working.

233

Speaker: So that's typical

234

Speaker: right? But what is?

235

Speaker: How does the network model kind of

236

Speaker: far with or fair with

237

Speaker: the lane variable model. So

238

Speaker: what we did is we plotted

239

Speaker: what we call factor scores. So you may look at this and say, Wait, isn't this the Latin variable? So it's not

240

Speaker: really. What we did is we took the average of all the different connections here, and we plotted it in this way, so it's a bit easier to just graphically present.

241

Speaker: And so what we find is that when we take the sum of all of these here so verbal storage, spatial storage, long-term memory and attention. Right? We explain about 51% of the variance, right? So we have 59%

242

Speaker: versus 51%, right? Not necessarily what we were predicting.

243

Speaker: but at least right. Half of the variance is explained, so at least we get close enough.

244

Speaker: And so for a long time my thinking was well, maybe the network model, right? Something is lacking.

245

Speaker: But the alternative could be well, maybe late and but late in variable models, overestimate

246

Speaker: right that relationship. And maybe network models

247

Speaker: get closer at what the true relationship actually is.

248

Speaker: Right? And so that's still some of the work that I'm

249

Speaker: planning on doing here, so

250

Speaker: will.

251

Speaker: we'll see right where we go.

252

Speaker: Okay.

253

Speaker: So, contrary to our prediction, right? We didn't match the amount of variance explained.

254

Speaker: But you know, like I was mentioning, maybe that's due to the overestimation of the

255

Speaker: Latin variable model.

256

Speaker: So study, one shows that network models fit

257

Speaker: the data just as well

258

Speaker: as Lane. Variable models do.

259

Speaker: But what we go ahead and move forward with is that the network model is the chosen model because it's theoretically accurate.

260

Speaker: and that's something that happens often in cognitive work where you know the statistics kind of let you make the conclusion.

261

Speaker: But we don't go back and think well, is this theoretically accurate? And so the network model does allow you to think in that way, because, as I explained before.

262

Speaker: right, the latent, variable models

263

Speaker: have

264

Speaker: certain assumptions that are not compatible with how cognition actually works.

265

Speaker: So it's kind of taking the model that represents

266

Speaker: cognitive abilities

267

Speaker: best

268

Speaker: right? And then studies, too, of course, shows that the network components of working memory at least explain about half the variance.

269

Speaker: All right. So some limitations for that secondary data analysis. So

270

Speaker: attention wasn't really measured. Well.

271

Speaker: and so to overcome some of that, we have someone from Rhymer's lab representing us here today, we're going to run this full scale, collect our own data. And so at this moment we have about 16 tasks right in the lab. So we have people coming in and completing 2, 1 h sessions of.

272

Speaker: you know, cognitive tasks back to back. So

273

Speaker: not fun necessarily for participants. But hopefully, once it's all done, we're able to speak to this model a bit better

274

Speaker: and getting better measures of that.

275

Speaker: Erm.

276

Speaker: And so

277

Speaker: I want to transition now from, you know, working memory and how that's impacted by network modeling to what are other areas that network modeling can really get into.

278

Speaker: And so we've

279

Speaker: published the paper recently

280

Speaker: where we were able to show that network modeling reveals

281

Speaker: certain truths about executive function.

282

Speaker: And so

283

Speaker: title this paper, right, psychometric structure of executive functions.

284

Speaker: a satisfactory measurement model. Right? Is it really? And examining Meta now using a meta analysis and network model?

285

Speaker: So

286

Speaker: if you guys don't know this

287

Speaker: Miyaki model is, I think the gold standard really of how we think about executive functions.

288

Speaker: that one paper that came out in 2,000.

289

Speaker: I think now it's even more has been cited over 17,194 times.

290

Speaker: right? So the impact that it's had in the field

291

Speaker: is huge.

292

Speaker: However, nobody has ever questioned, well, is this a good model for us to keep using or thinking about?

293

Speaker: And so this paper looked at exactly. That. Is that the best way to think about executive.

294

Speaker: all right.

