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Carnival, Dance Planned

This year the Halloween Carnival and Dance plans to be the biggest event of the fall quarter. Seven campus organizations plan to sponsor booths—which range from a Spanish Food Booth (Sigma Delta Pi) to a Marriage Booth (Sigma Chi), to a Spanish Food Booth (Sigma Delta Pi). Young and old alike will be able to enjoy a festive afternoon on the campus. The Carnival will start at 12 noon and run until 9:00 p.m. The public is welcome to attend the carnival which will be located near the new gym.

In the evening Cal-state Students will be able to dance to the sounds of the “Glass Cage” as CSCSB’s Activities Committee presents a costume dance. All those who arrive in costume will be admitted to the dance free of charge. An impartial group of faculty judges will select the best dressed couple in costume and award them with an all expense paid trip to Los Angeles for dinner and a show.

This is an event you will not want to miss. Be sure to reserve October 28 for a day of fun and relaxation.

TUTORS NEEDED

Qualified students who wish to gain employment as tutors are asked to contact the Placement Office, L-116, to learn more about available opportunities. From time to time the College receives requests from parents in the community as well as CSCSB students for skillful upperclass students to tutor in mathematics, English, reading and foreign languages. Compensation for this work typically ranges from $8.00 to $15.00 per hour, according to Doyle J. Stansel, Director of Placement and Financial Aid.

On Tuesday October 8, the Faculty Senate renewed deliberations on the Speech and Advocacy Policy. This document, designed to set guidelines for the exercise of student rights in this area, has been in preparation since the Spring of 1968.

Due to the furor over the appearance of controversial speakers on various State College and University campuses and to the presentation of theatrical performances which have received great publicity because of their use of profanity and simulated eroticism, the educational system in this state has deemed it advisable that each campus devise a policy clearly stating the limits of its activities.

In the spring of 1968 the faculty senate delegated to the Student Affairs Committee the responsibility of researching the problem and making any initial proposals as to what such a document should contain.

The committee began its work by having a sub-committee chosen from its members write a rough draft of a free speech policy, modeled on documents already in effect at other colleges with modifications to fit the particular environment of CSCSB.

When the sub-committee had finished its work a decision was made to submit this document to review by a joint effort of the Student Affairs Committee and the Lectures and Public Affairs Committee. The purpose of the expanded committee was to provide a wider range of opinion to be heard concerning the document and to give the students a greater voice in its formulation.

The joint committee worked diligently for several weeks and at last came up with a document which seemed to embody a fair compromise. The many conflicting points of view which had been brought up during the deliberations. The document was then submitted to the Faculty Senate late in the Spring Quarter.

It became evident that the Senate was not of the same mind as the committee. Several members even expressed their dissatisfaction with the document and immediately made suggestions to amend it. Because of the many objections and the many conflicting opinions expressed these proposed amendments of the form of the policy should be it became obvious that the committee process of discussion, evaluation, and compromise would have to begin again. The senate, and a number of board members felt that it could not act as a committee of the whole. The Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate was asked to present a solution to the dilemma of whom should review the document.

At the first Fall meeting of the Senate, the Executive Committee recommended that an ad-hoc committee be formed for the purpose of finalizing the Speech and Advocacy policy. After some discussion it was decided that the committee should consist of three students, to be chosen by the ASB; two faculty members, to be chosen by the Faculty Senate; and one administrative representative, to be chosen by President Pfau.

Two days after the meeting of the Faculty Senate, the ASB executive cabinet met and appointed Alan Hodge, Richard McMinn, and Nick Pencoff as the student representatives to the new committee. The faculty and the administrative representatives have not yet been chosen.

It is evident from all this that the Speech and Advocacy policy has had a long and somewhat frustrating history. To many it may appear that each delay in the process of finalizing the document takes further away any contact it may have originally had with the student opinion and concern. The students have now been given a final chance to make themselves heard on an issue that is of the most vital interest to all members of the campus community.

The Pawprint will make available all pertinent information as the activities and decisions of the committee. Space will also be available for any member of the campus community to express their opinion about the function and decision of the committee. On a question so vital to the intellectual life of the campus, it is impermissible for anyone to shirk the responsibility of being as free and open as humanly possible.

By Mail

New Registration System

Beginning with the winter quarter, the College is initiating registration by mail. All students currently eligible to return for the winter quarter and all students admitted for the winter quarter will be mailed their registration packet along with instructions for completing their registration.