295

Speaker: And so the model in that 2,000 paper

296

Speaker: looks like this.

297

Speaker: where we have shifting, updating and inhibition.

298

Speaker: those are 3 common executive functions.

299

Speaker: Shifting is the ability to, you know, switch between different tasks or rules that you may be engaging in.

300

Speaker: I like to use the drive-through examples. Right? So you may be preparing an order right while maybe cashing somebody out and then taking in the next order, etc. Right? Takes a lot of multitasking

301

Speaker: updating is just, you know. Are you refreshing the contents in your current

302

Speaker: space of thinking? Right as you're getting incoming information?

303

Speaker: And then, of course inhibition, which is your ability to resist distractions. I always like to tell

304

Speaker: a story that happens to me pretty often, so I pull up to a red light right.

305

Speaker: and then the lane next to me is waiting for a green light to turn left

306

Speaker: right. So if I get distracted right and I see green. I want to go.

307

Speaker: but then my inhibition thankfully kicks in. And so then I don't right stop, because that's not my green light. So it's an important ability, right? Could get you in trouble if you don't use it. That much. All right. So

308

Speaker: what we find here is that

309

Speaker: these loadings right that can range from negative one to one

310

Speaker: tend to not be as high as we think that they are

311

Speaker: right. So point 3 3. Is not

312

Speaker: that impressive right. But yet the field really loves the model and uses it in other areas of psychology.

313

Speaker: And so

314

Speaker: the variance explained, really ranges from poor to just really moderate that best.

315

Speaker: And so so why is it

316

Speaker: that this model continues to be used when psychometrically, the evidence really isn't there?

317

Speaker: And so

318

Speaker: there's more error, really, than than anything.

319

Speaker: So in our paper there we have numerous findings where, if we take a network modeling approach

320

Speaker: and we apply it to the Miyaki data

321

Speaker: that exact same data set. This is what you find.

322

Speaker: right? So compared to the other pretty network model where there was connections.

323

Speaker: there's nothing here

324

Speaker: right? There's so little

325

Speaker: covariance between the tasks

326

Speaker: that ultimately what the network model is saying is.

327

Speaker: this is not a good way of thinking about executive function

328

Speaker: right? The tasks themselves are just not good enough, right? And so that's

329

Speaker: worrisome where people, you know, pick this model, and they just kind of fly with it. It's the best model we have.

330

Speaker: but not considering, you know.

331

Speaker: whether it actually is or not.

332

Speaker: So

333

Speaker: there's many more in that article. But network modeling allows us to evaluate

334

Speaker: the psychometric accuracy of executive functions, and, as you saw before, working in memory.

335

Speaker: it pushes us to really consider alternative models

336

Speaker: right? Can we at least think about other models that may be equally good or potentially better.

337

Speaker: Erm.

338

Speaker: And it does reveal the urgency. And I think what's going on here. It's not necessarily that conceptually the model is wrong.

339

Speaker: but statistically it hasn't been analyzed. The best

340

Speaker: and 2, we just need better measures.

341

Speaker: Right? There's a lot of inconsistency between how one lab may measure inhibition

342

Speaker: and another lab across the country may be measuring inhibition.

343

Speaker: Then, when you want to talk about the same thing, but use different measures, you're not really, you know, on the same plane.

344

Speaker: So

345

Speaker: the

346

Speaker: cognitive theoretical work really does or is complemented by my more applied work. And so a lot of

347

Speaker: my goal. And really, what my work represents is the bridging

348

Speaker: between traditional, cognitive, theoretical work and applied

349

Speaker: work. And so through the Bfast lab, I always like to call myself a product of the beef fast lab.

350

Speaker: I've been able to keep 2 like these 2 lines working together. So really, I know we have

351

Speaker: crowded here. But really, what we do is we go out to the schools. And we implement computers cognitive training.

352

Speaker: We want to know if targeting certain abilities leads to improvements in those abilities and hopefully, ultimately, improvements in academic performance.

353

Speaker: And so we'll do that using game-based adaptive program so essentially ipads.

354

Speaker: And they'll engage in these activities on a daily basis.