The registration packets will be mailed according to the schedule listed below.

Students admitted for the spring quarter will be mailed their packet as soon as it can be prepared.

Students returning their completed registration packet and check for their total fees by the Priority Date listed above will be given every consideration possible when class cards are selected. Students have until December 24, 1968 to return their registration packet. The completed registration packet you should be mailed according to the mailing date for your class will be admitted to the dance free of charge. The public is welcome to enjoy a festive afternoon on the campus. To shrug off the confusion and the many conflicting opinions expressed these proposed amendments of the form of the policy should be it became evident that the senate was not of the same mind as the committee. Several members even expressed their dissatisfaction with the document and immediately made suggestions to amend it. Because of the many objections and the many conflicting opinions expressed these proposed amendments of the form of the policy should be it became obvious that the committee process of discussion, evaluation, and compromise would have to begin again. The senate, and a number of board members felt that it could not act as a committee of the whole. The Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate was asked to present a solution to the dilemma of whom should review the document.

At the first Fall meeting of the Senate, the Executive Committee recommended that an ad-hoc committee be formed for the purpose of finalizing the Speech and Advocacy policy. After some discussion it was decided that the committee should consist of three students, to be chosen by the ASB; two faculty members, to be chosen by the Faculty Senate; and one administrative representative, to be chosen by President Pfau.

Two days after the meeting of the Faculty Senate, the ASB executive cabinet met and appointed Alan Hodge, Richard McMinn, and Nick Pencoff as the student representatives to the new committee. The faculty and the administrative representatives have not yet been chosen.

It is evident from all this that the Speech and Advocacy policy has had a long and somewhat frustrating history. To many it may appear that each delay in the process of finalizing the document takes further away any contact it may have originally had with the student opinion and concern. The students have now been given a final chance to make themselves heard on an issue that is of the most vital interest to all members of the campus community.

The Pawprint will make available all pertinent information as the activities and decisions of the committee. Space will also be available for any member of the campus community to express their opinion about the function and decision of the committee. On a question so vital to the intellectual life of the campus, it is impermissible for anyone to shirk the responsibility of being as free and open as humanly possible.

Rehearsals for ROYAL GAMBIT, this quarter’s dramatic presentation, are now in full swing as evidenced by the hard working trio of Bill Slout, assistant professor of drama, Paul Briner, star of this TV season’s “Lancer” series, and Ronald Barnes, associate professor of drama.
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Speech-Advocacy Policy Goes to Joint Committee

The question of whether the academic community should be asked to restrict its traditional rights of inquiry and examination of important issues in order to accommodate a bureaucracy that has become fearful of student unrest and public protest is a major issue. The right to speak and the right to hear opinions and facts which may conflict with those of the established social patterns is one which needs constant defense against legislative and administrative encroachment. The time has come when the academic community has been asked to behave in a manner that is more restricted than that which asked of the populace at large. Such restriction is inconsistent with any educational philosophy that states, as a primary assumption, that the thinking process is not merely restricted to the classroom. The wide range of ideas and opinions has always been a central theme of the liberal arts college. It is important for the student body to recognize that the policies put into effect now will be governing the exercise of free speech for many years on this campus. To shrug off the responsibility of speaking now, while there is still a chance to be heard, would be a great injustice to those students who will be asked to operate under the guidelines that are now being formulated.

The meetings of the new ad-hoc committee have been open to the public and it is hoped that those who are concerned will come and make suggestions known to the committee. It is important that as wide a range of opinion as possible goes into the final deliberations of this policy.
S.D.S. Oriented?

It is becoming more and more common to hear people criticize the media. One could argue that news media plays in our society, television has been known to simply covering the news. The newspapers are continually deemed guilty of serving special interest groups and purposefully selecting only those facets of the news that serve their purpose.

In a recent column, for example, the San-Telegram is called by some of its staff members (who understandable, prefer to remain anonymous), "another San Bernardino Chamber of Commerce." They, along with others, allege that the San-Telegram refuses to get involved in any controversy that might damage the reputation of either San Bernardino or its firmly entrenched power structure.

Closer to home, however, were changes recently directed at this newspaper. The Pawprint has been called both "to S.D.S. orient" and "anti-establishment."

The first allegation is ridiculous. True, the Pawprint has given S.D.S. a large amount of attention recently, but it is equally true that S.D.S. is one of the only groups on campus currently doing anything worthy of front-page coverage. What other group has brought a speaker of Paul Jacob's calibre to this campus so far this fall?