355

Speaker: And they total anywhere in between 6 to 12 h.

356

Speaker: And so what we find constantly.

357

Speaker: and we've shown that in some of our recent pubs is that we do find effects. So from pre-to-post we'll see improvements in things like working memory, processing, speed, cognitive flexibility.

358

Speaker: right? But an interesting question to add to the mix right, bringing back what I was just talking about before is, can we detect changes using network models?

359

Speaker: Right? Are we able to kind of

360

Speaker: push that frontier

361

Speaker: and look at the effects of these training programs in children or adolescents

362

Speaker: using that workflow.

363

Speaker: And so we can.

364

Speaker: And so this is data that hasn't been published yet.

365

Speaker: But please don't take a picture of it. I'm kidding. Okay. But so we have 3 models here. This first one here.

366

Speaker: I don't know if you can get rid of the bar down here.

367

Speaker: I think it's covering some of the text, but if not, it's okay. I can just walk

368

Speaker: folks through it.

369

Speaker: I think.

370

Speaker: No, I think you can go back to the other one

371

Speaker: cause I think there's still text on it. But it's it's fine. I can

372

Speaker: cover it through. Yeah, no worries.

373

Speaker: Okay, so

374

Speaker: this first model here would be a pretest model. And so this is without any training.

375

Speaker: And so

376

Speaker: students or kids have come in

377

Speaker: have taken the assessments right? And so we plot a network model of these data.

378

Speaker: So there are a few connections, right? So we can see so yellow. Here would be working memory.

379

Speaker: A blue would be cognitive flexibility or task switching

380

Speaker: and green would be processing speed. Okay, so we see connections. Right? That's good.

381

Speaker: But then post 6 h of training.

382

Speaker: Right now we see

383

Speaker: a difference. Right? So now, not only do we preserve some of the earlier connections.

384

Speaker: That's good.

385

Speaker: Yeah, that works. So now post 6 h of training.

386

Speaker: We see added edges that were not there before.

387

Speaker: and not only that, but there is a strengthening of some of these edges.

388

Speaker: So that is initial evidence that network modeling can pick up on

389

Speaker: developmental improvements

390

Speaker: that happen as a function of interventions right. And so to our knowledge, this is really the first

391

Speaker: soon to be paper that shows that

392

Speaker: right? So network modeling has been used a lot with well measurement.

393

Speaker: but not really with interventions, especially that occur within the school setting.

394

Speaker: And then, finally, after 12 h of training.

395

Speaker: some of these connections strengthen even more. And so there's actually numerical values here. It's not just like you're looking at art right? But there's objective ways of kind of determining whether these are improving over time. And so they are. So if we connect, if we compare 12 h post training

396

Speaker: to no training at all, there's a big difference in how these abilities are now much more cohesive, especially in the population here, that tends to have.

397

Speaker: you know.

398

Speaker: difficulties with learning right, or are at risk right? So they need a little bit more

399

Speaker: sports.

400

Speaker: And so we find that the network models can pick up on that.

401

Speaker: And so what are some implications from just the work overall.

402

Speaker: So first, right, the initial studies show that

403

Speaker: network modeling is helpful in understanding working memory psychometrically right, we're able to

404

Speaker: take

405

Speaker: previous theoretical frameworks and combine them right and provide a more accurate

406

Speaker: representation of working memory.

407

Speaker: We can utilize network modeling to detect changes and cognitive abilities as a function of computerized cognitive training.

408

Speaker: That's really kind of the

409

Speaker: the novel piece. And and all of this.

410

Speaker: and ultimately bridge the gap between cognitive psychology

411

Speaker: psychometrics

412

Speaker: and the applied setting right, which really isn't done. So if ever right, there's always 2 distinct camps, right? Applied researchers, basic researchers. But in this work we show that

413

Speaker: merging the 2 having the 2 collaborate really is a more fruitful way of kind of pushing science forward. Right?