As for those who think the Pawprint is "anti-establishment", they should be warned against trying to superficially categorize things they disagree with. The Pawprint is neither "anti" nor "pro" establishment.

It is committed only to serving two faces. The first is to publicize campus events of importance and/or merit. The second is to involve its readers (hopefully by getting them to react) in the problems of social and individual existence. Certainly this newspaper will always be open to attack from sources outside of its leadership. We hope, however, that this criticism will serve to illuminate another side of the question - not merely resort to meaningless labeling.

The Gadfly

By Frank Moore

According to a Newsweek Magazine poll, 51 percent of the U.S. population want a change in government, and with the choices that our political system gives them this election year, it is possible that we could write off the LBJ-Goldwater no-election as just a quick malfunction of the system. This year we can and shouldn't do this (because it has become clear, even this early in the campaign).

In 1964, the politicians just went through the motions. There wasn't any doubt that President Johnson would win. The question was, of course, with what kind of a majority. The obvious choice seemed to be the Democratic party, but a 90 percent majority for the Democrats didn't think too much about this lack of public say. After all, it is traditional for a president to be nominated by his party for a second term - which is a nice, unelected way of getting what a president contrôle his party.

The Republican convention was a non-event - but not too much. Goldwater had the best organization of the candidates. The convention floor Goldwater's followers used "storm-trooper tactics" to turn down the candidate that a Republican grand show told us that we could watch if we stayed quiet. The gross ignoring of public wishes as shown by both Presidential primaries tells us that we likely will be the candidate that the machine brings up as "our one and only" - for if we don't, what the hell can we do about it. And the Chicago police force was not a help to the anti-war marchers and the press. It, more than anything else, clearly shows that there is no room for idealists and change in the political machine.

We are stuck with Humphrey-Nixon-or Wallace, Conant, Cafferty, and Ballard-Pettis no-choices. Some of us will vote for people like Johnson and Edwards Cleaver as a protest. But this is just a protest with little hope of bringing about change. For many the street scene is becoming a more acceptable alternative. To borrow a slogan from Humphrey (of all people). "There is no other alternative."

By Don Lannon

Once again, Cal-State's "elected" student body officers have been having trouble. The results were known. That Richard Nixon would win there was little doubt, notwithstanding the accomplishments of Ronald Reagan and Nelson Rockefeller. That Hubert Humphrey would be the Democratic candidate there was even less doubt.

The conventions make it clear that the politicians with their charisma and the things they demand and the people, the need for these immediate services of the system. In contrast, students who commute, going to and from school - as those at Cal State - never remain on campus for more than a few hours. Transportation and services of a nonexistent Student Center. Juniors will be forced to pay their $9.00 this year and $15.00 ($5.00 per quarter) next year for the same non-existent services. Sophomores will find it necessary to pay $9.00 (1968-69), $15.00 (1969-70) and $15.50 (1970-71, $6.50 per quarter), respectively. Freshmen will be required to pay the aforementioned yearly fee plus $19.50 (1971-72). It must be noted, however, that the projected completion date of the Center is 1971; thus, members of the (now Sophomore Class will have paid approximately $43.50 for the privilege of using the Union facilities over a six month period, while members of the (now) Freshman Class will have paid $63.00 for 18 months of use.

Before voting, students should consider additional points. How much will the Student Union actually cost? ASB officers claim that it will cost "about" $270,000, and yet the aforementioned proposal clearly states "$300,000 of the funds needed. This would seem to indicate that the cost of the Student Union may exceed $300,000 (All of which must be paid by the students)...

Why do the 'elected' student body officers expect the students to do this "blind"? Although these officials state that "students have been studying the (곽) (sic) of a student gathering place on Campus practically since... September 1965", they present no concrete (i.e., statistical) evidence that would warrant the $300,000 (plus expenditure proposed. Indeed, students may well question the competence of the ASB Government to conduct a study involving an amount so large.

Why have there been no discussions (i.e., verbal) on the immediate practicality and feasibility of a Student Union? Of what (if anything) is the ASB Government afraid? One wonders...

It is obvious that the College Union Project, like the ASB Government, is a corpse. Nothing will bring it to life. It lacks of immediate purpose is conspicuous. May it rest in piece.