414

Speaker: And of course, that can. This can have important implications for educational settings. We're measuring these abilities often

415

Speaker: really important, right? And so what are the best measures that we should be using? And of course, in clinical settings, where we, you know, we're talking about interventions. And how do we improve these interventions in

416

Speaker: in the school population?

417

Speaker: What are some future directions of of this work. So one like I said in collaboration with Jason Rhymer's lab is really testing at the basic level all of the components of working memory. And of course, then applying network modeling.

418

Speaker: Analyze multiple studies right to see if the network models hold across different studies.

419

Speaker: Look at the predictive validity. Right? So go beyond the lab right into the real world, setting and test some of that.

420

Speaker: And then

421

Speaker: importantly, potentially, being able to use network analysis in college samples, right? So computerized cognitive training that can be applied to a different

422

Speaker: range of populations and then being able to maybe gain greater insight as to how

423

Speaker: you know, network modeling can pinpoint some of the mechanisms that can then lead to college success. Right? So it's usable across many different

424

Speaker: settings.

425

Speaker: And so

426

Speaker: is the end of my time.

427

Speaker: Thank you, guys. Thank you.

428

Speaker: Question.

429

Speaker: Good

430

Speaker: second goal.

431

Speaker: Thank you so much for for the lecture and for the talks. I have one question, but I'm gonna hold my question, cause we do have a little bit of time for other folks to ask questions, and Dr. Campbell first hand up

432

Speaker: hang on so that the people in the zoom world can hear you.

433

Speaker: Well, first of all, Kevin.

434

Speaker: I knew you as a student. And so it's pretty exciting to see you, not only as a professor, but an amazing professor cause. Look at how you taught us the complex material so effortlessly. It was amazing.

435

Speaker: I have to leave like in a minute. So I thought I'd go first for a question. It's it's more of a comment

436

Speaker: to commend you for your strategy of taking on the 17,000 plus cited paper through a published paper of your own with the team here. And let's see if this leads to like some arguing through published papers with that author, I think that'll be pretty awesome and is really amazing.

437

Speaker: Yeah, I was thinking that was pretty audacious, but I loved it right like that, you know. You took on the cannon, so to speak, any other questions for for Dr. Gross.

438

Speaker: So I was just back there. And now I gotta come back there

439

Speaker: microphones. So really cool, impressive work took me back to my grad school days when I did more of that. Efa cfa multi.

440

Speaker: Well, but I was wondering. So my work deals more with emotion. Right? So like a lot of what I do, the strip test for is stress people out rather than actually for

441

Speaker: your type of work. So, wondering what you see, the relationship with emotion being with memory. Right? Because I guess part of it has a cognitive component. Right? So I'm I'm wondering, like, if you see any? Yeah? And I think that's actually a very important avenue to go in, because a lot of cognitive stays with cognitive. But when you start to incorporate emotion, right or other associations

442

Speaker: factors into these models.

443

Speaker: I think it adds to the accuracy by which we understand people right, because cognition often operates in the context of emotion. Right? So it's driven completely, or most of the time by how we feel or how how we may think.

444

Speaker: And so, if we are able to incorporate emotional

445

Speaker: data into this. I think it just adds to that. You know, specificity that we're trying to get at. So I think it's a

446

Speaker: a great avenue for that. So

447

Speaker: potential. If that's a potential collaboration. Yeah, yeah.

448

Speaker: All right. Well, then, here's my question.

449

Speaker: Alright, you have a

450

Speaker: Dr. Wilcox, Dr. Wong, Dr. Campbell in the room who was better? No, that's that's not my! I wouldn't. I wouldn't.

451

Speaker: Yeah, I I wouldn't do that to you. But no, no! Who your chair is is all I'm saying so. No, my question is, though related to that which is that you know you're a product of Csb, and and and I don't mean this as as like a pandering question. I'm I'm there are students here, I assume.

452

Speaker: and and I wanna know you know how that choice to come here

453

Speaker: as an undergraduate student, really, and and the and the work you were able to do here as an undergraduate student kind of drove you

454

Speaker: to where you are today and doing this kind of work.