Is Union Plan Valid?
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'Students Help Cause Irrational Society'

John Moseley and Gal-State's own Nick Pencoff joined forces to capture this candid shot. According to Doyle J. Stansel, Director of Placement and Financial Aid, Marine Corps representatives will discuss programs such as the Officers' Candidate Course. In its position on one of drawing extra-curricular education. Besides excellent job they do in fielding questions, the committee is to provide the college with cultural experiences from sources outside the campus. To begin the academic year, the committee has already approved the following events:

**October 18-24**
- Lectures and Public Affairs Committee Oct. 22 L-147 9:30
- Activities Committee Oct. 22 L-114 11:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**October 25 - November 1**
- Sigma Delta Pi Oct. 22 PS 105 10:30
- Sigma Chi Oct. 22 PS 115 10:30
- Theta Psi Omega Oct. 22 PS 202 10:30
- CSCSB Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30

**November 2-8**
- Ski Association Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**November 9-15**
- Lectures and Public Affairs Committee Oct. 22 L-147 9:30
- Activities Committee Oct. 22 L-114 11:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**November 16 - 22**
- Sigma Delta Pi Oct. 22 PS 105 10:30
- Theta Psi Omega Oct. 22 PS 202 10:30
- CSCSB Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30

**November 23 - 29**
- Ski Association Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**November 30 - December 6**
- Lectures and Public Affairs Committee Oct. 22 L-147 9:30
- Activities Committee Oct. 22 L-114 11:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**December 7 - 13**
- Sigma Delta Pi Oct. 22 PS 105 10:30
- Theta Psi Omega Oct. 22 PS 202 10:30
- CSCSB Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30

**December 14 - 20**
- Ski Association Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**December 21 - 27**
- Lectures and Public Affairs Committee Oct. 22 L-147 9:30
- Activities Committee Oct. 22 L-114 11:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**December 28 - January 3**
- Sigma Delta Pi Oct. 22 PS 105 10:30
- Theta Psi Omega Oct. 22 PS 202 10:30
- CSCSB Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30

**January 4 - 10**
- Ski Association Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**January 11 - 17**
- Lectures and Public Affairs Committee Oct. 22 L-147 9:30
- Activities Committee Oct. 22 L-114 11:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**January 18 - 24**
- Sigma Delta Pi Oct. 22 PS 105 10:30
- Theta Psi Omega Oct. 22 PS 202 10:30
- CSCSB Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30

**January 25 - 31**
- Ski Association Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**February 1 - 7**
- Lectures and Public Affairs Committee Oct. 22 L-147 9:30
- Activities Committee Oct. 22 L-114 11:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**February 8 - 14**
- Sigma Delta Pi Oct. 22 PS 105 10:30
- Theta Psi Omega Oct. 22 PS 202 10:30
- CSCSB Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30

**February 15 - 21**
- Ski Association Oct. 22 PS 122 10:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30

**February 22 - 28**
- Lectures and Public Affairs Committee Oct. 22 L-147 9:30
- Activities Committee Oct. 22 L-114 11:30
- Inter-club Council Oct. 22 L-114 10:30
Japanese Exclusion Documents - Echo from a Frightening Era

By BEN JACQUES

At the same time Pearl Harbor was attacked there were in the United States, about 127,000 persons of Japanese ancestry. Of these, 94,000 resided in California.

About 80,000 of the entire number were by right of American citizenship born in this country. The other 47,000 had come to the West Coast primarily under the Japanese Exclusion Act of 1924 and had to a large extent been excluded from land ownership and citizenship.

The Search for Dignity

By RICHARD McNINNS

Why in America today, with all the wealth this country has, should people be going hungry, ill-clad, and be living in shacks or overcrowded ghettos? Although American Indians are spent annually by Federal, State, and local agencies, the validity of our social security, and methodology still remains in question.

Since the introduction of welfare programs by President Roosevelt, the need and form of welfare has shifted. Instead of programs aimed at the average citizen like Social Security programs, new programs aimed at specific populations have been aimed for the classroom freedom and methodology still remains in question.

The major turning point in welfare came January 8, 1942, during President Johnson's first State of the Union address. Declaring a war on poverty Mr. Johnson stated, "Unfortunately many Americans are the victims of hunger and the fear of hope -- some because of their poverty, some because of their color, others for reasons of some combination of both. Our task is to help them replace their despair with opportunities." 30 million persons -- that total income below $3,000 and nearly 5 million additional "non-family" persons were living on less than $1,500 per year.

Later, in 1965, the CEA adopted a variable poverty index developed by the Social Security Administration. This index is established poverty thresholds ranging from $1,025 for a single person to $3,245 for a male-female couple.

The task of "replacing their despair with opportunities" stated by President Johnson and supported by the CEA report, has given rise to one far reaching proposal. The Guaranteed Income Plan, sometimes called the "Negative Income Tax," is being proposed by Milton Friedman and James Tobin. In this plan a negative income tax would be paid out by the government to individuals or families falling below a certain income level.

And the third, offered by Christopher Green, is a Social Security type of plan. This plan is simply a guarantee of an income to every family in the United States. Its costs are in a farm area with $5,100 for a male-female head of family or in an urban area.

The task of "replacing their despair with opportunities" stated by President Johnson and supported by the CEA report, has given rise to one far reaching proposal. The Guaranteed Income Plan, sometimes called the "Negative Income Tax," is being proposed by Milton Friedman and James Tobin. In this plan a negative income tax would be paid out by the government to individuals or families falling below a certain income level.

By September, 1942, 110,000 had been forcibly removed from the West Coast by the Immigration and Naturalization Service and sent to detention centers in the heartland. Because of the short notice given by the government, these people were not prepared for their stay. They were hungry, nervous, and had to come to terms with the idea of a life in the heartland.

The purpose of this paper is to examine the ingredients of the food at last week's cook-out are the chefs -- Coach O'Gara and Mr. Chandler. The following are excerpts from articles in various Cal State newspapers as well as the San Bernardino County College WARWHOOP.

From the WARWHOOP:

David Harris, a leader of "The Resistance" and husband of folk singer Joan Baez, reiterated his feelings on the draft and the horrors of war last Friday afternoon in a lecture to about 250 people sponsored by the Social Science Division.

By September, 1942, 110,000 had been forcibly removed from the West Coast by the Immigration and Naturalization Service and sent to detention centers in the heartland. Because of the short notice given by the government, these people were not prepared for their stay. They were hungry, nervous, and had to come to terms with the idea of a life in the heartland.

The purpose of this paper is to examine the ingredients of the food at last week's cook-out are the chefs -- Coach O'Gara and Mr. Chandler. The following are excerpts from articles in various Cal State newspapers as well as the San Bernardino County College WARWHOOP.

From the WARWHOOP:

David Harris, a leader of "The Resistance" and husband of folk singer Joan Baez, reiterated his feelings on the draft and the horrors of war last Friday afternoon in a lecture to about 250 people sponsored by the Social Science Division.

By September, 1942, 110,000 had been forcibly removed from the West Coast by the Immigration and Naturalization Service and sent to detention centers in the heartland. Because of the short notice given by the government, these people were not prepared for their stay. They were hungry, nervous, and had to come to terms with the idea of a life in the heartland.

The purpose of this paper is to examine the ingredients of the food at last week's cook-out are the chefs -- Coach O'Gara and Mr. Chandler. The following are excerpts from articles in various Cal State newspapers as well as the San Bernardino County College WARWHOOP.

From the WARWHOOP:

David Harris, a leader of "The Resistance" and husband of folk singer Joan Baez, reiterated his feelings on the draft and the horrors of war last Friday afternoon in a lecture to about 250 people sponsored by the Social Science Division.

By September, 1942, 110,000 had been forcibly removed from the West Coast by the Immigration and Naturalization Service and sent to detention centers in the heartland. Because of the short notice given by the government, these people were not prepared for their stay. They were hungry, nervous, and had to come to terms with the idea of a life in the heartland.

The purpose of this paper is to examine the ingredients of the food at last week's cook-out are the chefs -- Coach O'Gara and Mr. Chandler. The following are excerpts from articles in various Cal State newspapers as well as the San Bernardino County College WARWHOOP.

From the WARWHOOP:

David Harris, a leader of "The Resistance" and husband of folk singer Joan Baez, reiterated his feelings on the draft and the horrors of war last Friday afternoon in a lecture to about 250 people sponsored by the Social Science Division.

By September, 1942, 110,000 had been forcibly removed from the West Coast by the Immigration and Naturalization Service and sent to detention centers in the heartland. Because of the short notice given by the government, these people were not prepared for their stay. They were hungry, nervous, and had to come to terms with the idea of a life in the heartland.

The purpose of this paper is to examine the ingredients of the food at last week's cook-out are the chefs -- Coach O'Gara and Mr. Chandler. The following are excerpts from articles in various Cal State newspapers as well as the San Bernardino County College WARWHOOP.