455

Speaker: Yeah, I think that's a very

456

Speaker: important question. So

457

Speaker: I so one would wanted me

458

Speaker: that what kept me thinking I need to come back is

459

Speaker: just a student body. So I reflect

460

Speaker: or identify with the students here, so I do see myself in them, and I often tell them, you know, like that was in your shoes. So I know what it's like to not know anything about research or not, you know, knowing how to navigate spaces.

461

Speaker: And so the mentoring that I received, of course, Eugene and

462

Speaker: Amanda being some of the primary ones early on. Really, you know, showed hey? You know what? Through mentorship you can get to where you want to be, and as long as your heart and your mind are in the right place, right?

463

Speaker: And so I think, having those experiences in

464

Speaker: at Csu's be early on, you know, expose me to the research, all of the scholarly skills that I needed to get the exposure to, but also seeing the passion through which people serve our students right, through which our faculty serve our students through, you know, teaching and through research.

465

Speaker: And so I was like, you know, I need to go back and be part of that group, because I just feel like it fits well with my philosophy of how I see

466

Speaker: student success and

467

Speaker: engagement, and all of that

468

Speaker: fantastic and and thank you for for that. And then there's one last question, I guess, on my question.

469

Speaker: Has such network analysis been used in cognitive function among older adults.

470

Speaker: What's the data requirement for conducting network analysis in terms of sample size or items? Yeah, very good question. So there are a couple of studies comparing network models of younger adults

471

Speaker: to those of older adults, and there are differences in the abilities that become more central as you get older. But so there, there's data on that

472

Speaker: erm

473

Speaker: sample size. So I always use kind of the

474

Speaker: rule of thumb, which is at least 100. So for a lot of the psychometric work, you need at least 100 people, the more the better. But I think that would be at a minimum and in terms of items that really depends on the constructs that you're wanting to tap into. So you know, I would say that maybe 2 or 3 items per construct. Right? Is kind of a good way to think about it.

475

Speaker: But yeah, it's it's

476

Speaker: It's really one more psychometric approach that obviously

477

Speaker: requires a lot of people, and

478

Speaker: and so on. But

479

Speaker: I said one last question, but here's Dr. Hasi. Now go ahead. We have a meeting at one with somebody.

480

Speaker: How do I model the Ptsd, and by 5 11,

481

Speaker: yeah, so have they done any of.

482

Speaker: And I know he's also setting up his population.

483

Speaker: Lisa.

484

Speaker: yeah. So we actually have. Yes, yes.

485

Speaker: yeah. So I know students in here that are, you know, maybe right? Next to you that are interested in that. Yes, Jason, but actually, not not directly off the top of my head. And I think that's why

486

Speaker: this approach is very attractive because you can.

487

Speaker: you know, integrate different fields of study

488

Speaker: to see how these things are collaborating. But not that I know. But I think that that is really

489

Speaker: think about. But yeah.

490

Speaker: corporate setting.

491

Speaker: Yeah, wow.

492

Speaker: right?

493

Speaker: Right? That's really where we're at. I was like, why are we

494

Speaker: right?

495

Speaker: And I think that, you know this is a really good vehicle to do that, because then you can look at how symptomology might be changing as a function of these information

496

Speaker: and across.

497

Speaker: Yes, yeah.

498

Speaker: all right. Well, one last round of applause for our distinguished guest, Dr. Rosales. Thank you so much.

499

Speaker: and

500

Speaker: thank you to the folks in the library, and the and the fce Robbie and Isabelle and Dean Lubass, who was too good to be here with us today. But no, but and thank thank you all for coming for coming most importantly, especially our students. So thanks a lot. Thank you.

501

Speaker: Yes, really quick. And while everybody's walking out, I just want to invite you to our next provost, which will be on Wednesday, April 20. Fourth. It's a hemodynamic responses following high intensity exercise and long COVID-19 patients with brain fog wave separation analysis that's going to be by doctor saying, we again that's going to be on Wednesday, April 20, fourth 12 to one Pm. Here in 4 0 0 5, and also on zoom. Alright, thank you. Everybody.

END – 00:49:42